Localization for Schrödinger operators with Delone potentials

François Germinet

University of Cergy-Pontoise, Paris

with P. Müller, C. Rojas-Molina // A. Klein

MUNCHEN – April 10-13, 2012

Schrödinger operators with alloy type potential

Let us consider Schrödinger operators of the form

 $H_D := -\Delta + V_D$ on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$

• Δ is the *d*-dimensional Laplacian operator.

V_D is an alloy-type potential:

$$V_D(x) := \sum_{\zeta \in D} u_{\zeta}(x), \quad \text{where} \quad u_{\zeta}(x) = u(x - \zeta)$$

► The single site potential u is a nonnegative bounded measurable function on ℝ^d with compact support, uniformly bounded away from zero in a neighborhood of the origin.

It is well-known that if D is a periodic then H_D has ac spectrum. What if D has a more complex structure, like Delone sets ?

Quasicrystals

1984 ('82) D. Shechtman, I. Blech, D. Gratias, J.W. Cahn, "Metallic phase with long-range orientational order and no translation symmetry", Phys. Rev. Letters.



Diffraction patterns

A **Delone** set D of parameters (r, R) is a pure point set in \mathbb{R}^d , uniformly discrete (r) and relatively dense (R).

Delone sets



Let D = (r, R)-Delone set,

$$H(D) = -\Delta + \sum_{\zeta \in D} u(\cdot - \zeta)$$

► The spectrum of H(D) is generically purely singular continuous, within the set of (r, R)-Delone sets.

'90, '00's: A. Hof, R. Moody, J.C. Lagarias, B. Solomyak, D. Lenz-P.Stollmann, P. Müller-C. Richard.

QUESTION

Can we get Schrödinger operators with a Delone potential and localization ?

That is: take D a (r, R)-Delone set, $u \ge C\chi_{\delta} \ge 0$, $\delta < r < R$. Can

$$H_D = -\Delta + \sum_{\zeta \in D} u(\cdot - \zeta)$$

has localization in $[\Sigma_{inf}, \Sigma_{inf} + \kappa] \cap \sigma(H_D) \neq 0$, for some $\kappa > 0$, where $\Sigma_{inf} = \inf \sigma(H_D)$?

There is no randomness here.

- YES!
- How "many" ? A lot from a topological point of view: in progress (dense and union of G_δ)
- How "regular" are they? Rather irregular: for instance, those we construct do not exhibit uniform pattern frequency. There is an infinite number of patterns, repeated an infinite number of times (in progress)

A detour: The Continuous Anderson Hamiltonian The Anderson Hamiltonian is the random Schrödinger operator

$$H_{\omega} := -\Delta + V_0 + V_{\omega}$$
 on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$

- Δ is the *d*-dimensional Laplacian operator.
- V_0 is a bounded periodic background potential.
- V_{ω} is an alloy-type random potential:

$$V_{\omega}(x) := \sum_{\zeta \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \omega_{\zeta} u_{\zeta}(x), \quad \text{where} \quad u_{\zeta}(x) = u(x - \zeta)$$

- ► The single site potential u is a nonnegative bounded measurable function on ℝ^d with compact support, uniformly bounded away from zero in a neighborhood of the origin.
- $\omega = \{\omega_{\zeta}\}_{\zeta \in \mathbb{Z}^d}$ is a family of independent identically distributed random variables, whose common probability distribution μ is non-degenerate with bounded support.

Localization

Theorem (G., Klein 2012)

(Ergodic) Anderson Hamiltonians exhibit a strong form of localization at the bottom of the spectrum without any additional condition on the single site probability distribution.

This strong form of localization holds in an interval

 $[E_{inf}, E_0] \subset \Sigma$ $(E_0 > E_{inf})$

and includes:

- Anderson localization: pure point spectrum with exponentially decaying eigenfunctions (with probability one).
- Dynamical localization: no spreading of wave packets under the time evolution.

