Remark 2.81Left X br a normal space. Then
$$d(x_1y_1) = ||x-y|d$$
(3)is a methic on X. Thus all topological unbians and resultsfrom the theory of metric spaces are available.A base of two norm topology on X: $[B_{\perp}(x)] \times EX_{k}(k,M] = \{x+B_{\perp}(a) \mid x \in X, k \in N\}$ $[Trinkowshi sum of sells A_{1} B : A \in B := \{a+b \mid a \in A_{1} \mid b \in B\}$ and $a + B := \{a\} + B_{\perp}$ $[Warning: | Not every websic (on vector space) courses form a norm. $[Example 2.9]$ $(B \subset (X, K))$ $(B \cap (X) \in K)$ $(B \cap (X) \in K)$$

Definition 2.11 | A complete normal space (I, 11, 11) is (33)
called a Banach space
(Examples 2.12) (a) All spaces in Ex. 2.9 are Banach spaces
(b) Let I:= C(IO, 13) with L²-norm IIf II::= 50 (F(H) ldt.
Then (I, 11, 11) is not a Banach space (needl) Ex. 1.11 (b)).
Neorem 2.13 Every normed space I can be completed, so that
I is isometric to a denser linear subspace W of a Banach space I
which is unique up to isometric isomorphisms.
Pl: Analogous to the proof of Theorem 1.14. Nofr : The isometry
is even a linear bijection (hence an isomorphism) in This
case (see Section 2.3 below).
Definition 2.14 Let I be a normed space. A sequence (en) II
is called a (Schounder) books in I:= (B) Far all x & I there
exists a sequence (xn) new SK such that
lim
$$||x - \sum_{n=1}^{N} x_n e_n|| = 0$$

Note: Linear independence unt required for Schounder basis
 $|Example 2.15|$ Let $p \in I_{1,\infty}$. Then (en) were with $e_n := (o_{1-1}o_{1}o_{1})$.
(the I in the nith position) is a (Schounder) basis of l^P :
For $x = (xn) e_n \in l^P wr hare
 $||x - \sum_{n=1}^{N} |x_n|^P = \sum_{n=N+1}^{N} |x_n|^P = \sum_{n=N+1}^{$$

Lemma 2.16 [Let X be a normed space. Then (34) X has a (Schunder) basis => X is separable Pf: Let IK:= Q, if IK= IR, vesp. IKo:= Q + iQ for IK = C. Derline $A_{\mathcal{N}} := \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n e_n \mid x_n \in \mathbb{K}, \text{ for } n \in \{1, \dots, N\} \right\}$ Then the union A:= UAN is dense in X and countable B Remark 2.17 The implication ="in Lemma 2.16 does not hold (Enflo, 1973) [Remark 2-18] All norms in finite-dimensional spaces are equivalent. That is, for norms 11. 11 and 111. 11 on the their exists constants $c_1 \tilde{c} > 0$ such that $c_1 \|x\| \leq \tilde{c} \|x\| \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{K}^n$ (see exercise) [Theorem 2-19] Let & br a normed space, and FEX a finite-dim. subspace. Then F is complete and closed. Pf: Let n: = dim F < 00. Fix a basis {e1, en in F. For every $x \in F$ there exists unique $x = (x_{1}, y_{n}) \in \mathbb{K}^{n}$ Let $|||_{x}||_{2} = ||\sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{j}e_{j}||_{x} \quad \forall x = (x_{1}, y_{n}) \in \mathbb{K}^{n}$ Then the normed spaces (F, 1.11) and (K", III. III) are isometrically isomorphic via XI-> x Now, IK is closed and complete wit. the Enclidenn norm, and all norms in 1th are equivalent (by 2.18). Henre, (IK", III. III) is closed and complete, and because of the isometry, so is (F, K·II). As a preparation for Theorem 2.21 we pour the following Lemma :

$$\begin{split} \left| \frac{\left[\text{Euron n 2.20} \right] \left(\text{Riess}^{1} \text{Lemma, 1916} \right) \text{Let } X \text{ be a normal spine, (35)} \\ \text{and } U \subseteq X \text{a closed (1) subspace. Then, finall $A \in (0,1)$, there exists $x_{A} \in X \setminus U$ such that $\|x_{A} - n\| \ge \lambda$ the U

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Pf: Let } x \in X \setminus U \text{ (open 1). Then } \exists x_{A} \circ I \\ & \text{Exists } X \in X \setminus U \text{ (open 1). Then } \exists x_{A} \circ I \\ & \text{Exists } X \in X \setminus U \text{ (open 1). Then } \exists x_{A} \circ I \\ & \text{Exists } X \in X \setminus U \text{ (open 1). Then } \exists x_{A} \circ I \\ & \text{Exists } X \in X \setminus U \text{ (open 1). Then } \exists x_{A} \circ I \\ & \text{Exists } X \in X \setminus U \text{ (open 1). Then } \exists x_{A} \circ I \\ & \text{Exists } X \in X \setminus U \text{ (open 1). Then } \exists x_{A} \circ I \\ & \text{Exists } X \in X \setminus U \text{ (open 1). Then } \exists x_{A} \circ I \\ & \text{Exists } X \in X \setminus U \text{ (open 1). Then } \exists x_{A} \circ I \\ & \text{Exists } X \in X \setminus U \text{ (open 1). Then } \exists x_{A} \circ I \\ & \text{Exists } X \in X \setminus U \text{ (open 1). Then } \exists x_{A} \circ I \\ & \text{Exists } X \in X \setminus U \text{ (open 1). Then } \exists x_{A} \in X \cap X_{A} \cap X_{A} \in X \in X \cap X_{A} \cap X_{A} \cap X_{A} \in X_{A} \in U \\ & \text{Henv}, \quad Y := \frac{1}{\|X - u_{A}\|} \ge \frac{\lambda}{4}. \text{ Defive } x_{A} := F(X - u_{A}) \in X \setminus U \text{ (f)} \\ & \text{By definition of } S_{1} \text{ we have } \|x_{A}\| = Y \cdot \|X - u_{A}\| = X \cap X_{A} \cap X_{A} \cap X_{A} \cap X_{A} \cap X_{A} \cap X_{A} \in X_{A} \cap X$$$$

