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Physical motivations The atomic structure exhibits multiple length scales.

No natural minimal length is reasonably hypothesized

Practical and theoretical interest in investigating the limit

linear range $\to 0$.

$\mathcal{M}$ configuration space of a classical system
$H_0$ the s.a. operator in $L^2(\mathcal{M})$ generating the free dynamics.
$Y$ a closed subset of $\mathcal{M}$
$H$ in $L^2(\mathcal{M})$ is said to describe an interaction supported in $Y$ if $H$ and $H_0$ act in the same way on smooth functions with support in $\mathcal{M} \setminus Y$. 
Point Interactions in one particle quantum mechanics

The case $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{R}^d$, $\mathbf{Y} = \{\mathbf{y}_1, \mathbf{y}_2, \ldots, \mathbf{y}_N\}$ a finite set of points of $\mathbb{R}^d$

$$H_0 = -\Delta \quad \text{with domain} \quad D(H_0) = H^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \quad (2.1)$$

The operator

$$\tilde{H}_\mathbf{Y} = H_0 \upharpoonright C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \mathbf{Y}) \quad (2.2)$$

Any self-adjoint extension of $\tilde{H}_\mathbf{Y}$ different from $H_0$ is the hamiltonian of a quantum particle interacting with “point scatterers” placed in $\{\mathbf{y}_1, \mathbf{y}_2, \ldots, \mathbf{y}_N\}$. 
Point Interactions in one particle quantum mechanics

For $\lambda > 0$, let $G^\lambda$ be the fundamental solution of the Helmholtz equation
\[
(-\Delta_x + \lambda) G^\lambda(x - y) = \delta_y \quad y \in \mathbb{R}^d
\]
\[
\tilde{G}^\lambda(k) = \frac{1}{k^2 + \lambda} \quad \text{indicates Fourier transform}
\]
\[
G(x) = \frac{e^{-\sqrt{\lambda}|x|}}{4\pi|x|} \quad \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \quad d = 3
\]
\[
G(x) = \frac{i}{4} \mathcal{H}_0(\sqrt{\lambda}x) \quad \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2) \quad d = 2
\]
\[
G(x) = \frac{e^{-\sqrt{\lambda}|x|}}{2\sqrt{\lambda}} \quad \in H^1(\mathbb{R}) \quad d = 1
\]
An easy but fundamental consequence is that

- In $d = 1$ the domain of the adjoint operator $\tilde{H}_Y^*$ contains the functions $G^\lambda(\cdot - y_i)$ and their derivatives.

- in $d = 2, 3$ the domain of the adjoint operator $\tilde{H}_Y^*$ contains the functions $G^\lambda(\cdot - y_i)$ (that are singular at the positions of the point scatterers).

- $G^\lambda \not\in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for $d > 3 \implies$ the only self-adjoint extension of $\tilde{H}_Y$ is $H_0$ no point interaction Hamiltonians can be defined for $d > 3$.

All the self-adjoint restrictions of $\tilde{H}_Y^*$ are classified using one of the many powerful machineries now available.
Point Interactions in one particle quantum mechanics

For $d = 3$:

$\beta = (\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_N)$ an $N$-ple of real numbers. The following relations define domain and action of operators in the family of self-adjoint extensions $H_{\beta,Y}$ of $\tilde{H}_Y$ on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$

$$D(H_{\beta,Y}) = \left\{ u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \mid u = \phi^\lambda + \sum_{i=1}^{N} q_i G^\lambda(\cdot - y_i), \phi^\lambda \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^3), q_i \in \mathbb{C}, \phi^\lambda(y_i) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} (\Gamma^\lambda_{\beta,Y})_{ij} q_j \right\}$$

$$(H_{\beta,Y} + \lambda)u = (-\Delta + \lambda)\phi^\lambda$$

where

$$(\Gamma^\lambda_{\beta,Y})_{ij} = \left( \beta_i + \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{4\pi} \right) \delta_{ij} - G^\lambda(y_i - y_j) (1 - \delta_{ij})$$

for $\lambda > 0$ large enough to make $\Gamma^\lambda_{\beta,Y}$ invertible.
Point Interactions in one particle quantum mechanics

\( u \in D(H_{\beta,Y}) \) consists of a “regular part” \( \phi^\lambda \) plus the “potential” of the point charges \( q_i \), where

\[
q_i = 4\pi \lim_{x \to y_i} |x - y_i| u(x) \tag{2.3}
\]

Moreover

\[
\lim_{x \to y_i} \left( u(x) - \frac{q_i}{4\pi |x - y_i|} \right) = \beta_i q_i
\]

a singular boundary condition satisfied by \( u \) at each point \( y_i \).

