

Spectral Theory for Systems of Ordinary Differential Equations with Distributional Coefficients

Rudi Weikard

University of Alabama at Birmingham

Recent Results on Quantum Many-Body Systems

Herrsching

9. May 2018

I am reporting on joint work with

- Ahmed Ghatasheh (UAB)

Introduction

The Sturm-Liouville equation

- Sturm and Liouville (early 1830s):

$$-(pu')' + qu = \lambda wu,$$

$$A_1u(0) + B_1(pu')(0) = A_2u(L) + B_2(pu')(L) = 0$$

for continuous coefficients p, q , and w satisfying $p > 0$ and $w > 0$.

The Sturm-Liouville equation

- Sturm and Liouville (early 1830s):

$$-(pu')' + qu = \lambda wu,$$

$$A_1u(0) + B_1(pu')(0) = A_2u(L) + B_2(pu')(L) = 0$$

for continuous coefficients p, q , and w satisfying $p > 0$ and $w > 0$.

- Singular problems (Weyl, 1910), measure coefficients (Krein 1952).

The Sturm-Liouville equation

- Sturm and Liouville (early 1830s):

$$-(pu')' + qu = \lambda wu,$$

$$A_1u(0) + B_1(pu')(0) = A_2u(L) + B_2(pu')(L) = 0$$

for continuous coefficients p, q , and w satisfying $p > 0$ and $w > 0$.

- Singular problems (Weyl, 1910), measure coefficients (Krein 1952).
- Difference equations, systems of (first-order) equations

The Sturm-Liouville equation

- Sturm and Liouville (early 1830s):

$$-(pu')' + qu = \lambda wu,$$

$$A_1u(0) + B_1(pu')(0) = A_2u(L) + B_2(pu')(L) = 0$$

for continuous coefficients p, q , and w satisfying $p > 0$ and $w > 0$.

- Singular problems (Weyl, 1910), measure coefficients (Krein 1952).
- Difference equations, systems of (first-order) equations
- Atkinson (1964) proposed a unified approach.

The Sturm-Liouville equation

- Sturm and Liouville (early 1830s):

$$-(pu')' + qu = \lambda wu,$$

$$A_1 u(0) + B_1 (pu')(0) = A_2 u(L) + B_2 (pu')(L) = 0$$

for continuous coefficients p, q , and w satisfying $p > 0$ and $w > 0$.

- Singular problems (Weyl, 1910), measure coefficients (Krein 1952).
- Difference equations, systems of (first-order) equations
- Atkinson (1964) proposed a unified approach.
- Savchuk and Shkalikov (1999): Schrödinger equation with distributional potential

The Sturm-Liouville equation

- Sturm and Liouville (early 1830s):

$$-(pu')' + qu = \lambda wu,$$

$$A_1u(0) + B_1(pu')(0) = A_2u(L) + B_2(pu')(L) = 0$$

for continuous coefficients p, q , and w satisfying $p > 0$ and $w > 0$.

- Singular problems (Weyl, 1910), measure coefficients (Krein 1952).
- Difference equations, systems of (first-order) equations
- Atkinson (1964) proposed a unified approach.
- Savchuk and Shkalikov (1999): Schrödinger equation with distributional potential
- Eckhardt et al.: These are covered by a system with locally integrable coefficients

The goal of the present work

- Consider $Ju' + qu = wf$ on (a, b)

The goal of the present work

- Consider $Ju' + qu = wf$ on (a, b)
 - J is constant, invertible, and skew-hermitian

The goal of the present work

- Consider $Ju' + qu = wf$ on (a, b)
 - J is constant, invertible, and skew-hermitian
 - q and w are hermitian distributions of order 0 (measures)

The goal of the present work

- Consider $Ju' + qu = wf$ on (a, b)
 - J is constant, invertible, and skew-hermitian
 - q and w are hermitian distributions of order 0 (measures)
 - w non-negative

The goal of the present work

- Consider $Ju' + qu = wf$ on (a, b)
 - J is constant, invertible, and skew-hermitian
 - q and w are hermitian distributions of order 0 (measures)
 - w non-negative
- $L^2(w)$ is a Hilbert space with scalar product $\langle f, g \rangle = \int f^* wg$.

The goal of the present work

- Consider $Ju' + qu = wf$ on (a, b)
 - J is constant, invertible, and skew-hermitian
 - q and w are hermitian distributions of order 0 (measures)
 - w non-negative
- $L^2(w)$ is a Hilbert space with scalar product $\langle f, g \rangle = \int f^* w g$.
- We will drop the definiteness condition: $Ju' + qu = 0$, $wu = 0$ (or $\|u\| = 0$) implies $u \equiv 0$.

The goal of the present work

- Consider $Ju' + qu = wf$ on (a, b)
 - J is constant, invertible, and skew-hermitian
 - q and w are hermitian distributions of order 0 (measures)
 - w non-negative
- $L^2(w)$ is a Hilbert space with scalar product $\langle f, g \rangle = \int f^* w g$.
- We will drop the definiteness condition: $Ju' + qu = 0$, $wu = 0$ (or $\|u\| = 0$) implies $u \equiv 0$.
- The DE gives, in general, only relations not operators.

Distributions and Relations

Distributions

- A linear functional u on $C_c^\infty(a, b)$ is called a distribution, if, for each compact set K , there are constants C and k such that

$$|u(\phi)| \leq C \sum_{j=0}^k \sup\{|\phi^{(j)}(x)| : x \in K \supset \text{supp } \phi\}.$$

Distributions

- A linear functional u on $C_c^\infty(a, b)$ is called a distribution, if, for each compact set K , there are constants C and k such that

$$|u(\phi)| \leq C \sum_{j=0}^k \sup\{|\phi^{(j)}(x)| : x \in K \supset \text{supp } \phi\}.$$

- If the integer k can be chosen uniformly for every compact $K \subset (a, b)$ we have a distribution of finite order.

Distributions

- A linear functional u on $C_c^\infty(a, b)$ is called a distribution, if, for each compact set K , there are constants C and k such that

$$|u(\phi)| \leq C \sum_{j=0}^k \sup\{|\phi^{(j)}(x)| : x \in K \supset \text{supp } \phi\}.$$

- If the integer k can be chosen uniformly for every compact $K \subset (a, b)$ we have a distribution of finite order.
- Examples: $\phi \mapsto \int f\phi$, $\phi \mapsto \phi(0)$, $\phi \mapsto \int \phi d\mu$ (all have order 0)

Distributions

- A linear functional u on $C_c^\infty(a, b)$ is called a distribution, if, for each compact set K , there are constants C and k such that

$$|u(\phi)| \leq C \sum_{j=0}^k \sup\{|\phi^{(j)}(x)| : x \in K \supset \text{supp } \phi\}.$$

- If the integer k can be chosen uniformly for every compact $K \subset (a, b)$ we have a distribution of finite order.
- Examples: $\phi \mapsto \int f\phi$, $\phi \mapsto \phi(0)$, $\phi \mapsto \int \phi d\mu$ (all have order 0)
- Every distribution has a derivative: $u'(\phi) = -u(\phi')$.

Distributions

- A linear functional u on $C_c^\infty(a, b)$ is called a distribution, if, for each compact set K , there are constants C and k such that

$$|u(\phi)| \leq C \sum_{j=0}^k \sup\{|\phi^{(j)}(x)| : x \in K \supset \text{supp } \phi\}.$$

- If the integer k can be chosen uniformly for every compact $K \subset (a, b)$ we have a distribution of finite order.
- Examples: $\phi \mapsto \int f\phi$, $\phi \mapsto \phi(0)$, $\phi \mapsto \int \phi d\mu$ (all have order 0)
- Every distribution has a derivative: $u'(\phi) = -u(\phi')$.
- Distributions also have anti-derivatives, any two differ by a constant: $u_1(\phi) - u_2(\phi) = C \int \phi$ if $u_1' = u_2'$ (Du Bois-Reymond)

Differential equations with distributional coefficients

- $Q \in BV_{\text{loc}}((a, b))$ defines a distribution of order 0: $\phi \mapsto \int \phi dQ$.

Differential equations with distributional coefficients

- $Q \in BV_{\text{loc}}((a, b))$ defines a distribution of order 0: $\phi \mapsto \int \phi dQ$.
- Riesz: If q is a distribution of order 0, then there is a function $Q \in BV_{\text{loc}}((a, b))$ such that $q(\phi) = \int \phi dQ = \int \phi q$.

Differential equations with distributional coefficients

- $Q \in BV_{\text{loc}}((a, b))$ defines a distribution of order 0: $\phi \mapsto \int \phi dQ$.
- Riesz: If q is a distribution of order 0, then there is a function $Q \in BV_{\text{loc}}((a, b))$ such that $q(\phi) = \int \phi dQ = \int \phi q$.
- In other words the derivatives of functions of locally bounded variation are precisely the distributions of order 0. We use $dQ = Q' = q$.