(ii) Let
$$U_n := \operatorname{span} \{x_{1,-1}, x_n\} \notin \mathbb{X}$$
 be the closed subspace (36)
of the vectors constructed before. Again, by Ripsz' Lemma
there exists $x_{n+1} \in \mathbb{X} \setminus U_n$ set. $\|x_{n+1}\| = 1$ and $\operatorname{dist}(x_{n+1}, U_n) \geq \frac{1}{2}$.

By assumption, din $X = \infty$, hence this proceedure does not stop. We get a sequence $(Xu)_{N \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \overline{B}_1(0) \text{ s.t. } \|Xu - Xu\| \ge \frac{1}{2} \forall n \neq m$. Clearly, $(Xu)_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$ has no convergent subsequence - contradicting the (seq.) compactness of $\overline{B}_1(0) \oint \overline{B}_2$

2.3 Linear opendious

$$(Definition 2.22) \quad Let \mathbb{E}_{i} Y \text{ br vector spaces (over the same field ft)},$$

$$\mathbb{E}_{0} \subseteq \mathbb{E} a (timear) \text{ subspace}, and \quad T : \mathbb{E}_{0} \rightarrow Y.$$

$$(i) T \text{ is a (timear) operator : Co}$$

$$T(ax + py) = xT(x) + pT(y) \quad \forall a \mid p \in \mathbb{K}, \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{E}_{0}$$

$$(ii) \quad dom(T) := D(T) := \mathbb{E}_{0} \text{ is the domain of } T.$$

$$(iii) \quad van(T) := R(T) := T(\mathbb{E}_{0}) \text{ is the valuer of } T.$$

$$(iv) \quad ker(T) := N(T) := \{x \in \mathbb{E}_{0} \mid \text{ for multiple}_{0} \text{ of } T.$$

$$(v) \quad U \subseteq dom(T) a \text{ subspace}, \qquad (or multiple) \text{ of } T.$$

$$(v) \quad U \subseteq dom(T) a \text{ subspace}, \qquad (or multiple) \text{ of } T.$$

$$(v) \quad U \subseteq dom(T) a \text{ vector space}, \quad T : \mathbb{W} \rightarrow Y \text{ linear with}$$

$$T|_{U} \quad x \mapsto Tx$$

$$(v) \quad \mathbb{W} \supseteq dom(T) a \text{ vector space}, \quad T : \mathbb{W} \rightarrow Y \text{ linear with}$$

$$T|_{dum(T)} = T \text{ is called } a(!) \text{ linear exfersion of } T \text{ to } W.$$

$$(\mathbb{E}_{0} \text{ models} 2.23) \quad \text{Some linear operators}:$$

$$(A) \quad \text{Identity operates on vector space } \mathbb{E}:$$

$$T : T = T_{x}: \quad X \to \mathbb{E}$$

(b)
$$\mathbb{X} = Y = C(\Sigma_0, \overline{J})$$

(i) Differentiation operation $(\mathbb{X}_0 = C^*(\Sigma_0, \overline{J})):$
 $\frac{d}{dx}: C^*(\Sigma_0, \overline{J}) \rightarrow C(\Sigma_0, \overline{J})$
 $f \mapsto f'$
(ii) Anti-derivative operation $(\mathbb{X}_0 = \mathbb{X}):$
 $T: C(\Sigma_0, \overline{J}) \rightarrow C(\Sigma_0, \overline{J})$
 $f \mapsto Tf$
with $(Tf)(x) := \int_0^\infty f(H)dt \quad \forall x \in \Sigma_0, \overline{J}$
(iii) Multiplication operated by argument: As above (in (iii)),
unith $(Tf)(x) := xf(x) \quad \forall x \in \Sigma_0, \overline{J}$
(iii) Multiplication operated by argument: As above (in (iii)),
(iii) Multiplication operated by argument: As above (in (iii)),
(iii) Multiplication operated by argument: As above (in (iii)),
(iii) Multiplication operated by argument: As above (in (iii)),
(iii) Multiplication operated by argument: As above (in (iii)),
(iii) Multiplication operated by argument: As above (in (iii)),
(iii) Multiplication operated by argument: As above (in (iii)),
(iii) Multiplication operated by argument: As above (in (iii)),
(iii) Multiplication operated by argument: As above (in (iii)),
(iii) Multiplication operated by argument: As above (in (iii)),
(iii) Multiplication operated by argument operated (in (iii)),
(b) dim van (T) \leq olive (T) are vector subspaces (Inverse $T^{-1}(x)$) $f = \frac{1}{2} \int_{x=1}^{x=1} \int_{x=1}$

