

Hence from (a) with $T=L: (iv) \Rightarrow (iii)$.

(120)

(ii), (v) and (vi): See exercises.

5.4 Compact operators

General assumption: X and Y are both Banach spaces

Recall: In metric spaces: compactness $\stackrel{1.27}{\Leftrightarrow}$ sequential cpt. ness

Hence the equivalence in

Definition 5.27 An operator $T \in BL(X, Y)$ is compact

$\Leftrightarrow \forall A \subseteq X: A$ bounded $\Rightarrow \overline{T(A)} \subseteq Y$ compact (i.e. $T(A)$ rel. cpt. in Y)
 $\Leftrightarrow \forall (x_n)_n \subseteq X: (x_n)_n$ bounded $\Rightarrow (Tx_n)_n$ has a convergent subsequence

Example 5.28 (a) For $k \in C([0,1]^2)$, let $T: L^2([0,1]) \rightarrow L^2([0,1])$,

$$(Tf)(x) = \int_0^x k(x,y)f(y)dy, \quad f \in L^2([0,1]).$$

Then T is compact (see Exercise E13.2)

(b) Finite-rank operators:

$T \in BL(X, Y)$ is of finite rank: $\Leftrightarrow \dim \text{ran}(T) < \infty$.

Then T is compact:

$(x_n)_n \subseteq X$ bounded $\stackrel{T \text{ bdd.}}{\Rightarrow} (Tx_n)_n \subseteq \text{ran}(T)$ bounded,
hence, $(Tx_n)_n$ is a bounded seq. in finite-dim. space, so,
by Bolzano-Weierstraß, it has convergent subsequence.

Theorem 5.29 Let $T \in BL(X, Y)$ be compact, and $(x_n)_n \subseteq X$ s.t.

$x_n \xrightarrow{w} x \in X, n \rightarrow \infty$. Then $Tx_n \xrightarrow{w} Tx$ strongly, i.e.

wrt. the norm in Y ($\|Tx_n - Tx\|_Y \rightarrow 0, n \rightarrow \infty$)

Pf: Let $y_n := Tx_n$ and $y := Tx$. Since $x_n \xrightarrow{w} x$, we have (by Lem. 5.9): $y_n \xrightarrow{w} y$. Assume, for contradiction, that $y_n \not\xrightarrow{s} y$ (i.e. not conv. in norm).

Then $\exists \varepsilon > 0, \exists (y_{n_k})_k : \|y_{n_k} - y\| \geq \varepsilon \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}$.

But $(x_{n_k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is weakly conv., so (by 4.29) bounded.

Since T is compact, $\exists (y_{n_k})_k : y_{n_k} \xrightarrow{l \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{y} \in Y, \tilde{y} \neq y$.

Since $y_{n_k} \xrightarrow{w} y \neq \tilde{y}$ (4.27(c)) this is a contradiction,

so $y_n \xrightarrow{w \rightarrow s} y$ strongly / in norm $\square$

Theorem 5.30 | Let $T \in BL(X, Y)$.

(a) Assume $(T_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq BL(X, Y)$, T_n compact $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, and

$$\|T_n - T\| \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0, \quad T \in BL(X, Y)$$

Then T is compact.

(b) T is compact iff T^* is compact (Schauder's Theorem)

(c) Let Z be a Banach space and $S \in BL(Y, Z)$.

If S or T is compact, then $ST \in BL(X, Z)$ is compact.

(d) In particular: Denote $K(X, Y) := \{T \in BL(X, Y) \mid T \text{ compact}\}$,

$K(X) := K(X, X)$. Then $K(X) \subseteq BL(X)$ is a two-sided norm-closed ideal.

Pf: (d) follows from (a) & (c) ($Y = Z = X$).

(c) Clear, since bounded linear operators preserve convergence & boundedness.

(a) Let $(x_m)_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq X$ be bounded, wlog. $\|x_m\| \leq 1 \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{N}$.