Differential equations with distributional coefficients

- $Q \in BV_{\text{loc}}((a, b))$ defines a distribution of order 0: $\phi \mapsto \int \phi dQ$.
- Riesz: If q is a distribution of order 0, then there is a function $Q \in BV_{\text{loc}}((a, b))$ such that $q(\phi) = \int \phi dQ = \int \phi q$.
- In other words the derivatives of functions of locally bounded variation are precisely the distributions of order 0. We use $dQ = Q' = q$.
- If $r = R'$, $u \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(r)$ then $\phi \mapsto (ru)(\phi) = (ur)(\phi) = \int \phi udR$ is a distribution of order 0.

Differential equations with distributional coefficients

- $Q \in BV_{\text{loc}}((a, b))$ defines a distribution of order 0: $\phi \mapsto \int \phi dQ$.
- Riesz: If q is a distribution of order 0, then there is a function $Q \in BV_{\text{loc}}((a, b))$ such that $q(\phi) = \int \phi dQ = \int \phi q$.
- In other words the derivatives of functions of locally bounded variation are precisely the distributions of order 0. We use $dQ = Q' = q$.
- If $r = R'$, $u \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(r)$ then $\phi \mapsto (ru)(\phi) = (ur)(\phi) = \int \phi udR$ is a distribution of order 0.
- If r, g are distributions of order 0, we may pose the differential equation $u' = ru + g$ and seek solutions in $BV_{\text{loc}}((a, b))$.

Differential equations with distributional coefficients

- $Q \in BV_{\text{loc}}((a, b))$ defines a distribution of order 0: $\phi \mapsto \int \phi dQ$.
- Riesz: If q is a distribution of order 0, then there is a function $Q \in BV_{\text{loc}}((a, b))$ such that $q(\phi) = \int \phi dQ = \int \phi q$.
- In other words the derivatives of functions of locally bounded variation are precisely the distributions of order 0. We use $dQ = Q' = q$.
- If $r = R'$, $u \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(r)$ then $\phi \mapsto (ru)(\phi) = (ur)(\phi) = \int \phi udR$ is a distribution of order 0.
- If r, g are distributions of order 0, we may pose the differential equation $u' = ru + g$ and seek solutions in $BV_{\text{loc}}((a, b))$.
- Since, in general, distributions cannot be multiplied with another one, this is as far as generalizations of the Sturm-Liouville equation can go.

Integration by parts

- If $F, G \in BV_{\text{loc}}((a, b))$ and $[x_1, x_2] \subset (a, b)$, then

$$\int_{[x_1, x_2]} (F^+ dG + G^- dF) = (FG)^+(x_2) - (FG)^-(x_1).$$

Integration by parts

- If $F, G \in BV_{\text{loc}}((a, b))$ and $[x_1, x_2] \subset (a, b)$, then

$$\int_{[x_1, x_2]} (F^+ dG + G^- dF) = (FG)^+(x_2) - (FG)^-(x_1).$$

- Equivalently: $(FG)' = F^+ G' + F' G^-$

Integration by parts

- If $F, G \in \text{BV}_{\text{loc}}((a, b))$ and $[x_1, x_2] \subset (a, b)$, then

$$\int_{[x_1, x_2]} (F^+ dG + G^- dF) = (FG)^+(x_2) - (FG)^-(x_1).$$

- Equivalently: $(FG)' = F^+ G' + F' G^-$
- If $F = tF^+ + (1 - t)F^-$ and $v = tG^+ + (1 - t)G^-$ for some fixed t

Integration by parts

- If $F, G \in BV_{\text{loc}}((a, b))$ and $[x_1, x_2] \subset (a, b)$, then

$$\int_{[x_1, x_2]} (F^+ dG + G^- dF) = (FG)^+(x_2) - (FG)^-(x_1).$$

- Equivalently: $(FG)' = F^+ G' + F' G^-$
- If $F = tF^+ + (1 - t)F^-$ and $v = tG^+ + (1 - t)G^-$ for some fixed t
- $$\int_{[x_1, x_2]} (FdG + GdF) = (FG)^+(x_2) - (FG)^-(x_1) + (2t - 1) \int_{[x_1, x_2]} (G^+ - G^-)dF.$$

Integration by parts

- If $F, G \in BV_{\text{loc}}((a, b))$ and $[x_1, x_2] \subset (a, b)$, then

$$\int_{[x_1, x_2]} (F^+ dG + G^- dF) = (FG)^+(x_2) - (FG)^-(x_1).$$

- Equivalently: $(FG)' = F^+ G' + F' G^-$
- If $F = tF^+ + (1 - t)F^-$ and $v = tG^+ + (1 - t)G^-$ for some fixed t
- $$\int_{[x_1, x_2]} (FdG + GdF) = (FG)^+(x_2) - (FG)^-(x_1) + (2t - 1) \int_{[x_1, x_2]} (G^+ - G^-)dF.$$
- The last term disappears unless F and G jump at the same place and if $t = 1/2$.

Integration by parts

- If $F, G \in BV_{\text{loc}}((a, b))$ and $[x_1, x_2] \subset (a, b)$, then

$$\int_{[x_1, x_2]} (F^+ dG + G^- dF) = (FG)^+(x_2) - (FG)^-(x_1).$$

- Equivalently: $(FG)' = F^+ G' + F' G^-$
- If $F = tF^+ + (1 - t)F^-$ and $v = tG^+ + (1 - t)G^-$ for some fixed t
- $$\int_{[x_1, x_2]} (FdG + GdF) = (FG)^+(x_2) - (FG)^-(x_1) + (2t - 1) \int_{[x_1, x_2]} (G^+ - G^-)dF.$$
- The last term disappears unless F and G jump at the same place and **if $t = 1/2$** .
- Therefore we want our BV_{loc} functions **balanced**.

Relations: Basic Definitions

- A relation is a subset of a cartesian product $X \times Y$.

Relations: Basic Definitions

- A relation is a subset of a cartesian product $X \times Y$.
- A linear relation is a linear subspace of $\mathcal{H}_1 \times \mathcal{H}_2$.

Relations: Basic Definitions

- A relation is a subset of a cartesian product $X \times Y$.
- A linear relation is a linear subspace of $\mathcal{H}_1 \times \mathcal{H}_2$.
- $\text{dom}(E) = \{u \in \mathcal{H}_1 : \exists(u, f) \in E\}$, $\text{ker}(E) = \{u \in \mathcal{H}_1 : (u, 0) \in E\}$,
 $\text{ran}(E) = \{f \in \mathcal{H}_2 : \exists(u, f) \in E\}$.

Relations: Basic Definitions

- A relation is a subset of a cartesian product $X \times Y$.
- A linear relation is a linear subspace of $\mathcal{H}_1 \times \mathcal{H}_2$.
- $\text{dom}(E) = \{u \in \mathcal{H}_1 : \exists(u, f) \in E\}$, $\text{ker}(E) = \{u \in \mathcal{H}_1 : (u, 0) \in E\}$,
 $\text{ran}(E) = \{f \in \mathcal{H}_2 : \exists(u, f) \in E\}$.
- A linear operator is, of course, a special kind of linear relation.

Relations: Basic Definitions

- A relation is a subset of a cartesian product $X \times Y$.
- A linear relation is a linear subspace of $\mathcal{H}_1 \times \mathcal{H}_2$.
- $\text{dom}(E) = \{u \in \mathcal{H}_1 : \exists(u, f) \in E\}$, $\text{ker}(E) = \{u \in \mathcal{H}_1 : (u, 0) \in E\}$,
 $\text{ran}(E) = \{f \in \mathcal{H}_2 : \exists(u, f) \in E\}$.
- A linear operator is, of course, a special kind of linear relation.
- Every relation $S \subset \mathcal{H}_1 \times \mathcal{H}_2$ has an inverse and an adjoint;

$$S^{-1} = \{(f, u) \in \mathcal{H}_2 \times \mathcal{H}_1 : (u, f) \in S\}$$

$$S^* = \{(f, u) \in \mathcal{H}_2 \times \mathcal{H}_1 : \forall(v, g) \in S : \langle g, f \rangle_2 = \langle v, u \rangle_1\}$$

Relations: Basic Definitions

- A relation is a subset of a cartesian product $X \times Y$.
- A linear relation is a linear subspace of $\mathcal{H}_1 \times \mathcal{H}_2$.
- $\text{dom}(E) = \{u \in \mathcal{H}_1 : \exists(u, f) \in E\}$, $\text{ker}(E) = \{u \in \mathcal{H}_1 : (u, 0) \in E\}$,
 $\text{ran}(E) = \{f \in \mathcal{H}_2 : \exists(u, f) \in E\}$.
- A linear operator is, of course, a special kind of linear relation.
- Every relation $S \subset \mathcal{H}_1 \times \mathcal{H}_2$ has an inverse and an adjoint;

$$S^{-1} = \{(f, u) \in \mathcal{H}_2 \times \mathcal{H}_1 : (u, f) \in S\}$$

$$S^* = \{(f, u) \in \mathcal{H}_2 \times \mathcal{H}_1 : \forall(v, g) \in S : \langle g, f \rangle_2 = \langle v, u \rangle_1\}$$

- If $\mathcal{H}_1 = \mathcal{H}_2$ and $S \subset S^*$, then S is called symmetric; if $S = S^*$, then S is called self-adjoint.