١

)

Pf: T is continuous: Fix (Finite!) basis of dow (T), expand $x \in \text{dow}(T)$ well this basis, and use linearity to deduce (sequential) continuity. The claim follows from Thm. 2-29 R (Reven 2.3) (Bounded linear extension) Let X be a normed space, and Y a Banuch (!) space. Let $T: X \to Y$ be a bounded linear operator. Let X be two completion of X. Then there exists a bounded linear extension $T: X \to Y$ of T, which is unique if X is identified with a (dense) subspace of its completion \hat{X} , i.e. X = W in Theorem 2-13. Howeves, we have $\|T\| = \|T\|$.

Covollary 2.32 [Let X be a normed space and Y a Baunch space.
Let
$$T: X \supseteq \text{dom}(T) \rightarrow Y$$
 be a bounded linear operator with
dow $(T) \subseteq X$ a dense linear subspace. Then there exists
a unique bounded linear extension $\hat{T}: X \rightarrow Y$ of T .
Moreover, we have $\|\hat{T}\| = \|T\|$.

Pf (of 2.32): Because of deusewss, the completion Z of dom (T) and \tilde{X} of X are isometrically isomorphic (Checked). Wlog, we identify $Z = \tilde{X}$. Also identify X with a deuse linear subspace of \tilde{X} , so that dom (T) $\leq X \leq \tilde{X}$. The claim follows from Theorem 2.31 with $\tilde{T} := \tilde{T}|_{\overline{X}}$. Pf (of Thm. 2.31): We identify X with a deuse subspace of \tilde{X} . Let $x \in \tilde{X}$. Then there exists a sequence $(Xn)_n \leq X$ with $Xn \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ in \tilde{X} . Since $(Xn)_n$ is a Canchy sequence, $(Txn)_n$ is a Canchy sequence in \tilde{Y} because

$$\|Txn - Txm\| = \|T(xn - xm)\| \leq \|T\| \cdot \|xn - xm\|.$$
Using that Y is a Banach space, there exists $y \in T_{s.t.}$
 $Txn \rightarrow \gamma$ in Y. Define $Tx := \gamma$ (then $T|_{\overline{x}} = T$: take
constant sequences !). We have to check several things:
Li) Well-definedness, i.e., independence of approximating seq.:
Let $xm \rightarrow x$ in \overline{x} . As above, $\exists \gamma' \in Y_{s.t.}$ $Txm \rightarrow \gamma'$.
Then we have

Litil Norm: As
$$\tilde{T}$$
 is an extension, we have $\|\tilde{T}\| \ge \|T\|$, sing
 $\|\tilde{T}\| = \sup_{\substack{X \in \mathbf{X} \\ X \neq 0}} \frac{\|\tilde{T}_X\|}{\|X\|} \stackrel{\widetilde{X} \ge \mathbf{X}}{\ge} \sup_{\substack{X \in \mathbf{X} \\ X \neq 0}} \frac{\|\tilde{T}_X\|}{\|X\|} = \sup_{\substack{X \in \mathbf{X} \\ X \neq 0}} \frac{\|T_X\|}{\|X\|} = \|T\|.$

So,
$$\|\underline{\mathsf{T}}_{\mathsf{X}}\| \leq \|\mathsf{T}\| \quad \forall \mathsf{X} \in \overline{\mathsf{X}}$$
, hence $\|\widehat{\mathsf{T}}\| \leq \|\mathsf{T}\|$, so $\||\widetilde{\mathsf{T}}\| = \|\mathsf{T}\|$.

$$\begin{split} \left| \underbrace{Definition 2.33}_{X} \right| Let E_{i}Y be normed space. Define (2) \\ &BL(E_{i}Y):= \{T: E \rightarrow Y\} T is linear and bounded \} \\ &aud set BL(E):= BL(E_{i}X) \\ &&konney: Notation varies - other choices: B(E_{i}Y), L(E_{i}Y), ... \\ && Henry: Notation varies - other choices: B(E_{i}Y), L(E_{i}Y), ... \\ && Henry: Notation varies - other choices: B(E_{i}Y), L(E_{i}Y), ... \\ && Henry: Notation varies - other choices: B(E_{i}Y), L(E_{i}Y), ... \\ && Henry: Notation varies - other choices: B(E_{i}Y), L(E_{i}Y), ... \\ && Henry: Complete, then so is BL(E_{i}Y). \\ && Henry: Complete, then so is BL(E_{i}Y). \\ && Henry: Complete, then so is BL(E_{i}Y). \\ && Henry: Complete, the solution of the theory. \\ && Henry: Complete, the solution of the theory. \\ && Henry: Complete, the solution of the theory. \\ && Henry: Complete, the solution of the theory. \\ && Henry: Complete, the solution of the theory. \\ && Henry: Complete, the solution of the theory. \\ && Henry: Complete, the solution of the theory. \\ && Henry: Complete, the solution of the theory. \\ && Henry: Complete, the solution of the theory. \\ && Henry: Complete, the solution of the theory. \\ && Henry: Complete, the solution of the theory. \\ && Henry: Complete, the solution of the theory. \\ && Henry: Complete, the theory is a norm. \\ && Henry: Complete, the theory. \\ && Henry: Complete, the theory is a norm. \\ && Henry: Complete, the theory. \\ && Henry: Complete, the theor$$

