

On the Kronig–Penney model in a constant electric field

Simon Larson
Chalmers University of Technology &
The University of Gothenburg

joint work with R. L. Frank

Mathematical results of many-body quantum systems
Herrsching, June 2022

The problem

Consider the one-dimensional Schrödinger operator

$$-\frac{d^2}{dx^2} - Fx + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} g_n \delta(x - an) \quad \text{in } L^2(\mathbb{R})$$

with $F \in \mathbb{R}$, $a > 0$, and $g = \{g_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \subset \mathbb{R}$.

We shall look at **two particular cases**:

Model I: $g_n \equiv \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

Model II: $g_n = g_n(\omega)$ are indep. r.v.'s with $\mathbb{E}_\omega[g_n] = 0$ and $\mathbb{E}_\omega[g_n^2] = \lambda^2$.

Question: How do spectral properties of these operators depend on the parameters F , a , and λ ?

Q1: Is the spectrum discrete, p.p., s.c., a.c., or a combination thereof?

Q2: How do solutions of the eigenvalue equation decay/grow at $\pm\infty$?

Some background – Model I

$$L_{F,\lambda,a} = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} - Fx + \lambda \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \delta(x - an), \quad F > 0$$

The Hamiltonian arises in **two different physical settings**

1. a periodic crystal in a **constant electric field**,
2. a conducting ring with a point-like defect threaded by a **magnetic flux** which increases linearly in time.

Its properties was a topic of discussion in solid state physics during the 80's.

When $F, \lambda \neq 0$ few **mathematically rigorous** results exist.

Berezhkovskii–Ovchinnikov '76 and Borysowicz '97 argued that $\sigma(L_{F,\lambda,a}) = \mathbb{R}$ and only p.p. or s.c. depending only on the size of $\lambda^2/(aF)$.

Ao '90, and independently Buslaev '99, suggested that the nature of the spectrum also depends on number-theoretic properties of a^3F .

Some background – Model II

$$L_{F,\lambda,a}^\omega = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} - Fx + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} g_n(\omega) \delta(x - an), \quad F > 0$$

Studied (numerically) by Soukoulis et al. '83 and later by Delyon, Simon, Souillard '84 and '85.

Drastically different behavior than for disordered systems with $F = 0$ and/or if the disordered potential is replaced by something more regular.

Specifically Delyon–Simon–Souillard '85 proved that almost surely $\sigma(L_{F,\lambda,a}^\omega) = \mathbb{R}$ and

- if $\frac{\lambda^2}{aF}$ is **small** then the spectrum is **purely continuous**, and
- if $\frac{\lambda^2}{aF}$ is **large** then the spectrum is **pure point spectrum** with eigenfunctions decaying as $x^{-\beta(F,\lambda,a)}$ when $x \rightarrow +\infty$.

If the disordered potential is replaced by white noise such behavior was predicted by Prigodin '80 and confirmed in Minami '92.

Main results

$$L_{F,\lambda,a} = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} - Fx + \lambda \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \delta(x - an) \text{ with } F, a > 0 \text{ and } \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$$

Theorem (Frank–L., '21)

Fix $F, a > 0$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $a^3 F \in \pi^2 \mathbb{Q}_+$ and write $a^3 F = \frac{\pi^2 p}{q}$ with $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$\sigma_{ac}(L_{F,\lambda,a}) = \mathbb{R}, \quad \sigma_{sc}(L_{F,\lambda,a}) = \emptyset, \quad \sigma_{pp}(L_{F,\lambda,a}) \subseteq \left\{ \frac{\pi^2}{3q} \frac{m}{a^2} + \frac{\lambda}{a} : m \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}.$$

Remarks:

- Contradicts the predictions of [Berezghovskii–Ovchinnikov](#) and [Borysowicz](#) and partially confirms those of [Ao](#) and [Buslaev](#).
- By translation by a the spectrum of $L_{F,\lambda,a}$ is aF periodic so the possible eigenvalues only depend on m through $m \bmod q$.
- The δ is a critical case. If $L = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} - Fx + V$ then
 - $V \in L^1 \cap H^{-1/2}(\mathbb{R}/(a\mathbb{Z})) \implies \sigma_{ac}(L) = \mathbb{R}$ ([Galina Perelman '03](#))
 - $V = \sum \delta'(x - an) \implies \sigma_{ac}(L) = \emptyset$ ([Avron–Exner–Last '94](#), [Exner '95](#))