Resolvents

- Suppose E is closed linear relation in $\mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H}$.

Resolvents

- Suppose E is closed linear relation in $\mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H}$.
- $E - \lambda = E - \lambda \mathbb{1} = \{(u, f - \lambda u) : (u, f) \in E\}$

Resolvents

- Suppose E is closed linear relation in $\mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H}$.
- $E - \lambda = E - \lambda \mathbb{1} = \{(u, f - \lambda u) : (u, f) \in E\}$
- Resolvent set and spectrum

$$\rho(E) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \ker(E - \lambda) = \{0\}, \operatorname{ran}(E - \lambda) = \mathcal{H}\}$$

$$\sigma(E) = \mathbb{C} \setminus \rho(E)$$

Resolvents

- Suppose E is closed linear relation in $\mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H}$.
- $E - \lambda = E - \lambda \mathbb{1} = \{(u, f - \lambda u) : (u, f) \in E\}$
- Resolvent set and spectrum

$$\rho(E) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \ker(E - \lambda) = \{0\}, \operatorname{ran}(E - \lambda) = \mathcal{H}\}$$

$$\sigma(E) = \mathbb{C} \setminus \rho(E)$$

- $\rho(E)$ is open.

Resolvents

- Suppose E is closed linear relation in $\mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H}$.
- $E - \lambda = E - \lambda\mathbb{1} = \{(u, f - \lambda u) : (u, f) \in E\}$
- Resolvent set and spectrum

$$\rho(E) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \ker(E - \lambda) = \{0\}, \operatorname{ran}(E - \lambda) = \mathcal{H}\}$$

$$\sigma(E) = \mathbb{C} \setminus \rho(E)$$

- $\rho(E)$ is open.
- If $\lambda \in \rho(E)$, then $(E - \lambda)^{-1}$ is a bounded linear operator on \mathcal{H} .

Resolvents

- Suppose E is closed linear relation in $\mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H}$.
- $E - \lambda = E - \lambda \mathbb{1} = \{(u, f - \lambda u) : (u, f) \in E\}$
- Resolvent set and spectrum

$$\rho(E) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \ker(E - \lambda) = \{0\}, \operatorname{ran}(E - \lambda) = \mathcal{H}\}$$

$$\sigma(E) = \mathbb{C} \setminus \rho(E)$$

- $\rho(E)$ is open.
- If $\lambda \in \rho(E)$, then $(E - \lambda)^{-1}$ is a bounded linear operator on \mathcal{H} .
- The resolvent relation $R_\lambda - R_\mu = (\lambda - \mu)R_\lambda R_\mu$ holds.

Resolvents

- Suppose E is closed linear relation in $\mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H}$.
- $E - \lambda = E - \lambda \mathbb{1} = \{(u, f - \lambda u) : (u, f) \in E\}$
- Resolvent set and spectrum

$$\rho(E) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \ker(E - \lambda) = \{0\}, \operatorname{ran}(E - \lambda) = \mathcal{H}\}$$

$$\sigma(E) = \mathbb{C} \setminus \rho(E)$$

- $\rho(E)$ is open.
- If $\lambda \in \rho(E)$, then $(E - \lambda)^{-1}$ is a bounded linear operator on \mathcal{H} .
- The resolvent relation $R_\lambda - R_\mu = (\lambda - \mu)R_\lambda R_\mu$ holds.
- $(R_\lambda)^* = R_{\overline{\lambda}}$

Extension Theory

- Deficiency spaces of E : $D_\lambda = \{(u, \lambda u) \in E^*\}$

Extension Theory

- Deficiency spaces of E : $D_\lambda = \{(u, \lambda u) \in E^*\}$
- If E is symmetric, the dimension of D_λ is constant in either half-plane.

Extension Theory

- Deficiency spaces of E : $D_\lambda = \{(u, \lambda u) \in E^*\}$
- If E is symmetric, the dimension of D_λ is constant in either half-plane.
- These dimension (denoted by n_\pm) are called deficiency indices of E .

Extension Theory

- Deficiency spaces of E : $D_\lambda = \{(u, \lambda u) \in E^*\}$
- If E is symmetric, the dimension of D_λ is constant in either half-plane.
- These dimension (denoted by n_\pm) are called deficiency indices of E .
- If E is a closed symmetric relation in $\mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H}$, then

$$E^* = E \oplus D_i \oplus D_{-i}.$$

Characterization of symmetric extensions

- Suppose E is a closed symmetric relation in $\mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H}$ with $d = \dim D_+ \oplus D_- < \infty$ and that $m \leq d/2$ is a natural number.

Characterization of symmetric extensions

- Suppose E is a closed symmetric relation in $\mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H}$ with $d = \dim D_+ \oplus D_- < \infty$ and that $m \leq d/2$ is a natural number.
- If $A : E^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{d-m}$ is a linear operator such that
 - A is surjective,

Characterization of symmetric extensions

- Suppose E is a closed symmetric relation in $\mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H}$ with $d = \dim D_+ \oplus D_- < \infty$ and that $m \leq d/2$ is a natural number.
- If $A : E^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{d-m}$ is a linear operator such that
 - A is surjective,
 - $E \subset \ker A$

Characterization of symmetric extensions

- Suppose E is a closed symmetric relation in $\mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H}$ with $d = \dim D_+ \oplus D_- < \infty$ and that $m \leq d/2$ is a natural number.
- If $A : E^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{d-m}$ is a linear operator such that
 - A is surjective,
 - $E \subset \ker A$
 - $A\mathcal{J}A^*$ has rank $d - 2m$ (here $\mathcal{J}(u, f) = (f, -u)$).

Characterization of symmetric extensions

- Suppose E is a closed symmetric relation in $\mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H}$ with $d = \dim D_+ \oplus D_- < \infty$ and that $m \leq d/2$ is a natural number.
- If $A : E^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{d-m}$ is a linear operator such that
 - A is surjective,
 - $E \subset \ker A$
 - $A\mathcal{J}A^*$ has rank $d - 2m$ (here $\mathcal{J}(u, f) = (f, -u)$).

then $\ker A$ is a closed symmetric extension of E for which the dimension of $(\ker A) \ominus E$ is m .

Characterization of symmetric extensions

- Suppose E is a closed symmetric relation in $\mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H}$ with $d = \dim D_+ \oplus D_- < \infty$ and that $m \leq d/2$ is a natural number.
- If $A : E^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{d-m}$ is a linear operator such that
 - A is surjective,
 - $E \subset \ker A$
 - $A\mathcal{J}A^*$ has rank $d - 2m$ (here $\mathcal{J}(u, f) = (f, -u)$).then $\ker A$ is a closed symmetric extension of E for which the dimension of $(\ker A) \ominus E$ is m .
- Conversely, every closed symmetric extension of E is the kernel of such a linear operator A .

Characterization of symmetric extensions

- Suppose E is a closed symmetric relation in $\mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H}$ with $d = \dim D_+ \oplus D_- < \infty$ and that $m \leq d/2$ is a natural number.
 - If $A : E^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{d-m}$ is a linear operator such that
 - A is surjective,
 - $E \subset \ker A$
 - $A\mathcal{J}A^*$ has rank $d - 2m$ (here $\mathcal{J}(u, f) = (f, -u)$).
- then $\ker A$ is a closed symmetric extension of E for which the dimension of $(\ker A) \ominus E$ is m .
- Conversely, every closed symmetric extension of E is the kernel of such a linear operator A .
 - Finally, $\ker A$ is self-adjoint if and only if $A\mathcal{J}A^* = 0$, i.e., $m = d/2$.

The operator part of a relation

- T a self-adjoint relation in $\mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H}$

The operator part of a relation

- T a self-adjoint relation in $\mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H}$
- $\mathcal{H}_\infty = \{f \in \mathcal{H} : (0, f) \in T\}$

The operator part of a relation

- T a self-adjoint relation in $\mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H}$
- $\mathcal{H}_\infty = \{f \in \mathcal{H} : (0, f) \in T\}$
- $\mathcal{H}_0 = \mathcal{H} \ominus \mathcal{H}_\infty$

The operator part of a relation

- T a self-adjoint relation in $\mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H}$
- $\mathcal{H}_\infty = \{f \in \mathcal{H} : (0, f) \in T\}$
- $\mathcal{H}_0 = \mathcal{H} \ominus \mathcal{H}_\infty$
- $T_0 = T \cap (\mathcal{H}_0 \times \mathcal{H}_0)$ is a self-adjoint linear operator, densely defined in \mathcal{H}_0 .