Moreover, the integrated density of states is Log-Hölder continuous on the interval $[E_{inf}, E_0]$.

Comments I

- We are only discussing results that hold in arbitrary dimension
 d. (d = 1 is special.)
- If the single-site probability distribution µ has a bounded density (or is Hölder continuous) these results have been known for some time. They also hold for the Anderson model on ℓ²(Z^d).
- If μ is a Bernoulli distribution, Anderson localization (pure point spectrum with exponentially decaying eigenfunctions) was proved by Bourgain and Kenig (2005).
- Spectral localization (pure point spectrum) for arbitrary μ follows from an extension of the BK results by a Bernoulli decomposition for random variables (Aizenman, G., Klein, Warzel (2009)).
- The proof is based on a multiscale analysis that incorporates the new ideas introduced by Bourgain and Kenig.

Comments II

- Anderson localization was proved for Poisson random potentials by G., Hislop and Klein (2007) using the BK results. The results in this talk, including dynamical localization and log-Hölder continuity of the IDS hold for the Poisson Hamiltonian.
- Related open problems:
 - discrete Bernoulli Anderson model: no UCP
 - Landau Hamiltonian with singular random potential: UCP with exponent 2 instead of $\frac{4}{3}$, which is not enough to perform the MSA
 - singular potential of non definite sign: cannot use the QUCP

Notation

• Given
$$x = (x_1, x_2, ..., x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$$
, we set
 $\|x\| := \max\{|x_1|, |x_2|, ..., |x_d|\}$ and $\langle x \rangle := \sqrt{1 + \|x\|^2}$.

• The (open) box of side *L* centered at $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$:

$$\Lambda_L(x) := \left\{ y \in \mathbb{R}^d; \|y - x\| < \frac{L}{2} \right\} = x + \left] - \frac{L}{2}, \frac{L}{2} \right[^d$$

- X_x := X_{Λ1(x)} is the characteristic function of the unit box centered at x ∈ ℝ^d.
- Spectral projections:

$$\begin{array}{ll} P_{\omega}(B) := \chi_{B}(H_{\omega}) & \text{for} \quad B \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}, \\ P_{\omega}(E) := P_{\omega}(\{E\}) & \text{for} \quad E \in \mathbb{R}, \\ P_{\omega}^{(E)} := P_{\omega}(] - \infty, E]), & \text{the Fermi projection with Fermi energy } E. \end{array}$$

Log-Hölder continuity of the integrated density of states

The integrated density of states: N(E

$$\mathsf{V}(E) := \mathbb{E}\left\{\mathsf{tr}\,\chi_0 P_{\omega}^{(E)}\chi_0\right\}.$$

Theorem

Let H_{ω} be an Anderson Hamiltonian on $L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{d})$. Then there exists an energy $E_{0} > E_{inf}$, constants C and $\kappa > 0$ such that for all $E_{1}, E_{2} \in [E_{inf}, E_{0}]$ with $|E_{2} - E_{1}|$ sufficiently small we have

$$|N(E_2) - N(E_1)| \le rac{C}{|\log |E_2 - E_1||^{\kappa}}$$
.

Regular case [Combes, Hislop, Klopp]: $|N(E_2) - N(E_1)| \le C Q_{\mu} (|E_2 - E_1|)$, where $Q_{\mu}(s) := \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \mu ([t, t+s])$ for s > 0.

Theorem (Details of Localization) I

Let H_{ω} be an Anderson Hamiltonian on $L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{d})$. Then there exists an energy $E_{0} > E_{inf}$, constants $\beta \in]0,1]$ and M > 0, so H_{ω} exhibits strong localization in the energy interval $[E_{inf}, E_{0}]$ in the following sense:

1. Enhanced Anderson localization: The following holds with probability one:

- H_{ω} has pure point spectrum in the interval $[E_{inf}, E_0]$.
- ► For all $E \in [E_{inf}, E_0]$, $\psi \in \operatorname{Ran} P_{\omega}(E)$, and $v > \frac{d}{2}$, we have

 $\|\chi_x\psi\| \leq C_{\omega,E,v} \left\| \langle X \rangle^{-v} \psi \right\| \, e^{-M\|x\|} \qquad \text{for all} \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$

In particular, each eigenfunction ψ of H_{ω} with eigenvalue $E \in [E_{inf}, E_0]$ is exponentially localized with the non-random rate of decay m > 0.