In the past

\[
\left. \frac{\partial}{\partial r_i} (r_i u) \right|_{x=y_i} = 4\pi \beta_i \left. (r_i u) \right|_{x=y_i}, \quad r_i = |x - y_i| \tag{2.4}
\]

The parameters \( \beta_i \) give a measure of the strength of the interaction (for \( \beta_i = \pm \infty \) one has the free laplacian)
Minimal set of parameters: the strength parameters $\beta_i$ and the positions $y_i$.

**Properties of $H_{\beta,Y}$**

- The resolvent operator $(H_{\beta,Y} - z)^{-1}$, $z = -\lambda$ for $\lambda > 0$ sufficiently large in order that $\Gamma_{\beta,Y}^\lambda$ is invertible

\[
(H_{\beta,Y} + \lambda)^{-1}(x, x') = G^\lambda(x - x') + \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} \left(\Gamma_{\beta,Y}^\lambda\right)_{ij}^{-1} G^\lambda(x - y_i)G^\lambda(x' - y_j)
\]
Point Interactions in one particle quantum mechanics

- Spectrum of $H_{\beta, \mathbf{Y}}$
  
  $\sigma_{ac}(H_{\beta, \mathbf{Y}}) = [0, \infty)$,  
  $\sigma_p(H_{\beta, \mathbf{Y}}) \subset (-\infty, 0)$

- $H_{\beta, \mathbf{Y}}$ has at most $N$ negative eigenvalues counting multiplicity.

- $E < 0$ is an eigenvalue of $H_{\beta, \mathbf{Y}}$ if and only if
  
  \[ \det \Gamma_{\beta, \mathbf{Y}}^{|E|} = 0 \]

  and its multiplicity is the same as the multiplicity of the eigenvalue zero of the matrix $\Gamma_{\beta, \mathbf{Y}}^{|E|}$.

- The corresponding eigenfunctions of $H_{\beta, \mathbf{Y}}$ are explicitly computable in terms of the eigenvectors of $\Gamma_{\beta, \mathbf{Y}}^{|E|}$ corresponding to the eigenvalue zero.
Point Interactions in one particle quantum mechanics

Explicitly for $N = 1$

\[ \sigma_p(H_{\beta,y}) = \emptyset \quad \text{for} \quad \beta \geq 0, \quad \sigma_p(H_{\beta,y}) = \{- (4\pi \beta)^2\} \quad \text{for} \quad \beta < 0 \]

the normalized eigenfunction for $\beta < 0$ associated to the eigenvalue is

\[ \zeta_{\beta,y}(x) = \sqrt{2|\beta|} \frac{e^{-4\pi|\beta||x-y|}}{|x - y|} \]

generalized eigenfunction associated to the absolutely continuous spectrum

\[ \phi_{\beta,y}^{\pm}(x, k) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \left( e^{ik \cdot x} + \frac{e^{ik \cdot y}}{4\pi \beta \pm i|k|} \frac{e^{\mp i|k||x-y|}}{|x - y|} \right) \]
Spin-dependent point interactions

Phenomenology showed that neutron-nuclei scattering was strongly dependent on neutron and/or nuclei spin.

\[ S \uparrow \quad S \downarrow \]

To take this dependence into account in point interactions models, it is enough to consider multi-channel point interaction hamiltonians.

To each possible scattering channel (defined by a particular spin state of neutron and nucleus) it was associated a dynamical strength parameter $\beta$ of the zero range interaction.
Spin-dependent point interactions

Consider an array of $N$ 1/2-spins placed in $\{y_1, \ldots, y_N\} \quad y_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$. The state space of the j-th spin is $\mathbb{C}^2$.

Notation:

- $\hat{\sigma}^{(1)}_j$ is the first Pauli matrix relative to the j-th spin $j = 1, \ldots, N$
- $\chi_{\sigma_j}$ is the normalized eigenvector of $\hat{\sigma}^{(1)}_j$ with eigenvalue $\pm 1$

\[
\hat{\sigma}^{(1)}_j \chi_{\sigma_j} = \sigma_j \chi_{\sigma_j} \quad \sigma_j = \pm 1; \quad \|\chi_{\sigma_j}\|_{\mathbb{C}^2} = 1; \quad j = 1, \ldots, N.
\]

The Hilbert space

\[\mathcal{H} = L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \otimes S_N,\]

where

\[S_N = \mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbb{C}^2\]
Spin-dependent point interactions