Spectral theory for systems of ordinary differential equations with distributional coefficients

Existence and uniqueness theorem

- $u' = \alpha \delta_0 u$ is equivalent to $u_r - u_\ell = \alpha u(0)$

Existence and uniqueness theorem

- $u' = \alpha\delta_0 u$ is equivalent to $u_r - u_\ell = \alpha u(0)$
- If u left-continuous, i.e., $u(0) = u_\ell$ implies $u_r = (1 + \alpha)u_\ell$

Existence and uniqueness theorem

- $u' = \alpha\delta_0 u$ is equivalent to $u_r - u_\ell = \alpha u(0)$
- If u left-continuous, i.e., $u(0) = u_\ell$ implies $u_r = (1 + \alpha)u_\ell$
- If u right-continuous, i.e., $u(0) = u_r$ implies $u_r = (1 - \alpha)^{-1}u_\ell$

Existence and uniqueness theorem

- $u' = \alpha \delta_0 u$ is equivalent to $u_r - u_\ell = \alpha u(0)$
- If u left-continuous, i.e., $u(0) = u_\ell$ implies $u_r = (1 + \alpha)u_\ell$
- If u right-continuous, i.e., $u(0) = u_r$ implies $u_r = (1 - \alpha)^{-1}u_\ell$
- If u balanced, i.e., $u(0) = (u_\ell + u_r)/2$ implies $u_r = (2 + \alpha)/(2 - \alpha)u_\ell$

Existence and uniqueness theorem

- $u' = \alpha\delta_0 u$ is equivalent to $u_r - u_\ell = \alpha u(0)$
- If u left-continuous, i.e., $u(0) = u_\ell$ implies $u_r = (1 + \alpha)u_\ell$
- If u right-continuous, i.e., $u(0) = u_r$ implies $u_r = (1 - \alpha)^{-1}u_\ell$
- If u balanced, i.e., $u(0) = (u_\ell + u_r)/2$ implies $u_r = (2 + \alpha)/(2 - \alpha)u_\ell$
- if r is a distribution of order 0, set $\Delta_r(x) = R^+(x) - R^-(x)$

Existence and uniqueness theorem

- $u' = \alpha\delta_0 u$ is equivalent to $u_r - u_\ell = \alpha u(0)$
- If u left-continuous, i.e., $u(0) = u_\ell$ implies $u_r = (1 + \alpha)u_\ell$
- If u right-continuous, i.e., $u(0) = u_r$ implies $u_r = (1 - \alpha)^{-1}u_\ell$
- If u balanced, i.e., $u(0) = (u_\ell + u_r)/2$ implies $u_r = (2 + \alpha)/(2 - \alpha)u_\ell$
- if r is a distribution of order 0, set $\Delta_r(x) = R^+(x) - R^-(x)$
- Atkinsons' condition: $\Delta_r(x)^2 = 0$. Then $(1 + \Delta_r(x))(1 - \Delta_r(x)) = 1$ and left and right-continuous solutions are the same (except at jumps).

Existence and uniqueness theorem

- $u' = \alpha \delta_0 u$ is equivalent to $u_r - u_\ell = \alpha u(0)$
- If u left-continuous, i.e., $u(0) = u_\ell$ implies $u_r = (1 + \alpha)u_\ell$
- If u right-continuous, i.e., $u(0) = u_r$ implies $u_r = (1 - \alpha)^{-1}u_\ell$
- If u balanced, i.e., $u(0) = (u_\ell + u_r)/2$ implies $u_r = (2 + \alpha)/(2 - \alpha)u_\ell$
- if r is a distribution of order 0, set $\Delta_r(x) = R^+(x) - R^-(x)$
- Atkinsons' condition: $\Delta_r(x)^2 = 0$. Then $(1 + \Delta_r(x))(1 - \Delta_r(x)) = 1$ and left and right-continuous solutions are the same (except at jumps).
- Our condition: $2 \pm \Delta_r(x)$ invertible is more general.

Existence and uniqueness theorem

- $u' = \alpha \delta_0 u$ is equivalent to $u_r - u_\ell = \alpha u(0)$
- If u left-continuous, i.e., $u(0) = u_\ell$ implies $u_r = (1 + \alpha)u_\ell$
- If u right-continuous, i.e., $u(0) = u_r$ implies $u_r = (1 - \alpha)^{-1}u_\ell$
- If u balanced, i.e., $u(0) = (u_\ell + u_r)/2$ implies $u_r = (2 + \alpha)/(2 - \alpha)u_\ell$
- if r is a distribution of order 0, set $\Delta_r(x) = R^+(x) - R^-(x)$
- Atkinsons' condition: $\Delta_r(x)^2 = 0$. Then $(1 + \Delta_r(x))(1 - \Delta_r(x)) = 1$ and left and right-continuous solutions are the same (except at jumps).
- Our condition: $2 \pm \Delta_r(x)$ invertible is more general.
- Existence and uniqueness of balanced solutions for initial-value problems for $u' = ru + g$ follows.

Maximal and minimal relation

- $Ju' + qu = wf$

Maximal and minimal relation

- $Ju' + qu = wf$
- $2J \pm \Delta_q(x)$ invertible.

Maximal and minimal relation

- $Ju' + qu = wf$
- $2J \pm \Delta_q(x)$ invertible.
- $\Lambda = \{\lambda : \exists x : \det(2J \pm (\Delta_q(x) - \lambda\Delta_w(x))) = 0\}$, the bad set.

Maximal and minimal relation

- $Ju' + qu = wf$
- $2J \pm \Delta_q(x)$ invertible.
- $\Lambda = \{\lambda : \exists x : \det(2J \pm (\Delta_q(x) - \lambda\Delta_w(x))) = 0\}$, the bad set.
- $\mathcal{T}_{\max} = \{(u, f) \in \mathcal{L}^2(w) \times \mathcal{L}^2(w) : Ju' + qu = wf\}$

Maximal and minimal relation

- $Ju' + qu = wf$
- $2J \pm \Delta_q(x)$ invertible.
- $\Lambda = \{\lambda : \exists x : \det(2J \pm (\Delta_q(x) - \lambda\Delta_w(x))) = 0\}$, the bad set.
- $\mathcal{T}_{\max} = \{(u, f) \in \mathcal{L}^2(w) \times \mathcal{L}^2(w) : Ju' + qu = wf\}$
- $T_{\max} = \{([u], [f]) \in L^2(w) \times L^2(w) : (u, f) \in \mathcal{T}_{\max}\}$

Maximal and minimal relation

- $Ju' + qu = wf$
- $2J \pm \Delta_q(x)$ invertible.
- $\Lambda = \{\lambda : \exists x : \det(2J \pm (\Delta_q(x) - \lambda\Delta_w(x))) = 0\}$, the bad set.
- $\mathcal{T}_{\max} = \{(u, f) \in \mathcal{L}^2(w) \times \mathcal{L}^2(w) : Ju' + qu = wf\}$
- $\mathcal{T}_{\max} = \{([u], [f]) \in L^2(w) \times L^2(w) : (u, f) \in \mathcal{T}_{\max}\}$
- This may be a relation, even if coefficients are locally integrable and the definiteness condition holds.

Maximal and minimal relation

- $Ju' + qu = wf$
- $2J \pm \Delta_q(x)$ invertible.
- $\Lambda = \{\lambda : \exists x : \det(2J \pm (\Delta_q(x) - \lambda\Delta_w(x))) = 0\}$, the bad set.
- $\mathcal{T}_{\max} = \{(u, f) \in \mathcal{L}^2(w) \times \mathcal{L}^2(w) : Ju' + qu = wf\}$
- $T_{\max} = \{([u], [f]) \in L^2(w) \times L^2(w) : (u, f) \in \mathcal{T}_{\max}\}$
- This may be a relation, even if coefficients are locally integrable and the definiteness condition holds.
- \mathcal{T}_{\min} and T_{\min} .