Main results

$L_{F,\lambda}^\omega = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} - Fx + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} g_n(\omega) \delta(x - an)$, with $F > 0$ and $g_n(\omega)$ independent random variables, at least one having ac distribution and for all n

$$\mathbb{E}_\omega[g_n] = 0, \quad \mathbb{E}_\omega[g_n^2] = \lambda^2, \quad \mathbb{E}_\omega[|g_n|^\beta] < C \quad \text{for some } \beta > 4.$$

Theorem (Frank–L., '21)

Almost surely $L_{F,\lambda,a}^\omega$ defines a self-adjoint operator in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ with $\sigma(L_{F,\lambda,a}^\omega) = \mathbb{R}$. Moreover, the spectrum is almost surely

- *purely singular continuous if $\frac{\lambda^2}{aF} < 2$,*
- *only pure point if $\frac{\lambda^2}{aF} > 2$.*

Remarks:

- Improves the result of **Delyon–Simon–Souillard** '85.
- For $-\frac{d^2}{dx^2} - Fx + \lambda W_\omega$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ the analogue result was obtained by **Minami** '92 (confirming **Prigodin's** prediction).
- **Kiselev–Last–Simon** '97 proved an analogue for $-\Delta + \frac{g_n(\omega)}{(1+|n|)^{1/2}}$ in $l^2(\mathbb{Z})$.

Reduction to ODE's

By Gilbert–Pearson subordinacy theory and in the random case the theory of rank-one perturbations (spectral averaging) proof is reduced to analysing solutions of the ODE

$$\begin{aligned} -\psi''(x) - Fx\psi(x) &= E\psi(x) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R} \setminus a\mathbb{Z} \\ J\psi(an) &= 0 \quad \text{and} \quad J\psi'(an) = g_n\psi(an) \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{Z}. \end{aligned}$$

where

$$Ju(x) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} [u(x + \varepsilon) - u(x - \varepsilon)].$$

Specifically:

1. Does there exist a solution of the equation subordinate at $\pm\infty$?
2. If they exist, are the subordinate solutions square integrable?

Definition A non-trivial solution ψ is subordinate at $+\infty$ if for any lin. indep. solution η

$$\lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int_0^M |\psi(x)|^2 dx}{\int_0^M |\eta(x)|^2 dx} = 0.$$

Subordinacy at $-\infty$ is defined similarly.

Reduction to ODE's

By **Gilbert–Pearson subordinacy theory** and in the random case the **theory of rank-one perturbations** (spectral averaging) proof is reduced to analysing solutions of the ODE

$$\begin{aligned} -\psi''(x) - Fx\psi(x) &= E\psi(x) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R} \setminus a\mathbb{Z} \\ J\psi(an) &= 0 \quad \text{and} \quad J\psi'(an) = g_n\psi(an) \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{Z}. \end{aligned}$$

where

$$Ju(x) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} [u(x + \varepsilon) - u(x - \varepsilon)].$$

Specifically:

1. Does there exist a solution of the equation **subordinate** at $\pm\infty$?
2. If they exist, are the subordinate solutions **square integrable**?

Gilbert–Pearson theory:

$$\{E \in \mathbb{R} : \exists \text{sol. } \psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R})\} = \text{point spectrum}$$

$$\{E \in \mathbb{R} : \exists \text{sol. } \psi \notin L^2(\mathbb{R}) \text{ subord. at both } \pm\infty\} \sim \text{s.c. spectrum}$$

$$\{E \in \mathbb{R} : \exists \text{direction in which no sol. } \psi \text{ is subord.}\} \sim \text{a.c. spectrum}$$

Main ODE results

Lemma (Both models)

For all $E \in \mathbb{R}$ there exists a solution ψ of the eigenvalue equation *subordinate* and *square integrable* at $-\infty$.

Proposition (Deterministic model)

For $a^3 F \in \pi^2 \mathbb{Q}_+$, $a^3 F = \frac{\pi^2 p}{q}$, and $E \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{ \frac{\pi^2}{3q} \frac{m}{a^2} + \frac{\lambda}{a} : m \in \mathbb{Z} \}$ there exists no solution of the eigenvalue equation subordinate at $+\infty$.

Proposition (Random model)

Let $F, a > 0$, $E \in \mathbb{R}$ and g_n be independent r.v.'s as before. Then *almost surely* there exist *linearly independent solutions* ψ_{\pm} of the eigenvalue equation satisfying

$$\int_M^{M+1} |\psi_{\pm}(x)|^2 dx = M^{-\frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{\lambda^2}{4aF} + o(1)} \quad \text{as } M \rightarrow \infty.$$

In particular, ψ_- is subordinate at $+\infty$.