Note: Compare also with the proofs of Thm 1.31 (d exercises) and Thm. 1.20 (for $p = \infty$). 2.4. Linear functionals and dual space

(44)

Definition 2.35 [Let X be a normed space. A linear functional (on I) is a linear operator l: I ≥ dom (1) → K The dual space of X is X* := BL(X, K). Notations for the norm on \mathbb{X}^* : $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbb{X}\to\mathbb{K}} = :\|\cdot\|_{\mathbb{X}} = :\|\cdot\|_{\mathbb{X}} = :\|\cdot\|_{\mathbb{X}}$ [Note:] X * consists of the bounded (equivalently, continuous) linear functionals; X * is therefore also called the topological dual - not to be confused with the algebraic dural of all linear functionals, X'== {f: X -> It | flinear } = Hom_K(X, H), common in Linear Argelona! (Covollary 2.36) (to Thm. 2.34). (X*, Il· 1/x*) is a Banach space (whether X is complete or not). Examples 2.37 Let X = C([a,b]) (with a c b & H2) equipped with 11.110 (a) For $f \in \mathbb{X}$, let $l(f) := \int_{a}^{b} f(x) dx \in \mathbb{K}$ This is clearly a linear functional l: X -> It with $|l(f)| \leq \int_{a}^{b} |f(x)| dx \leq ||f||_{\infty}(b-a) \langle x|$ so || ll = * = (b-a), and f=1 gives equality in (*1, so || l) = b-a. (b) For fEX and to E [a, b], let Stoff: = ftt) EK. This gives a linear functional Sto: X -> K, called the Divac 5-functional (at to), with $|5_{10}(f)| = |ft_{10}| \leq ||f||_{00}$ and again equality for f = 1. So $\| \mathcal{J}_{t_0} \|_{X^*} = 1$. (NB: 1 Boundedness (i.e., continuity) of Sto depends on the norm on X. For ex., δ_{t_0} is <u>not</u> bounded, if X is equipped with the L^2 -norm ($\|f\|_2 = \int_0^1 |f(x)| dx$).

$$\frac{|Nodakivn:|}{|X \ge Y} | Let X, Y be normed spaces. We with (fs)
X \ge Y (or X \ge Y) iff X is isometrically isomorphic b Y.
(Nor precisely: The map $l^{\frac{1}{2}} = j = 1$. Then $(l^{p})^{\frac{N}{2}} \ge l^{\frac{q}{2}}$.
How precisely: The map $l^{\frac{q}{2}} = j = (\gamma_{n})_{n} \mapsto fy \in (l^{p})^{\frac{q}{2}}$,
is a bijective isometry
 $\frac{Pf!}{(a) Caxe | L = p \le \infty} : Let x = (k_{n})_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in l^{p}$, $f \in (l^{p})^{\frac{q}{2}}$.
We coold with the canonical (Schander) basis $(e_{n})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ for l^{p} (see 2.15)
Then x can be witten as a $l^{1} l^{p}$ -convergent sectors $x = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} x_{n} e_{n}$
Since f is continuous (we little) and timer,
 $f(x) = f(\lim_{N \to \infty} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (x e_{n}) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f(x_{n}e_{n}) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} v f(e_{n})$. Ge j
For $N \in W$ (Fixed), define $\tilde{x} := (\tilde{x}_{n})_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in l^{p}$ by
 $\tilde{x}_{n} := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{f(e_{n})} i^{\frac{q}{2}} & if n \le N \text{ and } f(e_{n}) + o \\ 0 & o \text{ travenise} \end{cases}$
Apply (S1 to \tilde{x} : $f(\tilde{x}) = \sum_{n \neq 1} lf(e_{n}) i^{\frac{q}{2}}$, where $(compute !)$
 $\|\tilde{x}\|_{p} = (\sum_{n \neq 1}^{\infty} |f(e_{n})|^{\frac{q}{2}} - if (e_{n}) i^{\frac{q}{2}} - if (e_{n})$$$