Maximal and minimal relation

- $Ju' + qu = wf$
- $2J \pm \Delta_q(x)$ invertible.
- $\Lambda = \{\lambda : \exists x : \det(2J \pm (\Delta_q(x) - \lambda\Delta_w(x))) = 0\}$, the bad set.
- $\mathcal{T}_{\max} = \{(u, f) \in \mathcal{L}^2(w) \times \mathcal{L}^2(w) : Ju' + qu = wf\}$
- $T_{\max} = \{([u], [f]) \in L^2(w) \times L^2(w) : (u, f) \in \mathcal{T}_{\max}\}$
- This may be a relation, even if coefficients are locally integrable and the definiteness condition holds.
- \mathcal{T}_{\min} and T_{\min} .
- $T_{\min}^* = T_{\max}$

Boundary conditions

- If $(u, f), (v, g) \in \mathcal{T}_{\max}$ then $v^*wf - g^*wu = v^*Ju' + v'^*Ju = (v^*Ju)'$ is a finite measure on (a, b)

Boundary conditions

- If $(u, f), (v, g) \in \mathcal{T}_{\max}$ then $v^*wf - g^*wu = v^*Ju' + v'^*Ju = (v^*Ju)'$ is a finite measure on (a, b)
- Lagrange's identity: $(v^*Ju)^-(b) - (v^*Ju)^+(a) = \langle v, f \rangle - \langle g, u \rangle$

Boundary conditions

- If $(u, f), (v, g) \in \mathcal{T}_{\max}$ then $v^*wf - g^*wu = v^*Ju' + v'^*Ju = (v^*Ju)'$ is a finite measure on (a, b)
- Lagrange's identity: $(v^*Ju)^-(b) - (v^*Ju)^+(a) = \langle v, f \rangle - \langle g, u \rangle$
- Self-adjoint restrictions of \mathcal{T}_{\max} are determined by a map $A : \mathcal{T}_{\max} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{d/2}$ (where $d/2 = n_+ = n_-$).

Boundary conditions

- If $(u, f), (v, g) \in \mathcal{T}_{\max}$ then $v^*wf - g^*wu = v^*Ju' + v'^*Ju = (v^*Ju)'$ is a finite measure on (a, b)
- Lagrange's identity: $(v^*Ju)^-(b) - (v^*Ju)^+(a) = \langle v, f \rangle - \langle g, u \rangle$
- Self-adjoint restrictions of \mathcal{T}_{\max} are determined by a map $A : \mathcal{T}_{\max} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{d/2}$ (where $d/2 = n_+ = n_-$).
- A_j may be viewed as a linear functional on $D_i \oplus D_{-i}$, i.e., $A_j(u, f) = \langle (v_j, g_j), (u, f) \rangle$.

Boundary conditions

- If $(u, f), (v, g) \in \mathcal{T}_{\max}$ then $v^*wf - g^*wu = v^*Ju' + v'^*Ju = (v^*Ju)'$ is a finite measure on (a, b)
- Lagrange's identity: $(v^*Ju)^-(b) - (v^*Ju)^+(a) = \langle v, f \rangle - \langle g, u \rangle$
- Self-adjoint restrictions of \mathcal{T}_{\max} are determined by a map $A : \mathcal{T}_{\max} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{d/2}$ (where $d/2 = n_+ = n_-$).
- A_j may be viewed as a linear functional on $D_i \oplus D_{-i}$, i.e., $A_j(u, f) = \langle (v_j, g_j), (u, f) \rangle$.
- $A\mathcal{J}A^* = 0$ is equivalent to $\langle (v_j, g_j), (g_k, -v_k) \rangle = 0$.

Boundary conditions

- If $(u, f), (v, g) \in \mathcal{T}_{\max}$ then $v^*wf - g^*wu = v^*Ju' + v'^*Ju = (v^*Ju)'$ is a finite measure on (a, b)
- Lagrange's identity: $(v^*Ju)^-(b) - (v^*Ju)^+(a) = \langle v, f \rangle - \langle g, u \rangle$
- Self-adjoint restrictions of \mathcal{T}_{\max} are determined by a map $A : \mathcal{T}_{\max} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{d/2}$ (where $d/2 = n_+ = n_-$).
- A_j may be viewed as a linear functional on $D_i \oplus D_{-i}$, i.e., $A_j(u, f) = \langle (v_j, g_j), (u, f) \rangle$.
- $A\mathcal{J}A^* = 0$ is equivalent to $\langle (v_j, g_j), (g_k, -v_k) \rangle = 0$.
- Lagrange: $A_j(u, f) = \langle (v_j, g_j), (u, f) \rangle = (g_j^*Ju)^-(b) - (g_j^*Ju)^+(a)$.

The resolvent and Green's function

- If $([u], [f]) \in \mathcal{T}_{\max}$ and if the definiteness condition is violated, the class $[u]$ may have many balanced representatives in BV_{loc} .

The resolvent and Green's function

- If $([u], [f]) \in \mathcal{T}_{\max}$ and if the definiteness condition is violated, the class $[u]$ may have many balanced representatives in BV_{loc} .
- However, there is a unique balanced representative u such that $u(x_0)$ is perpendicular to $N_0 = \{v(x_0) : v \in \mathcal{L}_0\}$.

The resolvent and Green's function

- If $([u], [f]) \in T_{\max}$ and if the definiteness condition is violated, the class $[u]$ may have many balanced representatives in BV_{loc} .
- However, there is a unique balanced representative u such that $u(x_0)$ is perpendicular to $N_0 = \{v(x_0) : v \in \mathcal{L}_0\}$.
- Define $E : T_{\max} \rightarrow BV_{\text{loc}} : ([u], [f]) \mapsto u$.

The resolvent and Green's function

- If $([u], [f]) \in T_{\max}$ and if the definiteness condition is violated, the class $[u]$ may have many balanced representatives in BV_{loc} .
- However, there is a unique balanced representative u such that $u(x_0)$ is perpendicular to $N_0 = \{v(x_0) : v \in \mathcal{L}_0\}$.
- Define $E : T_{\max} \rightarrow BV_{\text{loc}} : ([u], [f]) \mapsto u$.
- Define $E_\lambda : L^2(w) \rightarrow BV_{\text{loc}} : f \mapsto E(u, \lambda u + f)$ where $u = R_\lambda f$ whenever $\lambda \in \rho(T)$.

The resolvent and Green's function

- If $([u], [f]) \in T_{\max}$ and if the definiteness condition is violated, the class $[u]$ may have many balanced representatives in BV_{loc} .
- However, there is a unique balanced representative u such that $u(x_0)$ is perpendicular to $N_0 = \{v(x_0) : v \in \mathcal{L}_0\}$.
- Define $E : T_{\max} \rightarrow BV_{\text{loc}} : ([u], [f]) \mapsto u$.
- Define $E_\lambda : L^2(w) \rightarrow BV_{\text{loc}} : f \mapsto E(u, \lambda u + f)$ where $u = R_\lambda f$ whenever $\lambda \in \rho(T)$.
- Each component of $f \mapsto (E_\lambda f)(x)$ is a bounded linear functional.

The resolvent and Green's function

- If $([u], [f]) \in T_{\max}$ and if the definiteness condition is violated, the class $[u]$ may have many balanced representatives in BV_{loc} .
- However, there is a unique balanced representative u such that $u(x_0)$ is perpendicular to $N_0 = \{v(x_0) : v \in \mathcal{L}_0\}$.
- Define $E : T_{\max} \rightarrow BV_{\text{loc}} : ([u], [f]) \mapsto u$.
- Define $E_\lambda : L^2(w) \rightarrow BV_{\text{loc}} : f \mapsto E(u, \lambda u + f)$ where $u = R_\lambda f$ whenever $\lambda \in \rho(T)$.
- Each component of $f \mapsto (E_\lambda f)(x)$ is a bounded linear functional.
- Green's function: $(E_\lambda f)(x) = \langle G(x, \cdot, \lambda)^*, f \rangle = \int G(x, \cdot, \lambda) w f$.

Properties of Green's function I

- The variation of constants formula: if $x > x_0$

$$(E_\lambda f)^-(x) = U(x, \lambda)(u_0 + J^{-1} \int_{(x_0, x)} U(\cdot, \bar{\lambda})^* wf)$$

where $u_0 = (E_\lambda f)(x_0)$.

Properties of Green's function I

- The variation of constants formula: if $x > x_0$

$$(E_\lambda f)^-(x) = U(x, \lambda)(u_0 + J^{-1} \int_{(x_0, x)} U(\cdot, \bar{\lambda})^* wf)$$

where $u_0 = (E_\lambda f)(x_0)$.

- The conditions that $E_\lambda f$ is in $L^2(w)$ near both a and b , that it satisfies the boundary conditions (if any), and that $(E_\lambda f)(x_0) \perp N_0$ gives rise to a (rectangular) system

$$F(\lambda)u_0 = \int (b_-(\lambda)\chi_{(a, x_0)} + b_+(\lambda)\chi_{(x_0, b)})U(\cdot, \bar{\lambda})^* wf$$

Properties of Green's function I

- The variation of constants formula: if $x > x_0$

$$(E_\lambda f)^-(x) = U(x, \lambda)(u_0 + J^{-1} \int_{(x_0, x)} U(\cdot, \bar{\lambda})^* wf)$$

where $u_0 = (E_\lambda f)(x_0)$.