As T_n is compact, $(T_n x_m)_m$ has a convergent subseq (dep. on n)

with limit $y_n \in Y \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$. By Cantor's diagonal sequence trick, $\exists$ common subseq. $(x_{m_k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ (i.e. indep. of n !)

s.t. $T_n x_{m_k} \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} y_n \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ (check!). $(*)$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\|T_n - T_{n'}\| < \varepsilon \quad \forall n, n' \geq N$. (122)

Then

$$\|y_n - y_{n'}\| \leq \underbrace{\|y_n - T_n x_{m_k}\|}_{(1)} + \underbrace{\|T_n x_{m_k} - T_{n'} x_{m_k}\|}_{\leq \|T_n - T_{n'}\| < \varepsilon} + \underbrace{\|T_{n'} x_{m_k} - y_{n'}\|}_{(2)}$$

$\xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} < \varepsilon$, since (1) & (2) tend to 0 as $k \rightarrow \infty$ (by (*))

So $(y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is Cauchy, hence (Y Banach) convergent, i.e.

$$y_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma \in Y$$

Claim: $\|T x_{m_k} - \gamma\| \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} 0$.

Indeed, let $\varepsilon > 0$. $\exists n \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t.

$$\|T - T_n\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \quad \text{and} \quad \|y_n - \gamma\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$$

Fix this. Then there is $K \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $\|T_n x_{m_k} - y_n\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \quad \forall k \geq K$

Hence, for all $k \geq K$, (by (*))

$$\begin{aligned} \|T x_{m_k} - \gamma\| &\leq \underbrace{\|T x_{m_k} - T_n x_{m_k}\|}_{\leq \|T - T_n\| \cdot \|x_{m_k}\| \leq \varepsilon} + \underbrace{\|T_n x_{m_k} - y_n\|}_{< \frac{\varepsilon}{3}} + \underbrace{\|y_n - \gamma\|}_{< \frac{\varepsilon}{3}} \\ &< \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

So, claim holds, and T is compact.

(b) $\Rightarrow$: Let $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq Y^*$ be a bounded sequence.

Then $K := T(\overline{B_1^X(0)}) = \overline{T(B_1^X(0))} \subseteq Y$ is a compact metric space. The restrictions $f_n := f_n|_K \in C(K)$ (equipped with $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ -norm) form a bounded and equicontinuous sequence, because

$$|f_n(y_1) - f_n(y_2)| \leq \underbrace{\left(\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \|f_k\|\right)}_{< \infty} \cdot \|y_1 - y_2\|_Y \quad \forall y_1, y_2 \in K$$

By the theorem of Arzelà-Ascoli (1.40) then (123)
exists a uniformly convergent subsequence $(f_{n_k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$,
hence Cauchy, i.e. $\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists N \in \mathbb{N} : \forall k, l \geq N :$

$$\|f_{n_k} - f_{n_l}\|_{C(K)} < \varepsilon$$

So, for all $k, l \geq N :$

$$\begin{aligned} \|T^x l_{n_k} - T^x l_{n_l}\|_{\mathbb{X}^*} &= \sup_{x \in B_1^{\mathbb{X}}(0)} |T^x l_{n_k}(x) - T^x l_{n_l}(x)| \\ &= \sup_{x \in B_1^{\mathbb{X}}(0)} |l_{n_k}(Tx) - l_{n_l}(Tx)| \leq \|f_{n_k} - f_{n_l}\|_{C(K)} < \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

($\uparrow$ in fact " $=$ ", since $T(B_1^{\mathbb{X}}(0))$ dense in K).

So $(T^x l_{n_k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges in $\mathbb{X}^*$, hence $T^x : \mathbb{Y}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{X}^*$ is cpt.