\( X_\sigma = \chi_{\sigma_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \chi_{\sigma_N} \), where \( \sigma = (\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_N) \).

\[
\psi = \sum_{\sigma} \psi_\sigma \otimes X_\sigma \quad \psi \in \mathcal{H} \quad \psi_\sigma \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \quad \forall \sigma
\]

The scalar product in \( \mathcal{H} \)

\[
\langle \psi, \phi \rangle = \sum_{\sigma} (\psi_\sigma, \phi_\sigma)_{L^2} \quad \psi, \phi \in \mathcal{H}.
\]

The free hamiltonian in \( \mathcal{H} \)

\[
D(H) = H^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \otimes S_N
\]

\[
H = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \Delta \otimes I_{S_N} + \sum_{j=1}^{N} I_{L^2} \otimes \alpha_j S_j \quad \alpha_j \in \mathbb{R}
\]

\[
S_j = I_{\mathbb{C}^2} \otimes \cdots \otimes \hat{\sigma}_j^{(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{\mathbb{C}^2} \quad j = 1, \ldots, N
\]

is self-adjoint and generates a free and independent dynamics of a point particle in \( \mathbb{R}^d \) and \( N \) spins.
Spin-dependent point interactions

\[
H\Psi = \sum_{\sigma} \left(-\Delta + \alpha \sigma\right) \psi_{\sigma} \otimes \chi_{\sigma} \quad \Psi \in \mathcal{H}
\]

where \( \alpha \equiv (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_N) \) and \( \alpha \sigma = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \alpha_j \sigma_j \).

Consider the (non self-adjoint) symmetric operator \( H_0 \) on \( \mathcal{H} \)

\[
D(H_0) = C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus Y) \otimes \mathbb{S}_N
\]

\[
H_0 = -\Delta \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathbb{S}_N} + \sum_{j=1}^{N} \mathbb{I}_{L^2} \otimes \alpha_j \mathbb{S}_j \quad \alpha_j \in \mathbb{R}
\]

All the self-adjoint extensions of \( H_0 \) are characterizable and each one of them can be taken as generator of the dynamics of the quantum system: particle + spins.
Spin-dependent point interactions

A family of Hamiltonians giving rise to a dynamics with particle-spins interaction in $d = 3$ is the following:

With

$$G^z(x) = \frac{e^{i\sqrt{z}|x|}}{4\pi|x|}; \quad z \in \mathbb{C}\backslash\mathbb{R}^+, \quad \Im\sqrt{z} > 0.$$  

and

$$(\Gamma_{\gamma}(z))_{j\sigma,j'\sigma'} = 0 \quad j \neq j'; \sigma \neq \sigma'$$

$$(\Gamma_{\gamma}(z))_{j\sigma,j'\sigma} = \frac{\sqrt{z - \sigma\alpha}}{4\pi i} + \beta \quad \sigma_k \neq \sigma'_k \text{ for } k \neq j$$
Spin-dependent point interactions

The operators $H_\gamma$, in the one parameter family defined as follows

$$D(H_\gamma) := \left\{ \Psi = \sum_\sigma \psi_\sigma \otimes \chi_\sigma \in \mathcal{H} :$$

$$\Psi = \Psi^z + \sum_{j \sigma, j' \sigma'} (\Gamma_\gamma(z))^{-1}_{j \sigma, j' \sigma'} \psi^z_{\sigma'}(y_{j'}) G^{z - \sigma \alpha} (\cdot - y_j) \otimes \chi_{\sigma};$$

$$\Psi^z = \sum_\sigma \psi^z_\sigma \otimes \chi_\sigma \in D(H); z \in \rho(H_\gamma) \right\}.$$  

with action on its domain given by

$$(H_\gamma - z)\Psi = (H - z)\Psi^z; \quad z \in \rho(H_\gamma).$$

They are self-adjoint Hamiltonians describing a particle exchanging energy with the spin array.\(^1\)

Spin-dependent point interactions

Boundary conditions satisfied by functions in the domain

\[ A_{j\sigma,j'\sigma'} q_{j'\sigma'} = f_{j\sigma} \]

where

\[ q_{j\sigma} = \lim_{|x-y_j| \to 0} 4\pi |x-y_j| \psi_{j\sigma}(x) \]
\[ f_{j\sigma} = \lim_{|x-y_j| \to 0} \left[ \psi_{j\sigma}(x) - \frac{q_{j\sigma}}{4\pi |x-y_j|} \right] , \]

\[ A_{j\sigma,j'\sigma'} = 0 \quad \forall j \neq j' \]
\[ A_{j\sigma,j\sigma} = 0 \quad \text{if for some } k \neq j, \sigma_k \neq \sigma'_k \]
\[ A_{j\sigma,j\sigma'} = a_{j\sigma,j\sigma'} ; \quad \text{otherwise} \]
\[ a_{j\sigma,j\sigma'} = \beta \delta_{j\sigma,j\sigma'} + \sigma_j i \rho (1 - \delta_{j\sigma,j\sigma'}) \quad \text{with } \beta, \rho \in \mathbb{R} \]