- The conditions that $E_\lambda f$ is in $L^2(w)$ near both a and b , that it satisfies the boundary conditions (if any), and that $(E_\lambda f)(x_0) \perp N_0$ gives rise to a (rectangular) system

$$F(\lambda)u_0 = \int (b_-(\lambda)\chi_{(a, x_0)} + b_+(\lambda)\chi_{(x_0, b)})U(\cdot, \bar{\lambda})^* wf$$

- F has a left inverse F^\dagger .

Properties of Green's function I

- The variation of constants formula: if $x > x_0$

$$(E_\lambda f)^-(x) = U(x, \lambda)(u_0 + J^{-1} \int_{(x_0, x)} U(\cdot, \bar{\lambda})^* wf)$$

where $u_0 = (E_\lambda f)(x_0)$.

- The conditions that $E_\lambda f$ is in $L^2(w)$ near both a and b , that it satisfies the boundary conditions (if any), and that $(E_\lambda f)(x_0) \perp N_0$ gives rise to a (rectangular) system

$$F(\lambda)u_0 = \int (b_-(\lambda)\chi_{(a, x_0)} + b_+(\lambda)\chi_{(x_0, b)})U(\cdot, \bar{\lambda})^* wf$$

- F has a left inverse F^\dagger .
- Define $M_\pm(\lambda) = PF(\lambda)^\dagger b_\pm(\lambda) \pm \frac{1}{2}J^{-1}$.

Properties of Green's function II

- Then

$$(E_\lambda f)(x) = \int U(x, \lambda) H(x, \cdot, \lambda) U(\cdot, \bar{\lambda})^* w f$$

where

$$H(x, y, \lambda) = M_-(\lambda) \chi_{(a, x_0)}(y) + M_+(\lambda) \chi_{(x_0, b)}(y) \\ - \frac{1}{2} J^{-1} \operatorname{sgn}(y - x) + S(x, \lambda) \chi_{\{x\}}(y)$$

and

$$S(x, \lambda) = \frac{1}{4} U(x, \lambda)^{-1} (U^+(x, \lambda) - U^-(x, \lambda)) J^{-1}.$$

Properties of Green's function II

- Then

$$(E_\lambda f)(x) = \int U(x, \lambda) H(x, \cdot, \lambda) U(\cdot, \bar{\lambda})^* w f$$

where

$$H(x, y, \lambda) = M_-(\lambda) \chi_{(a, x_0)}(y) + M_+(\lambda) \chi_{(x_0, b)}(y) \\ - \frac{1}{2} J^{-1} \operatorname{sgn}(y - x) + S(x, \lambda) \chi_{\{x\}}(y)$$

and

$$S(x, \lambda) = \frac{1}{4} U(x, \lambda)^{-1} (U^+(x, \lambda) - U^-(x, \lambda)) J^{-1}.$$

- M_+ and M_- are **essentially** the same.

Properties of Green's function II

- Then

$$(E_\lambda f)(x) = \int U(x, \lambda) H(x, \cdot, \lambda) U(\cdot, \bar{\lambda})^* w f$$

where

$$H(x, y, \lambda) = M_-(\lambda) \chi_{(a, x_0)}(y) + M_+(\lambda) \chi_{(x_0, b)}(y) \\ - \frac{1}{2} J^{-1} \operatorname{sgn}(y - x) + S(x, \lambda) \chi_{\{x\}}(y)$$

and

$$S(x, \lambda) = \frac{1}{4} U(x, \lambda)^{-1} (U^+(x, \lambda) - U^-(x, \lambda)) J^{-1}.$$

- M_+ and M_- are **essentially** the same.
- S is analytic on \mathbb{R} if $\Lambda \cap \mathbb{R}$ is empty.

Properties of Green's function II

- Then

$$(E_\lambda f)(x) = \int U(x, \lambda) H(x, \cdot, \lambda) U(\cdot, \bar{\lambda})^* w f$$

where

$$H(x, y, \lambda) = M_-(\lambda) \chi_{(a, x_0)}(y) + M_+(\lambda) \chi_{(x_0, b)}(y) \\ - \frac{1}{2} J^{-1} \operatorname{sgn}(y - x) + S(x, \lambda) \chi_{\{x\}}(y)$$

and

$$S(x, \lambda) = \frac{1}{4} U(x, \lambda)^{-1} (U^+(x, \lambda) - U^-(x, \lambda)) J^{-1}.$$

- M_+ and M_- are **essentially** the same.
- S is analytic on \mathbb{R} if $\Lambda \cap \mathbb{R}$ is empty.
- M_\pm encode the spectral properties of T .

The M -function

- $M = M_{\pm}$ on appropriate subspaces

The M -function

- $M = M_{\pm}$ on appropriate subspaces
- M is analytic away from \mathbb{R} and Λ

The M -function

- $M = M_{\pm}$ on appropriate subspaces
- M is analytic away from \mathbb{R} and Λ
- $\operatorname{Im} M / \operatorname{Im} \lambda \geq 0$

The M -function

- $M = M_{\pm}$ on appropriate subspaces
- M is analytic away from \mathbb{R} and Λ
- $\text{Im } M / \text{Im } \lambda \geq 0$
- Such a function cannot have isolated singularities (except removable ones).

The M -function

- $M = M_{\pm}$ on appropriate subspaces
- M is analytic away from \mathbb{R} and Λ
- $\text{Im } M / \text{Im } \lambda \geq 0$
- Such a function cannot have isolated singularities (except removable ones).
- M is a Herglotz-Nevanlinna function

$$M(\lambda) = A\lambda + B + \int \left(\frac{1}{t - \lambda} - \frac{t}{t^2 + 1} \right) \nu(t)$$

where $\nu = N'$ and N a non-decreasing matrix.

The Fourier Transform

- $(\mathcal{F}f)(\lambda) = \int U(\cdot, \bar{\lambda})^* w f$ if $f \in L^2(w)$ is compactly supported and $\lambda \notin \Lambda$.

The Fourier Transform

- $(\mathcal{F}f)(\lambda) = \int U(\cdot, \bar{\lambda})^* w f$ if $f \in L^2(w)$ is compactly supported and $\lambda \notin \Lambda$.
- Restricting to \mathbb{R} : $\mathcal{F}f \in L^2(\nu)$ extend by continuity to all of $L^2(w)$.

The Fourier Transform

- $(\mathcal{F}f)(\lambda) = \int U(\cdot, \bar{\lambda})^* w f$ if $f \in L^2(w)$ is compactly supported and $\lambda \notin \Lambda$.
- Restricting to \mathbb{R} : $\mathcal{F}f \in L^2(\nu)$ extend by continuity to all of $L^2(w)$.
- $\mathcal{H}_\infty = \{f : (0, f) \in T\}$ is the kernel of \mathcal{F} . $\mathcal{H}_0 = L^2(w) \ominus \mathcal{H}_\infty$.

The Fourier Transform

- $(\mathcal{F}f)(\lambda) = \int U(\cdot, \bar{\lambda})^* w f$ if $f \in L^2(w)$ is compactly supported and $\lambda \notin \Lambda$.
- Restricting to \mathbb{R} : $\mathcal{F}f \in L^2(\nu)$ extend by continuity to all of $L^2(w)$.
- $\mathcal{H}_\infty = \{f : (0, f) \in T\}$ is the kernel of \mathcal{F} . $\mathcal{H}_0 = L^2(w) \ominus \mathcal{H}_\infty$.
- $(\mathcal{G}\hat{f})(x) = \int U(x, \cdot) \nu \hat{f}$ if $\hat{f} \in L^2(\nu)$ is compactly supported.

The Fourier Transform

- $(\mathcal{F}f)(\lambda) = \int U(\cdot, \bar{\lambda})^* w f$ if $f \in L^2(w)$ is compactly supported and $\lambda \notin \Lambda$.
- Restricting to \mathbb{R} : $\mathcal{F}f \in L^2(\nu)$ extend by continuity to all of $L^2(w)$.
- $\mathcal{H}_\infty = \{f : (0, f) \in T\}$ is the kernel of \mathcal{F} . $\mathcal{H}_0 = L^2(w) \ominus \mathcal{H}_\infty$.
- $(\mathcal{G}\hat{f})(x) = \int U(x, \cdot) \nu \hat{f}$ if $\hat{f} \in L^2(\nu)$ is compactly supported.
- $\mathcal{F} \circ \mathcal{G} = \mathbb{1}$ and $\mathcal{G} \circ \mathcal{F}$ is the projection onto \mathcal{H}_0 .