Hence, the map
$$J: (\ell^p)^* \rightarrow \ell^q$$
 (to
is well-defined, and
 $f \mapsto (f(e_n))_{n \in M}$
(i) J is linen
(ii) $\| \text{If } H_q = \| (f(e_n))_{n \in M} \|_q \leq \| f \|_{(\frac{p}{2})^{\frac{q}{2}}} \quad by (f(e_n))_{n \in M}$
(iii) $\| \text{If } H_q = \| (f(e_n))_{n \in M} \|_q \leq \| f \|_{(\frac{p}{2})^{\frac{q}{2}}} \quad by (f(e_n))_{n \in M}$
(iii) J is only surjectiv: If $y = (y_n)_n \in \ell^q$, define
 $f_Y: \ell^p \rightarrow | K$
 $f_Y: x = (x_n)_n \mapsto f_Y(e_1) = \sum_{n \in M} x_n y_n$
Clearly, f_Y is linen. It is well-defined: $\sum_{n \in M} |x_ny_n| \leq \| x \|_p \|_{y_q}$
by Höbdu's inequality, so
 $\| f_Y \|_{(p)^q} \leq \| y \|_q$
and so $f_Y \in (\mathbb{P})^{\frac{q}{2}}$. But $f_Y(e_n) = y_n$ the \mathcal{W}_1 so $J(f_y) = y$.
(iv) $(e_1 \land Höldur imply:$
 $\forall f \in (\mathbb{P})^{\frac{q}{2}} \forall x \in \mathcal{P} : | f(e_1)| \leq \| x \|_p \cdot \| (f(e_n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|_q$
 $= > \| \| f \|_{(p)^q} \leq \| \| J f \|_q$
So $\| \| J f \|_q = \| f \|_{(p)^q}$, and J is an isometric isomorphism.
(The map in the statement of the turn is J^{-1}).
(b) The case $p = t$ is analogous, but instead of defining \tilde{x}_n use
 $| f(e_n) | \leq \| f \|_{(p_1^q)^{\frac{q}{2}}} \quad [e_n]_q$
 $his impliers \| (f(e_n) \|_n f_\infty \in hf \|_{(p_1^q)^{\frac{q}{2}}} \dots$ which replaces $\{e^{\frac{q}{2} \times e_1\}}$,
 (e_n) the properties of J follow as above. B
 $(\overline{Reumet} \geq \frac{39}{2}]$ (a) $(c_0)^{\frac{q}{2}} \cong \ell^{\frac{q}{2}}$ (see exercise)
(b) The curp $\ell^{\frac{q}{2}} \rightarrow (\ell^\infty)_{j}^{\frac{q}{2}} y \mapsto f_y$, $de(iwel (as in Thm. 2.5e)$ by
 $f_y(x) = \sum x_n y_n$, $x \in \ell^\infty$, $y \in \ell^{\frac{q}{2}}$
is well - defined (Hölder), linent, isometric, but we draw $\ell^{\frac{q}{2}}$ (see later]
In other words: $(\ell^\infty)^{\frac{q}{2}}$ is strictly "larger" turn $\ell^{\frac{q}{2}}$ (see later]

(

2.5 Hilbert spaces
The main new feature is the geometry "from the scalar
product

$$Definition 2.40[Let X br c (1k-) vectorspace.$$

A map $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : X \times E \Rightarrow K$ is a scalar product
(or inner product): $E\Rightarrow$
(i) $\langle X, X \rangle \ge 0$ $\forall X \in E$, and $\langle X, X \rangle = 0 \Rightarrow X = 0$
(positive definit)
(ii) $\langle X, X \rangle \ge 0$ $\forall X \in E$, and $\langle X, X \rangle = 0 \Rightarrow X = 0$
(positive definit)
(iii) $\langle X, Y \rangle = 2 = \alpha \langle X, Y \rangle \le \beta \langle X, Z \rangle = \forall X, \beta \in K, \forall X, Y, Z \in E$
(thread $\forall Y$)
(iii) $\langle X, Y \rangle = \langle Y, X \rangle = \forall X, Y \in E$
($X, C, \cdot, \cdot \rangle$) is an inner product space (or pre-Hilbert space)
Note: If $K = R$, (iii) k (iii) imply that $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is bi-thread
If, however, $K = C$, (ii) k (iii) give that
 $\langle x \times, Y \rangle = \overline{x} \langle X, Y \rangle = \forall X \in C_1 \times Y \in E$ ($\frac{conjugel^p}{lawre}$)
Then $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is called soggni-linear (`I $\frac{1}{2}$ -linear')
Warmy: In literature also: $\langle x \times, Y \rangle = \alpha \langle X, Y \rangle = \alpha \langle X, Y \rangle$
[Lemma 2.41] (Cauchy - Schwarz (Bunyakeovsky) inequality)
Let X be an inner product space. Then
(C-5) | $\langle X, Y \rangle$] $\leq \langle X, Y \rangle$ $\forall X = C_1, Y \rangle$ $\forall X, Y \in X$
with equality iff $X \land Y$ are linearly dependent
Proof: See Linear Algebra (and/or Aust-3).

Lemma 2.42 Let X be an inner product space. (48)
Then X is a normed space with norm
$$\|X\|^2 = \langle X, X \rangle^{1/2}$$
.
All notions & results from topological, metric, and normed
spaces are available. In particular, the scalar product
 $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \colon X \times X \to \mathbb{K}$ is continuous.
Pf: [i] fill fulfills all axioms of a norm, see LA (or do!]

$$|\langle x_{u}, y_{u} \rangle - \langle x_{u}, y \rangle| \leq |\langle x_{u}, y_{u} \rangle - \langle x_{u}, y \rangle| + |\langle x_{u}, y \rangle - \langle x_{u}, y \rangle|$$

$$= |\langle x_{u}, y_{u} - y \rangle| + |\langle x_{u} - x_{u}, y \rangle|$$

$$C^{-S} \leq ||x_{u}|| - ||y_{u} - y|| + ||y_{u}|| - ||x_{u} - x_{u}|| \xrightarrow{u \to 0}{\longrightarrow 0}$$

$$\sum_{u \to 0}^{u \to 0} \sum_{u \to 0}^{u \to 0} \sum_{u$$

Note: Henre, any Hilbert spare is also a Banach spare (for the other direction, see 2.46 below).

