

Algorithmic Aspects in Financial Mathematics

Josef Berger and Gregor Svindland

Autumn school "Proof and Computation"

7 October 2016

Part III: The Fundamental Theorem of Asset Pricing



Josef Berger and Gregor Svindland, *A separating hyperplane theorem, the fundamental theorem of asset pricing, and Markov's principle*, *Annals of Pure and Applied Logic* 167 (2016) 1161–1170

There are m assets. Their value at time 0 (present) is known. Their value at time 1 (future) is unknown. There are n cases and we know the values in each case.

This information is contained in a $\mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ -matrix A .

The value of the entry a_{ij} is the price development (price at time 1 minus price at time 0) of asset i in case j .

Set

$$P = \{p \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \sum_{i=1}^n p_i = 1 \text{ and } 0 < p_i \text{ for all } i\}.$$

$p \in P$ is a *martingale measure* if $A \cdot p = 0$

Under a martingale measure the average profit is zero, that is today's price of the assets is reasonable in the sense of being the expected value of the assets tomorrow.

For $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we define

$$x > 0 \quad :\Leftrightarrow \quad \forall i (x_i \geq 0) \wedge \exists i (x_i > 0).$$

$\xi \in \mathbb{R}^m$ is an *arbitrage trading strategy* if $\xi \cdot A > 0$

The existence of an arbitrage trading strategy implies the possibility of risk-less profit.

The *fundamental theorem of asset pricing* says that the absence of an arbitrage trading strategy is equivalent to the existence of a martingale measure.

FTAP Fix a $\mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ -matrix A . Then

$$\neg \exists \xi \in \mathbb{R}^m (\xi \cdot A > 0) \Leftrightarrow \exists p \in P (A \cdot p = 0).$$

“ \Leftarrow ” is clear (consider $\xi \cdot A \cdot p$)

Proposition 1

$$\text{FTAP} \Leftrightarrow \text{MP}$$

MP \Rightarrow FTAP

Fix a $\mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ -matrix A such that

$$\neg \exists \xi \in \mathbb{R}^m (\xi \cdot A > 0).$$

Let Y be the linear subspace of \mathbb{R}^n which is generated by the rows of A . Let C be the convex hull of the unit vectors of \mathbb{R}^n . By MP, we obtain

$$\forall c \in C, y \in Y (d(c, y) > 0).$$

By the separation theorem, there exist $p \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and reals α, β such that

$$\forall y \in Y, c \in C (\langle p, c \rangle > \alpha > \beta > \langle p, y \rangle).$$

This implies that $A \cdot p = 0$ and that all components of p are positive. We can assume further that $p_1 + \dots + p_n = 1$.

FTAP \Rightarrow MP

Fix a real number $a \neq 0$. Apply FTAP to

$$A = (|a|, -1).$$

The no-arbitrage condition is satisfied: the existence of $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ with $(\xi \cdot |a|, -\xi) > 0$ would imply $a = 0$.

Now FTAP yields the existence of a $p \in P$ with

$$p_1 \cdot |a| = p_2.$$

This implies that $|a| > 0$.

We obtain the following constructive version of FTAP.

FTAP' Fix a $\mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ -matrix A . Then

$$\forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^m \ y \in Y \ d(\xi \cdot A, y) > 0 \Rightarrow \exists p \in P \ (A \cdot p = 0).$$

why?

Part IV: Brouwer's Fan Theorem

- ▶ $\{0, 1\}^*$ the set of finite binary sequences
- ▶ $u, v, w \in \{0, 1\}^*$
- ▶ $|u|$ the length of u
- ▶ $\bar{u}n$ the restriction of u to the first n elements
- ▶ $u * v$ the concatenation of u and v
- ▶ $i \in \{0, 1\}$
- ▶ α, β infinite binary sequences

$B \subseteq \{0, 1\}^*$ is

- ▶ *detachable* if $\forall u (u \in B \vee u \notin B)$
- ▶ a *bar* if $\forall \alpha \exists n (\bar{\alpha}n \in B)$
- ▶ a *uniform bar* if $\exists N \forall \alpha \exists n \leq N (\bar{\alpha}n \in B)$

FAN_{Δ} every detachable bar is a uniform bar

FAN every bar is a uniform bar

neither provable nor falsifiable in Bishop's constructive mathematics

Lemma (Julian, Richman 1984)

The following are equivalent:

- ▶ FAN_Δ
- ▶ $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+ \text{ u/c} \Rightarrow \inf f > 0$

Lemma (B., Svindland 2016)

$f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+ \text{ u/c} + \mathbf{convexity} \Rightarrow \inf f > 0$

Is there a corresponding extra condition on bars such that FAN becomes constructively valid?