Relative Prüfer coordinates

There exists a function ζ , which we call the **reference solution**, satisfying

$$-\zeta''(x) - Fx\zeta(x) = E\zeta(x) \quad \text{and} \quad \{\zeta, \bar{\zeta}\}(x) \neq 0.$$

Lemma

There exists real-valued and increasing $\gamma \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$\zeta(x) = F^{1/6} \frac{e^{i\gamma(x)}}{\sqrt{\gamma'(x)}},$$
$$\gamma(x) = \frac{2\sqrt{F}x^3}{3} + O(x^{1/2}),$$

and the asymptotic expansion can be differentiated.

Relative Prüfer coordinates

Let ψ be a non-trivial solution of the eigenvalue equation then there exists uniquely determined $\{\alpha(n), \beta(n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \subset \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ such that

$$\psi(x) = \alpha(n)\zeta(x) + \beta(n)\bar{\zeta}(x) \quad \text{for } a(n-1) < x \leq an.$$

Lemma

Set $U(n) = \frac{g_n}{\gamma'(an)}$, then

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha(n+1) \\ \beta(n+1) \end{pmatrix} = A_n \begin{pmatrix} \alpha(n) \\ \beta(n) \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{with } A_n = \mathbb{1} + \frac{U(n)}{2i} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & e^{-2i\gamma(an)} \\ -e^{2i\gamma(an)} & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Furthermore,

$$\int_{a(n-1)}^{an} |\psi(x)|^2 dx \sim \frac{|\alpha(n)|^2 + |\beta(n)|^2}{\sqrt{n}}.$$

Aim: We want to understand behavior of the products $A_N \cdots A_1$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$.

Relative Prüfer coordinates

Let ψ be a non-trivial solution of the eigenvalue equation then there exists uniquely determined $\{\alpha(n), \beta(n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \subset \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ such that

$$\psi(x) = \alpha(n)\zeta(x) + \beta(n)\bar{\zeta}(x) \quad \text{for } a(n-1) < x \leq an.$$

Lemma

Set $U(n) = \frac{g_n}{\gamma'(an)}$, then

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha(n+1) \\ \beta(n+1) \end{pmatrix} = A_n \begin{pmatrix} \alpha(n) \\ \beta(n) \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{with } A_n = \mathbb{1} + \frac{U(n)}{2i} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & e^{-2i\gamma(an)} \\ -e^{2i\gamma(an)} & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Furthermore,

$$\int_{a(n-1)}^{an} |\psi(x)|^2 dx \sim \frac{|\alpha(n)|^2 + |\beta(n)|^2}{\sqrt{n}}.$$

Remarks:

- 1) Same structure as equations appearing for OPUC, $A_n \in \mathbb{SU}(1, 1)$.
- 2) The **non-linear** phase γ differs from more classical case.
- 3) Random model closely related to one studied in **Kiselev–Last–Simon** '97.

Relative Prüfer coordinates

Assume that ψ is **real-valued**.

Then $\beta(n) = \overline{\alpha(n)}$ and we define the **Prüfer Radius and angle** $R, \eta: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\alpha(n) = \frac{R(n)}{2i} e^{i\eta(n)} \quad \text{and let} \quad \theta(n) = \gamma(an) + \eta(n),$$

with $\eta(1) \in (-\pi, \pi]$ and $\eta(n+1) - \eta(n) \in (-\pi, \pi]$.

Lemma

$$\begin{aligned} R(n+1)^2 &= R(n)^2 \left[1 + U(n) \sin(2\theta(n)) + U(n)^2 \sin^2(\theta(n)) \right], \\ \cot(\eta(n+1) + \gamma(n)) &= \cot(\theta(n)) + U(n). \end{aligned}$$

Since $U(n) = \frac{g_n}{\gamma'(an)} \sim n^{-1/2} \rightarrow 0$ (almost surely),

$$\log\left(\frac{R(n+1)}{R(n)}\right) = \frac{U(n)}{2} \sin(2\theta(n)) + \frac{U(n)^2}{8} - \frac{U(n)^2}{8} \left[2 \cos(2\theta(n)) - \cos(4\theta(n)) \right] + O(|U|^3)$$

$$\eta(n+1) - \eta(n) = -\frac{U(n)}{2} + \frac{U(n)}{2} \cos(2\theta(n)) + \frac{U(n)^2}{8} \left[2 \sin(2\theta(n)) - \sin(4\theta(n)) \right] + O(|U|^3)$$