• The eigenvalues of H_{ω} in $[E_{inf}, E_0]$ have finite multiplicity:

 $\operatorname{tr} P_{\omega}(E) < \infty$ for all $E \in [E_{\inf}, E_0]$.

Theorem (Details of Localization) II

- 2. The following holds with probability one for all $\varepsilon > 0$:
 - Summable uniform decay of eigenfunction correlations (SUDEC):
 For all E ∈ [E_{inf}, E₀], x, y ∈ ℝ^d, and v > ^d/₂, we have

 $\begin{aligned} \|\chi_{\mathsf{x}}\phi\| \left\|\chi_{\mathsf{y}}\psi\right\| &\leq C_{\omega,\varepsilon,\nu} \left\|\langle X\rangle^{-\nu}\phi\right\| \left\|\langle X\rangle^{-\nu}\psi\right\| \mathrm{e}^{\|\mathsf{x}\|^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}} \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{1}{4}M\|\mathsf{x}-\mathsf{y}\|^{\frac{\beta}{2}}} \end{aligned}$ for all $\phi,\psi\in\operatorname{Ran} P_{\omega}(E)$, and

 $\|\chi_{x}P_{\omega}(E)\|_{2}\|\chi_{y}P_{\omega}(E)\|_{2} \leq C_{\omega,\varepsilon,\nu}\|\langle X\rangle^{-\nu}P_{\omega}(E)\|_{2}^{2}e^{\|x\|^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}}e^{-\frac{1}{4}M\|x-y\|}$

Theorem (Details of Localization) III

Semi-uniformly localized eigenfunctions (SULE):

For all $E \in [E_{inf}, E_0]$ there exists a "center of localization" $y_{\omega,E} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ for all eigenfunctions with eigenvalue E, in the sense that for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $v > \frac{d}{2}$ we have

$$\|\chi_{\mathsf{x}}\phi\| \leq C_{\omega,\varepsilon,\nu} \left\| T_{\nu}^{-1}\phi \right\| e^{\|y_{\omega,\mathcal{E}}\|^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}} e^{-\frac{1}{4}M \|\mathsf{x}-y_{\omega,\mathcal{E}}\|^{\frac{\beta}{2}}} \text{ for } \phi \in \operatorname{Ran} P_{\omega}(\mathcal{E}),$$

and

$$\|\chi_{x}P_{\omega}(E)\|_{2} \leq C_{\omega,\varepsilon,v} \|T_{v}^{-1}P_{\omega}(E)\|_{2} e^{\|y_{\omega,E}\|^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}} e^{-\frac{1}{4}M\|x-y_{\omega,E}\|^{\frac{\beta}{2}}}.$$

Moreover, we have

$$N_{\omega}(L) := \sum_{E \in [E_{\inf}, E_0]; \|y_{\omega, E}\| \leq L} \operatorname{tr} P_{\omega}(E) \leq C_{\omega, \varepsilon} L^{(1+2\varepsilon)\frac{d}{\beta}} \quad \text{for} \quad L \geq 1.$$

Theorem (Details of Localization) IV

Almost sure dynamical localization:

For all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ we have

 $\sup_{|f|\leq 1} \left\|\chi_{y}f(\mathcal{H}_{\omega})P_{\omega}([E_{\inf}, E_{0}])\chi_{x}\right\|_{1} \leq C_{\omega,\varepsilon}e^{\|x\|^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}}e^{-\frac{1}{4}M\|x-y\|^{\frac{\beta}{2}}}.$