The Fourier Transform

- $(\mathcal{F}f)(\lambda) = \int U(\cdot, \bar{\lambda})^* w f$ if $f \in L^2(w)$ is compactly supported and $\lambda \notin \Lambda$.
- Restricting to \mathbb{R} : $\mathcal{F}f \in L^2(\nu)$ extend by continuity to all of $L^2(w)$.
- $\mathcal{H}_\infty = \{f : (0, f) \in T\}$ is the kernel of \mathcal{F} . $\mathcal{H}_0 = L^2(w) \ominus \mathcal{H}_\infty$.
- $(\mathcal{G}\hat{f})(x) = \int U(x, \cdot) \nu \hat{f}$ if $\hat{f} \in L^2(\nu)$ is compactly supported.
- $\mathcal{F} \circ \mathcal{G} = \mathbb{1}$ and $\mathcal{G} \circ \mathcal{F}$ is the projection onto \mathcal{H}_0 .
- $(u, f) \in T$ if and only if $(\mathcal{F}f)(t) = t(\mathcal{F}u)(t)$.

Example and Special Cases

Example

- $n = 2$, $(a, b) = (0, b)$, $J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $q = 0$, $w = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$:
 $-u'_2 = f_1$ and $u'_1 = 0$

Example

- $n = 2$, $(a, b) = (0, b)$, $J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $q = 0$, $w = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$:
 $-u'_2 = f_1$ and $u'_1 = 0$
- $L^2(w)$ is infinite-dimensional

Example

- $n = 2$, $(a, b) = (0, b)$, $J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $q = 0$, $w = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$:
 $-u_2' = f_1$ and $u_1' = 0$
- $L^2(w)$ is infinite-dimensional
- General solution:

$$u = \begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 - \int_0^x f_1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } f = \begin{pmatrix} f_1 \\ f_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Example

- $n = 2$, $(a, b) = (0, b)$, $J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $q = 0$, $w = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$:
 $-u_2' = f_1$ and $u_1' = 0$

- $L^2(w)$ is infinite-dimensional

- General solution:

$$u = \begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 - \int_0^x f_1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } f = \begin{pmatrix} f_1 \\ f_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

- $T_{\max} \cong \mathbb{C} \times L^2(w)$ (u_1 constant) and
 $T_{\min} = \{0\} \times \{f \in L^2(w) : \int_0^b f_1 = 0\}$.

Example

- $n = 2$, $(a, b) = (0, b)$, $J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $q = 0$, $w = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$:
 $-u_2' = f_1$ and $u_1' = 0$

- $L^2(w)$ is infinite-dimensional

- General solution:

$$u = \begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 - \int_0^x f_1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } f = \begin{pmatrix} f_1 \\ f_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

- $T_{\max} \cong \mathbb{C} \times L^2(w)$ (u_1 constant) and
 $\overline{T_{\min}} = \{0\} \times \{f \in L^2(w) : \int_0^b f_1 = 0\}$.

- The fundamental matrix, for which $U(x_0, \lambda) = \mathbb{1}$, is given by

$$U(x, \lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \lambda(x_0 - x) & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Example

- $n = 2$, $(a, b) = (0, b)$, $J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $q = 0$, $w = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$:
 $-u_2' = f_1$ and $u_1' = 0$

- $L^2(w)$ is infinite-dimensional

- General solution:

$$u = \begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 - \int_0^x f_1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } f = \begin{pmatrix} f_1 \\ f_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

- $T_{\max} \cong \mathbb{C} \times L^2(w)$ (u_1 constant) and
 $T_{\min} = \{0\} \times \{f \in L^2(w) : \int_0^b f_1 = 0\}$.

- The fundamental matrix, for which $U(x_0, \lambda) = \mathbb{1}$, is given by

$$U(x, \lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \lambda(x_0 - x) & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

- Note that $w\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and hence $\|\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}\| = 0$.

Example

- $n = 2$, $(a, b) = (0, b)$, $J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $q = 0$, $w = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$:
 $-u'_2 = f_1$ and $u'_1 = 0$

- $L^2(w)$ is infinite-dimensional

- General solution:

$$u = \begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 - \int_0^x f_1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } f = \begin{pmatrix} f_1 \\ f_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

- $T_{\max} \cong \mathbb{C} \times L^2(w)$ (u_1 constant) and
 $T_{\min} = \{0\} \times \{f \in L^2(w) : \int_0^b f_1 = 0\}$.

- The fundamental matrix, for which $U(x_0, \lambda) = \mathbb{1}$, is given by

$$U(x, \lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \lambda(x_0 - x) & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

- Note that $w\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and hence $\|\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}\| = 0$.

- These solutions form a subspace \mathcal{L}_0 .

Example: further details

- Consequences of the definiteness condition being violated.

Example: further details

- Consequences of the definiteness condition being violated.
 - Behavior of solutions at left and right endpoints can't be separated.

Example: further details

- Consequences of the definiteness condition being violated.
 - Behavior of solutions at left and right endpoints can't be separated.
 - Boundary values are not uniquely determined.

Example: further details

- Consequences of the definiteness condition being violated.
 - Behavior of solutions at left and right endpoints can't be separated.
 - Boundary values are not uniquely determined.
 - Causes problems in establishing properties of Green's function.

Example: further details

- Consequences of the definiteness condition being violated.
 - Behavior of solutions at left and right endpoints can't be separated.
 - Boundary values are not uniquely determined.
 - Causes problems in establishing properties of Green's function.
- $P = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ satisfies $wU(\cdot, \lambda)(I - P) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \lambda(x_0 - x) & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = 0$.

Example: further details

- Consequences of the definiteness condition being violated.
 - Behavior of solutions at left and right endpoints can't be separated.
 - Boundary values are not uniquely determined.
 - Causes problems in establishing properties of Green's function.
- $P = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ satisfies $wU(\cdot, \lambda)(I - P) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \lambda(x_0 - x) & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = 0$.
- \mathcal{T}_{\max} collects pairs of functions (u, f) such that $Ju' + qu = wf$.

Example: further details

- Consequences of the definiteness condition being violated.
 - Behavior of solutions at left and right endpoints can't be separated.
 - Boundary values are not uniquely determined.
 - Causes problems in establishing properties of Green's function.
- $P = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ satisfies $wU(\cdot, \lambda)(I - P) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \lambda(x_0 - x) & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = 0$.
- \mathcal{T}_{\max} collects pairs of functions (u, f) such that $Ju' + qu = wf$.
- $\mathcal{T}_{\max} = \{([u], [f]) \in L^2(w) \times L^2(w) : (u, f) \in \mathcal{T}_{\max}\}$ collects equivalence classes.

Example: lack of deficiency

- Deficiency indices $n_{\pm} = 1$

Example: lack of deficiency

- Deficiency indices $n_{\pm} = 1$
- $T = \{0\} \times L^2(w)$ is one self-adjoint restriction of T_{\max} .

Example: lack of deficiency

- Deficiency indices $n_{\pm} = 1$
- $\mathcal{T} = \{0\} \times L^2(w)$ is one self-adjoint restriction of \mathcal{T}_{\max} .
- Otherwise, given $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\mathcal{T} = \{(u, f) \in \mathcal{T}_{\max} : b\lambda_0 u_1(b) + u_2(b) - u_2(0) = 0\}$$

Example: lack of deficiency

- Deficiency indices $n_{\pm} = 1$
- $T = \{0\} \times L^2(w)$ is one self-adjoint restriction of T_{\max} .
- Otherwise, given $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\mathcal{T} = \{(u, f) \in \mathcal{T}_{\max} : b\lambda_0 u_1(b) + u_2(b) - u_2(0) = 0\}$$

- $\mathcal{H}_{\infty} = \{f : (0, f) \in \mathcal{T}\} = \{f : \int f_1 = 0\}$ and $\mathcal{H}_0 = L^2(w) \ominus \mathcal{H}_{\infty}$.

Example: lack of deficiency

- Deficiency indices $n_{\pm} = 1$
- $T = \{0\} \times L^2(w)$ is one self-adjoint restriction of T_{\max} .
- Otherwise, given $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\mathcal{T} = \{(u, f) \in \mathcal{T}_{\max} : b\lambda_0 u_1(b) + u_2(b) - u_2(0) = 0\}$$

- $\mathcal{H}_{\infty} = \{f : (0, f) \in T\} = \{f : \int f_1 = 0\}$ and $\mathcal{H}_0 = L^2(w) \ominus \mathcal{H}_{\infty}$.
- Then $T \cap (\mathcal{H}_0 \times \mathcal{H}_0)$ is a self-adjoint operator, in fact it is multiplication with λ_0 .