Repeated use of the equations yield

$$\log\left(\frac{R(N+1)}{R(1)}\right) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N U(n) \sin(2\theta(n)) + \frac{1}{8} \sum_{n=1}^N U(n)^2 \\ - \frac{1}{8} \sum_{n=1}^N U(n)^2 \left[2 \cos(2\theta(n)) - \cos(4\theta(n)) \right] + O(1)$$

$$\eta(N+1) - \eta(1) = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N U(n) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N U(n) \cos(2\theta(n)) \\ + \frac{1}{8} \sum_{n=1}^N U(n)^2 \left[2 \sin(2\theta(n)) - \sin(4\theta(n)) \right] + O(1)$$

We are left with trying to understand **exponential sums** of the form

$$\sum_{n_1 < n \leq n_2} \left(\frac{g_n}{\gamma'(an)} \right)^m e^{i\mu\theta(n)} \quad \text{with} \quad \begin{cases} m = 1, 2, \\ \mu = 2, 4, \\ g_n \equiv \lambda \text{ or } g_n \text{ indep. r.v.'s} \end{cases}$$

- We aim to treat $\theta = \gamma + \eta$ as a perturbation of γ .

The random model $g_n(\omega)$ indep. r.v.'s with $\mathbb{E}_\omega[g_n] = 0$, $\mathbb{E}_\omega[g_n^2] = \lambda^2$.

Claim: for any $R(1), \eta(1)$ almost surely

$$\log\left(\frac{R(N+1)}{R(1)}\right) = \frac{\lambda^2}{8aF} \log(N)(1 + o(1)).$$

Proof follows closely **Kiselev–Last–Simon '97** using **Martingale bounds** and **van der Corput-type estimate**.

This corresponds to that almost surely

$$\|A_N^\omega \cdots A_1^\omega\| = N^{\frac{\lambda^2}{4aF} + o(1)} \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow \infty$$

where as before

$$A_n^\omega = \mathbb{1} + \frac{U(n)}{2i} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & e^{-2i\gamma(an)} \\ -e^{2i\gamma(an)} & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Or that for fixed boundary condition at zero the corresponding solution of our eigenvalue equation almost surely satisfies

$$\int_M^{M+1} |\psi(x)|^2 dx = M^{-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\lambda^2}{4aF} + o(1)} \quad \text{as } M \rightarrow \infty.$$

The deterministic model

Problem: In general we are not able to accurately compute asymptotics of

$$\sum_{n=1}^N \frac{\lambda e^{2i\gamma(an)+2i\eta(n)}}{\gamma'(an)} \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow \infty.$$

However we can understand partial sums of lengths larger than $O(1)$
 \implies we can coarse grain our equations.

Recall: $\gamma(x) = \frac{2\sqrt{F}}{3}x^{3/2} + O(x^{1/2})$.

- Strong cancellations unless $a\gamma'(an)$ close to $\pi\mathbb{Z}$.
- Define X_l by $a\gamma'(X_l) = \pi l$,

$$a\gamma'(an) = \sqrt{a^3 F} n^{1/2} + O(n^{-1/2}) \implies X_l = \frac{\pi^2}{a^3 F} l^2 + O(1),$$

natural scale is given by $n \sim \frac{\pi^2}{a^3 F} l^2$ (or equivalently $x \sim \frac{\pi^2}{a^2 F} l^2$).

- By combining Poisson summation formula and the method of stationary phase we can accurately compute

$$\sum_{n \in I_l} \frac{\lambda e^{2i\gamma(an)}}{\gamma'(an)} \quad \text{with } I_l = \left(\frac{\pi^2}{a^3 F} \left(l - \frac{1}{2}\right)^2, \frac{\pi^2}{a^3 F} \left(l + \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 \right].$$

Theorem (Frank–L., '21)

Let ψ be a real-valued solution of the eigenvalue equation, then there exist $\mathcal{R}, \Lambda: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that for $x \in (\frac{\pi^2}{a^2 F}(l - \frac{1}{2})^2, \frac{\pi^2}{a^2 F}(l + \frac{1}{2})^2]$

$$\psi(x) = \Im \left[\mathcal{R}(l) \zeta(x) e^{i\Lambda(l) - i\lambda \sqrt{\lceil x/a \rceil / (aF)}} \right] + O\left(\frac{\mathcal{R}(l)|\zeta(x)|}{\sqrt{l}}\right).$$