Almost sure decay of the Fermi projection:
 For all E ∈ [E_{inf}, E₀] and x, y ∈ ℝ^d we have

$$\left\|\chi_{y} P_{\omega}^{(E)} \chi_{x}\right\|_{1} \leq C_{\omega,\varepsilon} \mathrm{e}^{\|x\|^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}} \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{1}{4}M\|x-y\|^{\frac{\beta}{2}}}$$

Theorem (Details of Localization) V

- 3. Given b > 0, for all $s \in \left]0, \frac{\beta}{b+\frac{1}{2}}\right[$ and $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ we have
 - Strong dynamical localization:

$$\mathbb{E}\left\{\sup_{|f|\leq 1}\left\|\langle X\rangle^{bd}f(H_{\omega})P_{\omega}([E_{\inf},E_{0}])\chi_{\times_{0}}\right\|_{1}^{s}\right\}<\infty$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}\left\{\sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}}\left\|\langle X\rangle^{bd}\,\mathrm{e}^{-itH_{\omega}}P_{\omega}([E_{\mathrm{inf}},E_{0}])\chi_{\mathsf{x}_{0}}\right\|_{1}^{s}\right\}<\infty.$$

Strong decay of the Fermi projection:

$$\mathbb{E}\left\{\sup_{E\in[E_{\inf},E_0]}\left\|\langle X\rangle^{bd}\,P_{\omega}^{(E)}\chi_{\times_0}\right\|_1^s\right\}<\infty.$$

The Bernoulli-Delone Schrödinger operator

- Let D_1 be a (r, R)-Delone set.
- Take D_2 another (r, R)-Delone
- such that $D_1 \cup D_2$ is a $(\frac{r}{2}, R)$ -Delone

(possible: for instance play with the Voronoï diagram associated to D_1).

Consider the Bernoulli-Delone Schrödinger operator

$$H_{\omega} = -\Delta + \sum_{\zeta \in D_1} u_{\zeta} + \sum_{\zeta \in D_2} \omega_{\zeta} u_{\zeta}$$

with $(\omega_z)_{\zeta \in D_2}$ iid Bernoulli rv.

Write $D_{2,\omega} = \{\zeta \in D_2, \ \omega_{\zeta} = 1\}$, so that $H_{\omega} = -\Delta + V_{D_1 \cup D_{2,\omega}}$. Note that for any given ω , $D_1 \cup D_{2,\omega}$ is a $(\frac{r}{2}, R)$ -Delone set.

How to get localization?

APPLY MULTISCALE ANALYSIS

- The multiscale analysis is not sensitive to the geometry of the underlying set where impurities are located (see e.g. [RM12]).
- ► The multiscale analysis of Bourgain-Kenig for the Bernoulli Schrödinger operator (D₁ = Ø and D₂ periodic), applies in a similar way. See [G., Klein 2012] for a detailed version in the ergodic case, with arbitrary non trivial rv.
- ▶ But one has to start! GET THE ILSE, that is for *E* close to the bottom of the spectrum, for some *q* ∈]¹/₃, ³/₈[,

 $\mathbb{P}(\|\chi_{x}R_{\omega,\Lambda}(E)\chi_{y}\| \leq e^{-m\|x-y\|} \text{ and } \|R_{\omega,\Lambda}(E)\| \leq e^{L^{1-\varepsilon}}) \geq 1 - L^{-qd},$

Lifshitz tail ? OK if D_1 and D_2 are periodic.