$$u < v : \Leftrightarrow |u| = |v| \wedge \exists i < |u| (\bar{u}_i = \bar{v}_i \wedge u_i = 0 \wedge v_i = 1)$$

$$u \leq v : \Leftrightarrow u = v \vee u < v.$$

$A \subseteq \{0, 1\}^*$ is *co-convex* if $u \in A$ implies that either

$$\{v \mid v \leq u\} \subseteq A$$

or

$$\{v \mid u \leq v\} \subseteq A.$$

Proposition. *Every co-convex bar is a uniform bar.*

Fix a co-convex bar B . We can assume that B is *closed under extension*:

$$u \in B \Rightarrow u * 0 \in B \wedge u * 1 \in B$$

u is *secure* if

$$\exists n \forall w \in \{0, 1\}^n (u * w \in B)$$

Claim 1. *For every u , either $u * 0$ is secure or $u * 1$ is secure.*

There exists a function

$$F : \{0, 1\}^* \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$$

such that

$$\forall u (u * F(u) \text{ is secure}).$$

Define α by

$$\alpha_n = 1 - F(\bar{\alpha}n).$$

Claim 2. $\forall n \forall u \in \{0, 1\}^n (u \neq \bar{\alpha}n \Rightarrow u \text{ is secure})$

There exists n such that $\bar{\alpha}n$ is secure. Therefore, every u of length n is secure. Therefore, B is a uniform bar.



Proof of Claim 1. For

$$\beta := 1 * 0 * 0 * 0 * \dots$$

there exists a positive l with $\bar{\beta}l \in B$. Set $m = l - 1$. By co-convexity of B , we either have

$$\{v \mid v \leq \bar{\beta}l\} \subseteq B \quad \text{or} \quad \{v \mid \bar{\beta}l \leq v\} \subseteq B.$$

In the first case,






$$0 * w \in B$$

for every w of length m , which implies that 0 is secure. In the second case,

$$1 * w \in B$$

for every w of length m , which implies that 1 is secure.



-  Josef Berger, Hajime Ishihara, and Peter Schuster, *The Weak König Lemma, Brouwer's Fan Theorem, De Morgan's Law, and Dependent Choice*, Reports on Mathematical Logic 47 (2012), 63–86
-  Josef Berger, *Aligning the weak König lemma, the uniform continuity theorem, and Brouwer's fan theorem*, Annals of Pure and Applied Logic, Volume 163, Issue 8 (2012), 981–985
-  Josef Berger, *A separation result for varieties of Brouwer's fan theorem*, Proceedings of the 10th Asian Logic Conference, World Scientific Pub Co Inc (2010), 85–92
-  Josef Berger and Peter Schuster, *Dini's theorem in the light of reverse mathematics*, Logicism, Intuitionism, and Formalism, Synthese Library, Vol. 341, Springer (2009), 153–166
-  Josef Berger, *A decomposition of Brouwer's fan theorem*, Journal of Logic & Analysis 1:6 (2009), 1–8



Josef Berger and Douglas Bridges, *The anti-Specker property, a Heine–Borel property, and uniform continuity*, Arch. Math. Log. 46 (2008), 583–592



Josef Berger and Douglas Bridges, *The fan theorem and positive-valued uniformly continuous functions on compact intervals*, New Zealand Journal of Mathematics, Volume 38 (2008), 129–135








Josef Berger and Douglas Bridges, *A fan-theoretic equivalent of the antithesis of Specker's theorem*, Indag. Mathem., N.S., 18(2) (2007), 195–202



Josef Berger and Peter Schuster, *Classifying Dini's theorem*, Notre Dame Journal of Formal Logic, Volume 47, Number 2 (2006), 253–262



Josef Berger and Douglas Bridges, *A bizarre property equivalent to the Π_1^0 -fan theorem*, Logic Journal of the IGPL, Volume 14, Issue 6 (2006), 867–871

-  Josef Berger, Douglas Bridges, and Peter Schuster, *The fan theorem and unique existence of maxima*, The Journal of Symbolic Logic, Volume 71, Number 2 (2006), 713–720
-  Josef Berger, *The logical strength of the uniform continuity theorem*, Lecture Notes in Computer Science 3988 (2006), 35–39
-  Josef Berger and Hajime Ishihara, *Brouwer's fan theorem and unique existence in constructive analysis*, Math. Log. Quart. 51, No. 4 (2005), 360–364
-  Josef Berger, *Constructive Equivalents of the Uniform Continuity theorem*, Journal of Universal Computer Science, vol. 11, no. 12 (2005), 1878–1883
-  Josef Berger, *The fan theorem and uniform continuity*, Lecture Notes in Computer Science 3526 (2005), 18–22