Mathematisches Institut der LMU Prof. Dr. P. Müller, Dr. A. Michelangeli

Handout: 6.05.2009 Due: Tuesday 12.05.2009 by 10,15 a.m. in the "Funktionalanalysis II" box Questions and infos: Dr. A. Michelangeli, office B-334, michel@math.lmu.de Grader: Ms. S. Sonner – Übungen on Wednesdays, 4,30 - 6 p.m., room C-111

**Exercise 4.** Prove that any bounded measurable function on  $\mathbb{R}$  can be uniformly approximated by a step function that has finitely many levels.<sup>1</sup> In other words, pick a bounded measurable function  $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  (for a generic  $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$  one just repeats the argument for the real and imaginary part of f) and fix an arbitrarily small error  $\varepsilon > 0$ : then prove that there exists a step function  $f_{\varepsilon} : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$ , with finitely many levels, such that  $||f - f_{\varepsilon}||_{\infty} \leq \varepsilon$ . (*Hint:* the natural way to proceed is to *construct* explicitly the approximating step function. To this aim, observe that the range of f can be covered by a finite and conveniently large number of balls. Consider the pre-image of these balls to define the steps of  $f_{\varepsilon}$ . This also shows you that the number of levels depend on  $\varepsilon$ .)

**Exercise 5.** (*The discrete Laplacian on*  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ .) Recall that the space

$$\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d) := \left\{ \phi : \mathbb{Z}^d \to \mathbb{C} : \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |\phi(x)|^2 < \infty \right\}$$

is a Hilbert space with the scalar product  $\langle \phi, \xi \rangle = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \overline{\phi(x)}\xi(x)$ . You may think of an element  $\phi$  in  $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)$  just as an assignment of complex numbers, one at each point of the infinite lattice  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ , such that they are square summable. (You should be familiar with the one-dimensional version of it, namely  $\ell^2 = \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ .) The goal of this problem is to introduce a self-adjoint operator on  $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)$  which is the discrete analogue of the usual Laplacian on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , with the key difference that the discrete version is *bounded*. As a consequence, by means of the spectral theory for bounded operators that you are supposed to know until know, you should be able to determine its spectrum.

• Recall first the following basic facts (if you did not know them already, you are strongly encouraged to prove them separately, although this is not part of the exercise). The operator  $R : \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}) \to \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$  such that  $(R\phi)(x) = \phi(x-1)$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{Z}$  is called the *right shift* operator. R is unitary and its adjoint  $L := R^* : \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}) \to \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$  is just the *left shift* operator, that is,  $(L\phi)(x) = \phi(x+1)$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Moreover  $\operatorname{Spec}(R) = \operatorname{Spec}(L) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda| = 1\}.$ 

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & x \in [2k, 2k+1) \\ 0 & x \in [2k+1, 2k+2) \end{cases} \quad (k \in \mathbb{Z}) \end{cases}$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>With this nomenclature one means, for instance, that the  $\mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  function

is a step function with of course two "levels" only (0 and 1), but with an infinite number of "steps".

Here the problem starts.

5.1) By definition the discrete Laplacian is the operator  $\Delta : \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d) \to \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)$  acting on any element  $\phi \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)$  as

$$(\Delta\phi)(x) := \sum_{\substack{y \in \mathbb{Z}^d \\ |x-y|=1}} \left( \phi(x) - \phi(y) \right), \qquad x \in \mathbb{Z}^d.$$
(\*)

In the notation above |x - y| is the *Euclidean* distance between x and y as points of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with integer coordinates. How many terms are there in the r.h.s. of (\*)? Rearrange the summands in the r.h.s. of (\*) so to express the operator  $\Delta$  in terms of the identity operator and a number of shift operators.

- 5.2) Prove that  $\Delta$  is bounded with norm  $\|\Delta\| = 4d$ . Prove also that  $\Delta = \Delta^*$ . (*Hint:* one can certainly prove both statements from the scratch and this would be fully graded as well, but it is a pain for you! (and for the grader too.) Alternatively, note that, at least for proving self-adjointness and  $\|\Delta\| \leq 4d$ , the rearrangement in point (5.1) above does the job.)
- 5.3) Prove the operator inequality  $\mathbf{0} \leq \Delta \leq 4d$ .
- 5.4) Prove that  $\operatorname{Spec}(\Delta) \subseteq [0, 4d]$ .
- 5.5) Prove that actually  $\operatorname{Spec}(\Delta) = [0, 4d]$ . (*Hint:* if you have completed the previous point, you are left with proving that  $\operatorname{Spec}(\Delta) \supseteq [0, 4d]$ . A possible way is to take any  $\lambda \in [0, 4d]$ and to prove that  $\lambda$  satisfies the Weyl's criterion ( $\rightarrow$  Exercise 3). To this aim, you need to identify one Weyl sequence, namely a sequence  $\{\phi_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)$  such that  $\|(\Delta - \lambda)\phi_n\| \to$ 0 as  $n \to \infty$ . Here is a suggestion to construct  $\phi_n$  explicitly. Consider the  $\mathbb{Z}^d \to \mathbb{C}$  function  $\widetilde{\varphi}_k(x) = e^{ik \cdot x}$  in the variable  $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ , where  $k = (k_1, \ldots, k_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$  is fixed and  $k \cdot x = \sum_{j=1}^d k_j x_j$  is the Euclidean scalar product of k times x as points of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . Observe that  $\widetilde{\varphi}_k \notin \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ , nevertheless compute the *formal* action of  $\Delta$  on  $\widetilde{\varphi}_k$ , i.e., do the computation just by means of the prescription (\*). This way you should see that given any  $\lambda \in [0, 4d]$  you can always choose k depending on  $\lambda$  such that " $\Delta \widetilde{\varphi}_k = \lambda \widetilde{\varphi}_k$ ". Hence the "eigenvalue"  $\lambda$  is in the spectrum of  $\Delta$ . Of course this is formal because  $\widetilde{\varphi}_k$  does not belong to the domain of  $\Delta$  but it should give you a hint on how to construct the Weyl sequence  $\{\phi_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  you are looking for. More concretely, what is the difference if in the formal argument above you modify  $\widetilde{\varphi}_k$  setting it to give zero for all  $x \in \mathbb{Z}^d$  outside a large cube centred at the origin?)