The resolvent of \( H_\gamma R_\gamma(z) = (H_\gamma - z)^{-1} \), is the finite rank perturbation of \( R_0(z) \) given by

\[ R_\gamma^\sigma(z) = R^\sigma(z) + \sum_{j,j'\sigma'} ((\Gamma_\gamma(z))^{-1})_{j\sigma,j'\sigma'} \]
\[ |G^{z-\alpha\cdot\sigma'} (\cdot - y_j) \otimes \chi_{\sigma'} \rangle \langle G^{z-\alpha\cdot\sigma'} (\cdot - y_j) \otimes \chi_{\sigma'} | \quad z \in \rho(H_\gamma) \]
Spin-dependent point interactions

The explicit form of the generalized eigenfunctions for high particle energy is

$$\Phi_{\gamma}^{\sigma}(\lambda, \omega) = \left(\lambda - \alpha \sigma\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \left[ e^{i\sqrt{\lambda - \alpha \sigma} \omega} \cdot \otimes \chi_{\sigma} + \sum_{j', \sigma', j} (\Gamma_{\gamma}(\lambda))_{j', \sigma', j}^{-1} e^{i\sqrt{\lambda - \alpha \sigma} \omega y_j} \frac{e^{-i\sqrt{\lambda - \alpha \sigma'} \cdot -y_{j'}}}{4\pi |\cdot - y_{j'}|} \otimes \chi_{\sigma'} \right] ;$$

$$\lambda \geq \sigma \alpha, \lambda \geq \sigma' \alpha,$$

The generalization to higher spin values is straightforward. In particular the quantum system made of a particle interacting with a point ”atom” having a finite number of energy states $j\alpha$ for $j = 1, 2, \ldots, M$ can show a complex spectral structure.
Spin-dependent point interactions

Some particular features in the definition of $H^A$ are noteworthy:
- The Hamiltonians one obtains for $\rho = 0$ do not show any term indicating interaction between particle and spins. They correspond to point potential Hamiltonians for the particle, together with free evolution of the spins. Among the self-adjoint extensions of $H$ there are Hamiltonians where $\beta$ is taken spin-dependent ($\beta(\sigma)$). The latter were the Hamiltonians used to analyze neutron scattering by (fixed) nuclei.
- $\rho$ is the coupling constant of the particle-spin interaction. If $\rho$ is different from zero, the particle, in addition to the zero-range interaction with the points, can exchange energy with the spins.
- The spectrum of $H^A$ can have a very rich structure. In particular, several eigenstates embedded in the continuum when $\rho = 0$ turn into resonances when $\rho \neq 0$ as a consequence of the interaction particle-spin.
Models of interaction particle point-field

Modelling the interaction of a particle with a zero-dimensional field: the particle interacts via point forces with a quantum system with a finite number of eigenstates.
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Models of interaction particle point-field
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Solvable models of quantum environments

To test the scheme of a measurement process is necessary to analyze realistic models of quantum dynamics, describing the evolution of a microscopic and a macroscopic system in interaction. The goal is to prove that “the objectification of a microevent is realized through different pointer states” K. Hepp (1972). A paradigmatic example is the cloud chamber:

- an extremely energetic alpha-particle (the microscopic system)
- evolves in an environment of atoms (the macro-system).

Ionization induces local formation of drops in a super-saturated vapor:

- each possible direction of the alpha-particle momentum (the micro event)
- is realized as a straight line of drops (the pointer state)
Solvable models of quantum environments
Modelling the cloud chamber with spin dependent point interactions.
Consider spins localized on a sphere of radius $L$ centered in the origin. Two arrays, of $N/2$ spins each, are distributed around the opposite ends of a sphere diameter. Each group fills a region whose linear dimensions are much smaller than the sphere radius.
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Solvable models of quantum environments