Moreover, \mathcal{R}, Λ satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \log\left(\frac{\mathcal{R}(l+1)}{\mathcal{R}(l)}\right) &= \frac{\lambda \sin(2\Theta(l))}{\sqrt{2aFl}} + \frac{\lambda^2}{4aFl} \left[1 + \cos(4\Theta(l))\right] + O(l^{-5/4}), \\ \Lambda(l+1) - \Lambda(l) &= \frac{\lambda \cos(2\Theta(l))}{\sqrt{2aFl}} + O(l^{-3/4}), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\Theta(l) = \Gamma(l) + \Lambda(l) \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma(l) = -\frac{\pi^3}{3a^3 F} l^3 + \frac{\pi}{a^3 F} (a^2 E - a\lambda) l + \frac{5\pi}{8},$$

Remarks:

- Does **not** assume $a^3 F \in \pi^2 \mathbb{Q}$.
- Started with $\psi(x) = \Im[R(n)e^{i\eta(n)}\zeta(x)]$ on $(a(n-1), an)$, the result is an approximate analogue on the **growing** intervals I_l ($|I_l| \sim l/(a^2 F)$).
- Implies that $\sigma(L_{F,\lambda,a}) = \mathbb{R}$ for all $F, a > 0, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

Theorem (Frank–L., '21)

Set $I_l = \left(\frac{\pi^2}{a^3 F} \left(l - \frac{1}{2} \right)^2, \frac{\pi^2}{a^3 F} \left(l + \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 \right]$.

Then

$$\prod_{n \in I_l \cap \mathbb{Z}} A_n = A_{\lfloor \frac{\pi^2}{F} (l + \frac{1}{2})^2 \rfloor} \cdots A_{\lceil \frac{\pi^2}{F} (l - \frac{1}{2})^2 \rceil} = U(l+1)T(l)U(l)^{-1}$$

where $T, U \in \mathbb{SU}(1, 1)$ are explicit. In particular,

$$T(l) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \frac{\lambda^2}{4aFl} & -\frac{i\lambda e^{-2i\Gamma(l)}}{\sqrt{2aFl}} \\ \frac{i\lambda e^{2i\Gamma(l)}}{\sqrt{2aFl}} & 1 + \frac{\lambda^2}{4aFl} \end{pmatrix} + O(l^{-5/4})$$

with

$$\Gamma(l) = -\frac{\pi^3}{3a^3 F} l^3 + \frac{\pi}{a^3 F} (a^2 E - a\lambda)l + \frac{5\pi}{8},$$

Note: Improved growth estimate for the norm of transfer matrices,

$$\|A_N \cdots A_1\| \leq \prod_{n=1}^N \|A_n\| \approx \prod_{n=1}^N \left(1 + \frac{|\lambda|}{\sqrt{aFn}} \right) \approx e^{c\sqrt{N}}$$

$$\|A_N \cdots A_1\| \leq \prod_{l=1}^{\sqrt{a^3 FN}} \|T(l)\| \approx \prod_{l=1}^{\sqrt{a^3 FN}} \left(1 + \frac{|\lambda|}{\sqrt{2aFl}} \right) \approx e^{\tilde{c}N^{1/4}}.$$

The deterministic model – the rational case

Question: What makes $a^3 F \in \pi^2 \mathbb{Q}$ special?

Write $a^3 F = \frac{\pi^2}{3} \frac{p}{q}$ and compute change of \mathcal{R}, Λ when from $l = pk$ to $l = p(k+1)$.

$$\sum_{l=pk}^{p(k+1)-1} \frac{e^{2i\Gamma(l)+2i\Lambda(l)}}{\sqrt{l}} \approx \frac{e^{2i\Gamma(pk)+2i\Lambda(pk)}}{\sqrt{pk}} \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} e^{2i(\Gamma(pk+j)-\Gamma(pk))}$$

Observation: Since $\Gamma(l) = -\frac{\pi^3}{3a^3 F} l^3 + \frac{\pi}{a^3 F} (a^2 E - a\lambda)l + \frac{5\pi}{8}$ for all $k, j \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\Gamma(pk) = \underbrace{-\pi q p^2 k^3}_{\in \pi \mathbb{Z}} + \underbrace{\frac{\pi p}{a^3 F} (a^2 E - a\lambda)k + \frac{5\pi}{8}}_{\text{linear in } k!}$$

$$\Gamma(pk+j) - \Gamma(pk) = \underbrace{-3\pi q k j^2 - 3p q k^2 j}_{\in \pi \mathbb{Z}} - \underbrace{\frac{\pi q}{p} j^3 + \frac{\pi}{a^3 F} (a^2 E - a\lambda)j}_{\text{independent of } k}$$

\implies A new effective problem with **linear phase!**

Thank you for your attention!