" $\Leftarrow$ ": If T^x compact, then $T^{xx} : \mathbb{X}^{**} \rightarrow \mathbb{Y}^{**}$ is compact

by " $\Rightarrow$ ". So, from (c), $T^{xx} J_{\mathbb{X}}$ is compact, where

$J_{\mathbb{X}} : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}^{**}$ is the canonical embedding, $(J_{\mathbb{X}} x)(f) = f(x)$

$\forall x \in \mathbb{X}, \forall f \in \mathbb{X}^*$. Now, for $x \in \mathbb{X}$ and $l \in \mathbb{Y}^*$ we have

$$(T^{xx} J_{\mathbb{X}} x)(l) = J_{\mathbb{X}}(x)(T^x l) = (T^x l)(x) = l(Tx) = (J_{\mathbb{Y}}(Tx))(l)$$

This implies $T^{xx} J_{\mathbb{X}} = J_{\mathbb{Y}} T$ being compact. Since $\mathbb{Y}$ is closed in $\mathbb{Y}^{**}$ (i.e. $J_{\mathbb{Y}}(\mathbb{Y}) \subseteq \mathbb{Y}^{**}$ is closed), T is also compact. $\blacksquare$

Theorem 5.31 Let H be a separable Hilbert space.

$$\text{Then } K(H) = \{T \in BL(H) \mid T \text{ compact}\} = \overline{\{T \in BL(H) \mid T \text{ has finite rank}\}}^{\|\cdot\|}$$

where the closure is wrt. the operator norm.

Pf: " $\supseteq$ " holds because of Ex. 5.28(b) and Thm. 5.30(a)

(this does not need separability - or that H is Hilbert space (Banach ok)).

" $\subseteq$ ": Need to prove: Any compact $T \in BL(H)$ is the norm-limit of finite-rank operators: (124)

If $\dim(H) < \infty$, there is nothing to prove. So let $\dim(H) = \infty$ and let $\{\varphi_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq H$ be an ONB. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define the orthogonal rank- n -projection $P_n := \sum_{j=1}^n \langle \varphi_j, \cdot \rangle \varphi_j$.

By Thm. 2.51: $P_n \xrightarrow{s} \Pi$, hence $\Pi - P_n = \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} \langle \varphi_j, \cdot \rangle \varphi_j$ (where the series converges in the strong operator sense).

Let $R_n := TP_n \in BL(H)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then R_n has rank $\leq n$, and converges to T strongly ($R_n \xrightarrow{s} T$).

Strategy: Use compactness of T to prove convergence even uniformly.

Let $\varphi \in H$, $\|\varphi\| = 1$. Then

$$\|(\Pi - P_n)\varphi\|^2 = \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} |\langle \varphi_j, \varphi \rangle|^2 \stackrel{\text{Bessel}}{\leq} \|\varphi\|^2 = 1$$

so that

$$\|T - R_n\| = \sup_{\substack{\varphi \in H, \\ \|\varphi\|=1}} \|T(\Pi - P_n)\varphi\| \leq \sup_{\substack{\varphi \in H, \\ \|\varphi\|=1}} \sup_{\substack{\varphi \in \text{ran}(\Pi - P_n) \\ \|\varphi\| \leq 1}} \|T\varphi\| = \lambda_n.$$

$=: \lambda_n$

Note: $(\lambda_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is non-negative and

decreasing, so $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n =: \lambda \geq 0$ exists. The theorem now

follows from:

Claim: $\lambda = 0$: For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\exists \varphi_n \in \text{ran}(\Pi - P_n)$ with $\|\varphi_n\| \leq 1$

and $\|T\varphi_n\| \geq \frac{\lambda_n}{2} \geq \frac{\lambda}{2}$. Now, for all $\gamma \in H$,

$$|\langle \gamma, \varphi_n \rangle|^2 = |\langle \gamma, (\Pi - P_n)\varphi_n \rangle|^2 = |\langle (\Pi - P_n)\gamma, \varphi_n \rangle|^2$$

$$\text{C.S.} \leq \underbrace{\|(\Pi - P_n)\gamma\|^2}_{\sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} |\langle \varphi_j, \gamma \rangle|^2} \cdot \underbrace{\|\varphi_n\|^2}_{\leq 1} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

So $\varphi_n \xrightarrow{w} 0$ and (since T cpl.) $T\varphi_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$ (by S. 29). So $\lambda = 0$