The case $D_1 = \emptyset$: [G, proc. Qmath10]

ILSE follows easily as in [BK,GKH]. Compare $V_{D_{2,\omega}}$ to an averaged potential $\overline{V} \ge CR^{-d}$ with a good probability, and use the fact that at the bottom of the spectrum (= 0), the kinetic energy is small.

$$\overline{V}_{\omega_{\Lambda}}(x) := \frac{1}{(\mathcal{K}R)^{d}} \int_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{K}R}(0)} \mathrm{d}a \, V_{\omega_{\Lambda}}(x-a) \ge \frac{c_{u,d}}{R^{d}} \, Y_{\omega,\Lambda} \chi_{\Lambda}(x), \quad (1)$$

with $K \approx (\log L)^{\frac{1}{d}}$ and

$$Y_{\omega,\Lambda} := \min_{\xi \in \widetilde{\Lambda}} \frac{1}{K^d} \sum_{\zeta \in \Lambda_{K/3}(\xi)} \omega_{\zeta} \ge \frac{\overline{\mu}}{2}, \tag{2}$$

with a probility $\geq 1 - e^{-A_{\mu}K^{d}}$, with $\bar{\mu}$ the mean of the probability measure μ , and for some $A_{\mu} > 0$ (deviation estimate). We have, for $\varphi \in C_{c}^{\infty}(\Lambda)$, $\|\varphi\| = 1$,

$$\begin{split} \left\langle \varphi, H_{\omega,\Lambda} \varphi \right\rangle_{\Lambda} &\geq \left\langle \varphi, \overline{V}_{\omega_{\Lambda}} \varphi \right\rangle + \left\langle \varphi, (V_{\omega_{\Lambda}} - \overline{V}_{\omega_{\Lambda}}) \varphi \right\rangle \\ &\geq \frac{C}{R^{d}} - cKR \| \nabla_{L} \varphi \| \geq \frac{C}{R^{d}} - cKR \left\langle \varphi, H_{\omega,\Lambda} \varphi \right\rangle_{\Lambda}^{1/2} \end{split}$$

and thus $\langle \varphi, H_{\omega,\Lambda} \varphi \rangle_{\Lambda} \geq C' R^{-2(d+1)} K^{-2}$.

The case $D_1 = \emptyset$ (end)

[BK,GK] provides localization for $H_{\omega} = -\Delta + V_{D_{2,\omega}}$, at the bottom of the spectrum, that is in an interval of the type $[0, C_{\delta}R^{-2(d+1)}(\log R)^{-2}]$, for $R \ge r \ge \delta$, $\delta > 0$ given.

BUT: the sets $D_{2,\omega}$ for which localization is obtained are not Delone anymore (large holes). However, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, for a.e. ω ,

$$\lim_{\omega \to \infty} L^{-(d-\varepsilon)} |\Lambda_L(x) \cap D_{2,\omega}| = +\infty.$$
(3)

It does not solve the original problem.

The case $D_1 \neq \emptyset$

PROBLEM: show that for some $\kappa > 0$, with a good enough probability (operators in Λ_L)

 $\inf \sigma(-\Delta_L + V_{D_1} + V_{D_2,\omega}) \geq \inf \sigma(-\Delta_L + V_{D_1}) + \kappa.$

IDEA: pick $K \approx (\log L)^{\frac{1}{d}+\varepsilon}$, divide Λ_L in cubes $\Lambda_K(\gamma_j)$, $j = 1, \dots, (L/K)^d$, and make sure there is at least one point of $D_{2,\omega}$ in each $\Lambda_K(\gamma_j)$. We have, with $p = \mathbb{P}(\omega_{\zeta} = 0)$,

 $\mathbb{P}(A_{\mathcal{K}} := \{\omega, \#(\Lambda_{\mathcal{K}}(\gamma_j) \cap D_{2,\omega}) \ge 1, \forall j\}) \ge 1 - (\frac{L}{\mathcal{K}})^d p^{c(\mathcal{K}/R)^d}.$

We restrict ourselves to $\omega \in A_K$. The rest of the argument is deterministic. We consider the family $H(t) = -\Delta + V_{D_1} + tV_{D_2,\omega}$.