Consider an initial state $\Psi_0$ of the entire system corresponding to a configuration of spins $\sigma_0$ with all spins down and a spherical wave (of high average energy) for the particle

$$\psi_0(x) = \psi_0(|x|) = \frac{1}{\pi^{3/4} \sqrt{\gamma}} \frac{e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{2\gamma^2}}}{\sin(P_0|x|)}$$

and prove that the long time behavior of the environment state is the incoherent sum of states where spins in a small cone around a particular direction flipped to the up state.
The probability amplitude of an asymptotic transition to a state with a final configuration of spins $\sigma_f$ is obtained analyzing first the initial state in terms of the generalized eigenfunctions:

$$\left\langle \varphi^{\sigma_f}_{\beta,\rho}(\lambda, \omega), \psi^0 \right\rangle = \frac{(\lambda - \alpha \sigma_f)^{\frac{1}{4}}}{4\pi^{\frac{3}{2}}} \sum_{j',j}(\Gamma \gamma(\lambda))^{-1}_{j\sigma_f,j'\sigma_0} e^{i\sqrt{\lambda - \alpha \sigma_f} \omega y_j} \left( e^{-i\sqrt{\lambda - \alpha \sigma_0} |\cdot - y_{j'}|} \frac{4\pi |\cdot - y_{j'}|}{4\pi |\cdot - y_{j'}|}, \psi^0 \right)$$

where the scalar product is explicitly computable.
The second step is to investigate the asymptotic free evolution of the modified initial state obtained from Möller’s operator

\[
(\psi^0_+)_{\sigma_f}(x) := (\Omega^{-1}_+ \psi^0)_{\sigma_f}(x) =
\]

\[
= \int_{\alpha \cdot \sigma_f}^{\infty} d\lambda \int_{S^2} d\omega \phi_{\sigma_f}(x, \lambda, \omega) \left\langle \varphi_{\sigma_f}^{\beta, \rho}(\lambda, \omega), \psi^0 \right\rangle
\]

All the integrations are (almost) explicitly computable to give the final result expressed like a sum of waves of wavelength close to the one of the initial wave packet of the alpha particle.
Solvable models of quantum environments

The result

\[
(\psi^0_+)_{\sigma_f}(x) = \sum_{j,j'} \Theta_{j,j'}(P_0, \Delta E) \frac{4\pi}{\sqrt{P^2_0 - \Delta E}} \sin \frac{\sqrt{P^2_0 - \Delta E}}{|x - y_j|}
\]

\[
\frac{1}{2^{1/2} \sqrt{\gamma}} \pi^{7/4} \sum_j \left[ \sum_{j'} \left( \Gamma_{\beta,\rho}(P^2_0 - N\alpha) \right)^{-1}_{j \sigma_f, j' \sigma_0} \right]
\]

\[
e^{-iP_0L} \frac{\sin \sqrt{P^2_0 - \Delta E}}{L} \frac{|x - y_j|}{|x - y_j|}
\]

appears as the sum of spherical waves originating from each point scatterer with an initial phase \(e^{i\sqrt{\lambda - \alpha \sigma_f \omega y_j}}\) depending on the point position
The final result reads:

*The probability that the final state corresponds to any configuration of spins with a large number $M$ of spins up is maximal if the $M$ spins belong to the same group. Moreover, if the $M$ spins all belong to one group, the probability that the momentum direction of the particle lies outside the cone connecting the origin to the group is negligible.*

\[2\]

\[^2\text{R.Figari,A. Teta Quantum Dynamics of a Particle in a Tracking Chamber Spinger 2014}\]
The result is a consequence of two concurrent properties concerning the sum of spherical wave.
1) the Huyghens’ principle: due to the assumptions on the linear size of the regions where points are placed and of the wavelength, the spherical waves interfere constructively only in the spatial regions reached by the ”light rays” from the origin through the spins (in a regime of absence of diffraction).
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The result is a consequence of two concurrent properties concerning the sum of spherical wave.
1) the Huyghens’ principle: due to the assumptions on the linear size of the regions where points are placed and of the wavelength, the spherical waves interfere constructively only in the spatial regions reached by the ”light rays” from the origin through the spins (in a regime of absence of diffraction).
The amplitudes relative to transitions to a final spin configuration with a large number of flips in both regions occupied by the spins is smaller than those where the same number of flips appertains to only one group.
Open Problems

- Non linear spin dependent point interactions: transition parameters $\rho$ depending on the value of the wave function on the scatterers
- more general initial conditions of the microscopic system and presence of external fields acting on the microscopic system
- environments modeled with self-interacting fields (e.g., spins ferromagnetically interacting among them), initially in a genuine meta-stable state. The non-linear self-interaction would enhance the response of the environment, which might show macroscopic modifications in finite time.