Theorem 2.44 Let X be an inner product space. (4)
Then there exicls a Hilbert space
$$\tilde{X}$$
, a dense subspace
 $W \subseteq \tilde{X}$, and a unitary map $U: \tilde{X} \rightarrow W$.
(U is unitary $I \cong V$ is an isomorphism with
 $\langle x_i \gamma \rangle_{\widetilde{X}} = \langle U_X, U_Y \rangle_{\widetilde{X}} V X_i y \in \mathbb{X}$)
I does of pf: See the pf's of Thm's 2.13 & 1.14.
Additional aspect here: Define a scalar product on
 $\tilde{X} := \{ equivalence classes \tilde{X} of Canchy sequences in $\tilde{X} \}$.
with $\langle x_n \rangle_n \subseteq \tilde{X}$ being representatives of the
equivalence classes \tilde{X} and \tilde{Y} , and $U: \tilde{X} \rightarrow W$
where \tilde{X} is the equivalence class of
the constant representative $\langle x_i x_j x_j \dots \rangle$
 \mathbb{E}
 $\begin{bmatrix} Examples 2.45 \\ (a) \ d^2 = \ L^2(N) \ is a Hilbert space$
with scalar product $\langle x_i \gamma \rangle := \sum_{i \in N} x_i \gamma_i$, where
 $x = (x_n)_n, \gamma = (Y_n)_n$.
Of course $(I^2(\{I_{1},...,N\}) \equiv \mathbb{C}^N \text{ is also a Hilbert space}$
 $(b) C([U_i]) \ is an inner product space with the
 $\mathcal{L}(I_i) = \int f(x) g(x_i) dx$
but not a Hilbert space (proof analogous to $Ex.1$. If (b)].
(Applying Thm. 2.44 to this example gives $L^2([U_i]]$,
see west chapter).$$

with
$$\overline{u_{n}} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} ||x_{j}||$$
. But by the assumption, $(\underline{u_{n}})_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \leq \mathbb{R}$ (3)
converges in \mathbb{R}^{-} , and hence is Canady.
(b) Consider again the sequence of partial sums $(\underline{S}_{n})_{n}$. Let $\underline{u} \geq u_{n}$
then
 $||S_{n}-S_{n}||^{2} = ||\sum_{j=n\in\mathbb{N}^{n}} |||\sum_{j=n\in\mathbb{N}^{n}} ||\sum_{j=n\in\mathbb{N}^{n}} |||\sum_{j=n\in\mathbb{N}^{n$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ u_{1}v \right\} = \left\{ u_{1}v + u_{2} = \left\{ u_{1}v \right\} = \left\{ u_{1}v$$

Lewins 2.50 (b) and Threem 2.51 gives (5)
[Coultary 2.52] Let X be a Hilbert space and
$$[e_{i}]_{K\in J}$$
 an orthonormal set in \mathbb{X}
(4) If $(e_{i})_{K\in J} \subseteq \mathbb{K}$ with $\sum |e_{k}|^{2} < \omega_{1}$ then $\sum e_{k} e_{k}$ is well-defined in \mathbb{X}
WE \mathcal{X} we \mathcal{X} is coulded, and $[e_{k}|_{K\in J}]$ is even an orthonormal basis (COUP)
then $[e_{i}]_{K\in J}$ is a Schander basis.
[Term 2.53] Every Hilbert space $\mathbb{X} \neq \{0\}$ has an OUB. Orohover,
 \mathbb{X} separable \mathbb{C}^{2} that exists a couldedte OUB.
P(i) The existence of an OUB in the user-separable case will be
proven later (when 2000's Lemma is available).
 \mathbb{Z}^{2} : Suppose there exists a couldedte OUB. By Core 2.52(6), this
is a Schander basis. Thus, \mathbb{X} is separable by Lemma 2.16. \mathbb{Y}
 \mathbb{Z}^{2} : Let $\{x_{k}|_{k\in \mathbb{W}}\}$ be duest in \mathbb{X} . We confind an OUB using the
Grain-Schmidt procedure:
(i) If e_{k} define $e_{1} = \frac{x_{k}}{k_{k}}$ (otherwise, use x_{k} with $u_{k} = min Shtal(x_{k} \neq c_{k})$)
(ii) Throw away all x_{k} 's trust are liverally dependent of e_{2} .
Let $u_{i} = min Ske W / x_{k} \notin span $\{e_{1}\} > u_{0}$ by the scalarst index
so the remaining elements. Set
 $\tilde{e}_{2} = x_{n} - \langle e_{1}, x_{n} \rangle e_{2}$ and $e_{2} := \frac{c_{2}}{k_{2}}$
(iii) Throw away all x_{k} 's that are liverally dependent of e_{2} .
Let $u_{i} = 2$. Let $u_{2} := min \{k \in W | x_{k} \notin span \{e_{1}, e_{2}\} > u_{1}$
be the smallest index of the remaining elements. Set
 $\tilde{e}_{2} := x_{n} - \langle e_{1}, x_{n} \rangle e_{2}$ and $e_{3} := \frac{c_{3}}{(|e_{3}||e_{3}||e_{3}||e_{3}||e_{3}||e_{3}||e_{3}||e_{3}||e_{3}||e_{3}||e_{3}||e_{3}||e_{3}||e_{3}||e_{3}||e_{3}||e_{3}||e_{3}||e_{3}||e_{3}||e_{3}||e_{3}||e_{3}||e_{3}||e_{3}||e_{3}||e_{3}||e_{3}||e_{3}||e_{3}||e_{3}||e_{3}||e_{3}||e_{3}||e_{3}||e_{3}||e_{3}||e_{3}||e_{3}||e_{3}||e_{3}||e_{3}||e_{3}||e_{3}||e_{3}||e_{3}||e_{3}||e_{3}||e_{3}||e_{3}||e_{3}||e_{3}||e_{3}||e_{3}||e_{3}||e_{3}||e_{3}||e_{3}||e_{3}||e_{3}||e_{3}||e_{3}||e_{3}||e_{3}||e_{3}||e_{3}||e_{3}||e_{3}||e_{3}||e_{3}||e_{3}||e_{3}||e_{3}||e_{3}||e_$$