Example: lack of deficiency

- Deficiency indices $n_{\pm} = 1$
- $T = \{0\} \times L^2(w)$ is one self-adjoint restriction of T_{\max} .
- Otherwise, given $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\mathcal{T} = \{(u, f) \in \mathcal{T}_{\max} : b\lambda_0 u_1(b) + u_2(b) - u_2(0) = 0\}$$

- $\mathcal{H}_{\infty} = \{f : (0, f) \in T\} = \{f : \int f_1 = 0\}$ and $\mathcal{H}_0 = L^2(w) \ominus \mathcal{H}_{\infty}$.
- Then $T \cap (\mathcal{H}_0 \times \mathcal{H}_0)$ is a self-adjoint operator, in fact it is multiplication with λ_0 .
- $M(\lambda) = 1/(b(\lambda_0 - \lambda))P$ gives rise to measure $\nu = \frac{1}{b}\delta_{\lambda_0}P$ and a one-dimensional transform space $L^2(\nu)$.

Example: lack of deficiency

- Deficiency indices $n_{\pm} = 1$
- $T = \{0\} \times L^2(w)$ is one self-adjoint restriction of T_{\max} .
- Otherwise, given $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\mathcal{T} = \{(u, f) \in \mathcal{T}_{\max} : b\lambda_0 u_1(b) + u_2(b) - u_2(0) = 0\}$$

- $\mathcal{H}_{\infty} = \{f : (0, f) \in \mathcal{T}\} = \{f : \int f_1 = 0\}$ and $\mathcal{H}_0 = L^2(w) \ominus \mathcal{H}_{\infty}$.
- Then $T \cap (\mathcal{H}_0 \times \mathcal{H}_0)$ is a self-adjoint operator, in fact it is multiplication with λ_0 .
- $M(\lambda) = 1/(b(\lambda_0 - \lambda))P$ gives rise to measure $\nu = \frac{1}{b}\delta_{\lambda_0}P$ and a one-dimensional transform space $L^2(\nu)$.
- Fourier transform: $(\mathcal{F}f)(\lambda) = \int f_1\left(\frac{1}{0}\right)$.

Example: lack of deficiency

- Deficiency indices $n_{\pm} = 1$
- $T = \{0\} \times L^2(w)$ is one self-adjoint restriction of T_{\max} .
- Otherwise, given $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\mathcal{T} = \{(u, f) \in \mathcal{T}_{\max} : b\lambda_0 u_1(b) + u_2(b) - u_2(0) = 0\}$$

- $\mathcal{H}_{\infty} = \{f : (0, f) \in \mathcal{T}\} = \{f : \int f_1 = 0\}$ and $\mathcal{H}_0 = L^2(w) \ominus \mathcal{H}_{\infty}$.
- Then $T \cap (\mathcal{H}_0 \times \mathcal{H}_0)$ is a self-adjoint operator, in fact it is multiplication with λ_0 .
- $M(\lambda) = 1/(b(\lambda_0 - \lambda))P$ gives rise to measure $\nu = \frac{1}{b}\delta_{\lambda_0}P$ and a one-dimensional transform space $L^2(\nu)$.
- Fourier transform: $(\mathcal{F}f)(\lambda) = \int f_1\left(\frac{1}{0}\right)$.
- $\mathcal{F}|_{\mathcal{H}_0}$ is unitary and T is represented by multiplication with independent variable in Fourier space $L^2(\nu)$.

Special case: $n = 2$, real coefficients, DC holds, Part I

- J real implies $J = \beta \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ with $\beta \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ (choose $\beta = 1$).

Special case: $n = 2$, real coefficients, DC holds, Part I

- J real implies $J = \beta \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ with $\beta \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ (choose $\beta = 1$).
- Deficiency indices are equal

Special case: $n = 2$, real coefficients, DC holds, Part I

- J real implies $J = \beta \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ with $\beta \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ (choose $\beta = 1$).
- Deficiency indices are equal
- The space of solutions of $Ju' + qu = \lambda wu$ which lie in $L^2(w\chi_{(c,b)})$ is either one-dimensional or two-dimensional and this does not depend on λ .

Special case: $n = 2$, real coefficients, DC holds, Part I

- J real implies $J = \beta \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ with $\beta \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ (choose $\beta = 1$).
- Deficiency indices are equal
- The space of solutions of $Ju' + qu = \lambda wu$ which lie in $L^2(w\chi_{(c,b)})$ is either one-dimensional or two-dimensional and this does not depend on λ .
- Following Weyl one says to have the limit-point case or limit-circle case at b , respectively.

Special case: $n = 2$, real coefficients, DC holds, Part II

- As in the classical one has the following trichotomy:

Special case: $n = 2$, real coefficients, DC holds, Part II

- As in the classical one has the following trichotomy:
 - ① $n_+ = n_- = 0$ if and only if we have the limit-point case at both a and b . In this situation T_{\max} is self-adjoint.

Special case: $n = 2$, real coefficients, DC holds, Part II

- As in the classical one has the following trichotomy:
 - ① $n_+ = n_- = 0$ if and only if we have the limit-point case at both a and b . In this situation T_{\max} is self-adjoint.
 - ② $n_+ = n_- = 1$ if and only if we have the limit-point case at one of a and b and the limit-circle case at the other. Self-adjoint restrictions are given by posing a boundary condition at the limit-circle end.

Special case: $n = 2$, real coefficients, DC holds, Part II

- As in the classical one has the following trichotomy:
 - ① $n_+ = n_- = 0$ if and only if we have the limit-point case at both a and b . In this situation T_{\max} is self-adjoint.
 - ② $n_+ = n_- = 1$ if and only if we have the limit-point case at one of a and b and the limit-circle case at the other. Self-adjoint restrictions are given by posing a boundary condition at the limit-circle end.
 - ③ $n_+ = n_- = 2$ if and only if we have the limit-circle case at both a and b .

Special case: $n = 2$, real coefficients, DC holds, Part II

- As in the classical one has the following trichotomy:
 - ① $n_+ = n_- = 0$ if and only if we have the limit-point case at both a and b . In this situation T_{\max} is self-adjoint.
 - ② $n_+ = n_- = 1$ if and only if we have the limit-point case at one of a and b and the limit-circle case at the other. Self-adjoint restrictions are given by posing a boundary condition at the limit-circle end.
 - ③ $n_+ = n_- = 2$ if and only if we have the limit-circle case at both a and b .
- In the last case there are two types of boundary conditions: separated (one condition on either end) or coupled (two conditions both of which involve both endpoints).

Special case: $n = 2$, real coefficients, DC holds, Part III

- If a regular and b limit-point we obtain self-adjoint restrictions by posing a boundary condition at a : $\cos(\alpha)u_1(a) - \sin(\alpha)u_2(a) = 0$.

Special case: $n = 2$, real coefficients, DC holds, Part III

- If a regular and b limit-point we obtain self-adjoint restrictions by posing a boundary condition at a : $\cos(\alpha)u_1(a) - \sin(\alpha)u_2(a) = 0$.
- Set

$$\theta(\cdot, \lambda) = U(\cdot, \lambda)(\cos \alpha, -\sin \alpha)^\top$$

$$\varphi(\cdot, \lambda) = U(\cdot, \lambda)(\sin \alpha, \cos \alpha)^\top$$

so that φ satisfies the boundary conditions.

Special case: $n = 2$, real coefficients, DC holds, Part III

- If a regular and b limit-point we obtain self-adjoint restrictions by posing a boundary condition at a : $\cos(\alpha)u_1(a) - \sin(\alpha)u_2(a) = 0$.

- Set

$$\theta(\cdot, \lambda) = U(\cdot, \lambda)(\cos \alpha, -\sin \alpha)^\top$$

$$\varphi(\cdot, \lambda) = U(\cdot, \lambda)(\sin \alpha, \cos \alpha)^\top$$

so that φ satisfies the boundary conditions.

- Choose $m(\lambda)$ so that $\theta(\cdot, \lambda) + m(\lambda)\varphi(\cdot, \lambda)$ is in $L^2(w)$. This is the Titchmarsh-Weyl solution, m is the Titchmarsh-Weyl function.

Special case: $n = 2$, real coefficients, DC holds, Part III

- If a regular and b limit-point we obtain self-adjoint restrictions by posing a boundary condition at a : $\cos(\alpha)u_1(a) - \sin(\alpha)u_2(a) = 0$.

- Set

$$\theta(\cdot, \lambda) = U(\cdot, \lambda)(\cos \alpha, -\sin \alpha)^\top$$

$$\varphi(\cdot, \lambda) = U(\cdot, \lambda)(\sin \alpha, \cos \alpha)^\top$$

so that φ satisfies the boundary conditions.

- Choose $m(\lambda)$ so that $\theta(\cdot, \lambda) + m(\lambda)\varphi(\cdot, \lambda)$ is in $L^2(w)$. This is the Titchmarsh-Weyl solution, m is the Titchmarsh-Weyl function.
- The Titchmarsh-Weyl m -function is determined by the M -matrix:

$$m(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\beta}(\sin \alpha, \cos \alpha)M(\lambda) \begin{pmatrix} \sin \alpha \\ \cos \alpha \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thanks for your attention!