Using a QUCP of [RMV12]

We have [RMV12] (operators in Λ_L),

 $\inf \sigma(-\Delta_L + V_{D_1} + tV_{D_2,\omega}) \geq \inf \sigma(-\Delta_L + V_{D_1}) + t\kappa(K),$

with $\kappa(\kappa) \geq c \kappa^{-\kappa^{4/3}}$ uniformly in ω .

It uses a precise version of Bourgain-Kenig's quantitative unique continuation principe (as in [GK]) combined with a clever decompostion of Λ_L in dominant and non dominant boxes, in order to get a scale free parameter κ .

Next: to start the MSA, we need the size of the gap to be $>> L^{-1}$, that is

$$L \cdot K^{-K^{4/3}} >> 1.$$

Remember $K \approx (\log L)^{\frac{1}{d}+\epsilon}$. So we need $\frac{4}{3d} < 1$, that is $d \ge 2$. Case d = 1: use Gronwall inequality to improve on the general QUCP. Then $\kappa(K) = ce^{-cK}$, and the proof applies for p small enough $(p \le ce^{-cR})$. The Quantitative Unique Continuation Principle Lemma (Bourgain-Kenig, as in G-Klein)

Set $\Lambda = \Lambda_L(x_0)$. Let Δ_{Λ} be the Dirichlet Laplacian on $L^2(\Lambda)$, let V be a bounded potential on Λ with $||V||_{\infty} \leq K$, let $\Theta \subset \Lambda$ measurable, and consider $u \in \mathscr{D}(\Delta_{\Lambda})$ satisfying,

 $\begin{aligned} -\Delta_{\Lambda} u + Vu &= 0, \\ \left\| u \chi_{\Lambda_{\delta}(x) \cap \Lambda} \right\| &\leq Q \quad \text{for all} \quad x \in \Lambda, \\ \left\| u \chi_{\Theta} \right\| &\geq \beta \left\| u \chi_{\Lambda} \right\|. \end{aligned}$

Then, there exist finite constants $R_1 > 1$ and M > 0, where R_1 depends only on d, K, Q, δ , and M depends only on d, such that for all $x \in \Lambda$ with

 $R := \operatorname{dist}(x, \Theta) \ge \max\{R_1, \operatorname{diam} \Theta\} \quad \text{and} \quad \Lambda_{\delta}(x) \subset \Lambda,$ we have $\| \|_{\mathcal{O}} = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{O}} \frac{M(1 + K^{\frac{2}{3}} + \log \beta)R^{\frac{4}{3}}}{2} \|_{\mathcal{O}} = \| 2$

$$\left\| u \chi_{\Lambda_{\delta}(x)} \right\|^{2} \geq R^{-M\left(1+K^{3}+\log\beta\right)R^{3}} \left\| u \chi_{\Theta} \right\|^{2}$$

QUCP for $H_0 = -\Delta + V_0$

Let $H_{0,L} = -\Delta_L + V_{0,L}$ with V_0 bounded, and $E_0 = \inf \sigma(H_0)$.

Theorem (Rojas-Molina - Veselic 2012)

If ϕ is an eigenfunction of the operator $H_{0,L}$ in an interval I, and D is a Delone set, we have

$$\sum_{\gamma \in D \cap \Lambda_L} \|\varphi\|_{B(\gamma,\delta)}^2 \geq C_{UCP}(I,d) \|\varphi\|_{\Lambda_L}^2$$

Known with a periodic background: Combes-Hislop-Klopp'03, Combes-Hislop-Klopp'07

- i) Application to Wegner estimates
- ii) Perturbation of the bottom of the spectrum: denote by $\lambda^{L}(t) = \inf \sigma(H_{t,L})$ the bottom of the spectrum of $H_{t,L} := -\Delta_{L} + V_{0,L} + tV_{L}$ on $\Lambda_{L}(x)$ with Dirichlet boundary conditions. Then

 $\forall t \in (0,1]: \quad \lambda^{L}(t) \geq \lambda^{L}(0) + C_{UCP}(u,l,d) \cdot t$