an orthonormal set. Also, span {en | new is dense in & because

The prof velices on:
(1) Lemma 2.55. Let K, A be as in The 2.55.
For every
$$x \in K$$
 trace exists a unique $a \in A$ such that
 $diA(x, A) = ||x - a||$. That is, a is the "closed-domati-
 $b \times in A$.
 $Pf(2.56)$: Existence: Let $d := d(x, A) = inf ||x - y||$.
Nex exists a sequence $(y_n)_{n \in \omega} \leq A$ set.
 $(x) \quad d = \lim_{n \to \infty} ||x - y_n|| \quad (by def. of "inf"; (y_n)_n is a
 $\lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{ad} \lim_{n \to \infty} ||y_n - y_n||^2$
 $||y_n - y_m||^2 = ||(y_n - x) \in (x - y_m)||^2$
 $= 2(||y_n - x||^2 + ||x - y_m||^2) - ||2x - y_m - y_m||^2$
 $(x+1) \quad = 2(||y_n - x||^2 + ||x - y_m||^2) - |||x - \frac{y_m + y_m}{2} ||^2$
 $f(x+1) \quad = 2(||y_n - x||^2 + ||x - y_m||^2) - |||x - \frac{y_m + y_m}{2} ||^2$
 $f(x+1) \quad = 2(||y_n - x||^2 + ||y_m - x||^2) - y_m|^2$
 $f(x+1) \quad = 2(||y_n - x||^2 + ||y_m - x||^2) - y_m|^2$
 $f(x+1) \quad = 2(||y_n - x||^2 + ||y_m - x||^2) - y_m|^2$
 $f(x+1) \quad = 2(||y_n - x||^2 + ||y_m - x||^2) - y_m|^2$
 $f(x+1) \quad = 2(||y_n - x||^2 + ||y_m - x||^2) - y_m|^2$
 $f(x+1) \quad = 2(||y_n - x||^2 + ||y_m - x||^2) - y_m|^2$
 $f(x+1) \quad = 2(||y_n - x||^2 + ||y_m - x||^2) - y_m|^2$
 $f(x+1) \quad = 2(||y_n - x||^2 + ||y_m - x||^2) - y_m|^2$
 $f(x+1) \quad = 2(||y_n - x||^2 + ||y_m - x||^2) - y_m|^2$
 $f(x+1) \quad = 2(||y_n - x||^2 + ||y_m - x||^2) - y_m|^2$
 $f(x+1) \quad = 2(||y_n - x||^2 + ||y_m - x||^2) - y_m|^2$
 $f(x+1) \quad = 2(||y_n - x||^2 + ||y_m - x||^2) - y_m|^2$
 $f(x+1) \quad = 2(||y_n - x||^2 + ||y_m - x||^2) - y_m|^2$
 $f(x+1) \quad = 2(||y_n - x||^2 + ||y_m - x||^2) - y_m|^2$
 $f(x+1) \quad = 2(||y_n - x||^2 + ||y_m - x||^2) - y_m|^2$
 $f(x) \quad = 2(||y_n - x||^2 + ||y_m - x||^2) - y_m|^2$
 $f(x) \quad = 2(||y_n - x||^2 + ||y_m - x||^2) - y_m|^2$
 $f(x) \quad = 2(||y_n - x||^2 + ||y_m - x||^2) - y_m|^2$
 $f(x) \quad = 2(||y_n - x||^2 + ||y_m - x||^2) - y_m|^2$
 $f(x) \quad = 2(||y_n - x||^2 + ||y_m - x||^2) - y_m|^2$
 $f(x) \quad = 2(||y_n - x||^2 + ||y_m - x||^2) - y_m|^2$
 $f(x) \quad = 2(||y_n - x||^2 + ||y_m - x||^2) - y_m|^2$
 $f(x) \quad = 2(||y_n - x||^2 + ||y_m - x||^2) - y_m|^2$
 $f(x) \quad = 2(||y_n - x||^2 + ||y_m - x||^2) - y_m|^2$
 $f(x) \quad = 2(||y_n - x||^2 + ||y_m$$

Remark: Wole that the proof works if A is closed and
convex (not recessarily a subspace)
Pf (of 2.55): Existence: For given
$$x \in \overline{x}$$
, let $a \in A$ be defined
by Lemma 2.56, and set $w := x - a$. Need to prove: $w \in A^{\perp}$
(et $\overline{z} \in K$ and $y \in A$. Then
 $\|w\|^2 \in \||x - (a + \overline{z} y)\|^2 = \|w\|^2 + \|\overline{z} y\|^2 - 2\operatorname{Re}(w, \overline{z} y)$.
 $\underbrace{eA}_{w - \overline{z} y}$
So for $0 \neq y \in A$ we have $\|\overline{z}\|^2 - \frac{2\operatorname{Re}(w, \overline{z} y)}{\|y\|^2} \ge 0$
(i) For $\overline{z} = t \in \mathbb{R}$ this gives
 $t^2 - \frac{2\operatorname{Re}(w, y)}{\|y\|^2} = z = 0$ the R
This implies $\operatorname{Re}(w, y) = 0$. If $K = \operatorname{IR} we are done,$
since $y \in A$ was arbitrany.
For $K = c$ we also consider the fallowing case:
(ii) For $\overline{z} = it$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$:
 $t^2 + \frac{2\operatorname{Im}(w, y)}{\|y\|^2} = z = t \in \mathbb{R}$
So Im $(w, y) = 0$ for every $y \in A$. Together with (i)
this gives $(w, y) = 0$ and so $w \in A^+$
Uniquents: Assume there are $a_1a' \in A$ and $w, w' \in A^+$
 $wth a + w = x = a' + w'$. Then $a - a' = w' - w$. Then $a = a'$
and $w = w'$ as $A \cap A^+ = \{o\}$.
 $e X \in X$. Then there exists a unique $y_A \in X$
such that $L(x) = (y_{21} x) = b' x \in X$ (x)
 awd $\|ld\|_{x} = \|y_{21}\|$.

Pf: If kev l = X = > l = 0 and then the with $y_l = 0$, (59) Assume therefor kerl & . Nule that kerlis closed. Existence of Ye: From Thm. 2.55 we know (keve) \$ }02 and this allows to choose of YoE (kerl). Define $y_{\ell} := \frac{l(x_0)}{\|x_0\|^2} \cdot x_0 \in \mathbb{X}$ lif So (*1 holds for every x E ker & (x. 1 ke-l) (ii) Let x = xxo, x E K. Then l(x) = x l(xo) and $\langle \gamma_{\ell_1} x \rangle = \left\langle \frac{\mathcal{L}(x_0)}{\left(|x_0||^2} \cdot x_0 \right) \times x_0 \right\rangle = \frac{\mathcal{L}(x_0)}{\left(|x_0||^2} \times \langle x_0, x_0 \rangle = \mathcal{K}(x_0) \right\rangle$ so I and <yr, > agree on span { kerl, Ko}. Livil But span {kev l, xu} = & because, for all x E &, $X = \left(X - \frac{l(x_1)}{l(x_0)}, x_0\right) + \frac{\lambda(x_1)}{l(x_0)}, x_0$ Ekerl Espur Ex.y $l\left(x - \frac{l(x)}{l(x_0)}, x_0\right) = -l(x) - \frac{l(x)}{\lambda(x_0)} l(x_0) = 0$ Sinu So l = < ye, . > on I by Lil, (ii), and the linearity of land < ye, . >. Uniquess of ye Assume their exists ye's & with l = <ye'. . Then, for every x E k, $0 = \underbrace{l(x)}_{x} - \underbrace{l(x)}_{x} = \langle \gamma_{x} - \gamma_{x}', x \rangle$ <yr,x> <yr',x> Choose $x = \gamma_{4} - \gamma_{4}$, so that $o = || \gamma_{4} - \gamma_{4} ||^{2}$, so $\gamma_{4} = \gamma_{4}$ Norm: We have $\|\|\|_{J} = \sup_{\substack{0 \neq x \in \mathbb{X}}} \frac{\|\|x\|\|}{\|x\|} \leq \|\|y\|\|$

Note that ye to since kerl SX. Hence, we may choose x = ye in sup above : $\|\|\|_{*} \ge \frac{||||_{Y_{1}}||_{1}}{|||_{Y_{1}}||_{1}} = \frac{||||_{Y_{1}}||_{1}}{|||_{Y_{1}}||_{1}} = \||||_{Y_{1}}||_{1}$ henu, Illi = Ilyell [Covollary 2-58] Let & be a Hilbert space. Then the map $J: X^* \to X$ l (-> ye defined by Thm. 2.57 is a semi-linear, isometric bijection (linear for K= IR, conjugate linear for K=C). Pf: Let x, BEK, and lz, lz EX* with lj = < yj, . >, i.e. J(lj)= Yj far j=1,2. Then «li+ Bl2 = «<y1, ·> + BLY2, ·> = Lay, + BY2, ·> So J(al + pl2) = ~ J(li)+ pJ(l2) (by uniqueness in 2.57) Hence, J is semi-linear. That J is an isometry was proved in 2-57 (=> J injective). Also, J is onto / surjective. For yEX, ly: = <y, ·> EX* (use C-S, or Lem. 2.42, to prove continuity) and J(ly) = y (by uniqueress in 2.57) \$

(60)