## Mathematical Statistical Physics, 2015 Homework Problems, LMU

## Issued: June 3, 2015; deadline for handing in the solutions: June 10, 2015, 10 pm (22:00)

20. Consider the quasilocal UHF algebra  $(\mathcal{A}, (\mathcal{A}_{\Lambda})_{\Lambda \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{Z}^{\nu})}), \nu \in \mathbb{N}$ , associated with an infinite quantum spin system on  $\mathbb{Z}^{\nu}$ , where  $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{Z}^{\nu})$  stands for the collection of finite subsets of  $\mathbb{Z}^{\nu}$ . We assume a self-adjoint interaction  $\Phi : \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{Z}^{\nu}) \to \mathcal{A}, \Phi(\Lambda) = \Phi(\Lambda)^*$ , that is bounded in the sense that

$$||\Phi|| := \sup_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^{\nu}} \sum_{\Lambda \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{Z}^{\nu}), \Lambda \cap \{x\} \neq \emptyset} ||\Phi(\Lambda)|| < \infty.$$
(49)

Furthermore,  $\Phi$  is of finite range, that is, there exists  $R_{\Phi} \geq 1$  such that  $\Phi(\Lambda) = 0$  if  $R_{\Phi} < \operatorname{diam}(\Lambda) := \sup_{x,y \in \Lambda} |x - y|$ . The local Hamiltonians are then defined by  $H_{\Lambda} := \sum_{X \subset \Lambda} \Phi(X)$  for all  $\Lambda \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{Z}^{\nu})$ .

(i) With  $A \in \mathcal{A}_{loc} = \bigcup_{\Lambda \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{Z}^{\nu})} \mathcal{A}_{\Lambda}$ , prove that the limit

$$\delta(A) := \lim_{\Lambda \to \infty, \Lambda \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{Z}^{\nu})} i[H_{\Lambda}, A]$$
(50)

exists in  $\mathcal{A}_{\text{loc}}$  and that  $\delta(A)$  is a symmetric derivation with domain  $\mathcal{D}(\delta) = \mathcal{A}_{\text{loc}}$  and  $\delta(\mathcal{D}(\delta)) \subset \mathcal{D}(\delta)$ . Here, the limit  $\Lambda \to \infty$  is understood in the following way: For every sequence  $\Lambda_1 \subset \Lambda_2 \subset \cdots$  in  $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{Z}^{\nu})$  with  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \Lambda_n = \mathbb{Z}^{\nu}$  the limit  $\lim_{n\to\infty} i[H_{\Lambda_n}, A]$  exists and does not dependent on the choice of the sequence.

(ii) Show that for every  $A \in \mathcal{A}_{loc}$  and for sufficiently small  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  the series

$$e^{t\delta}(A) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{t^n}{n!} \delta^n(A)$$
(51)

converges in norm in  $\mathcal{A}$  and therefore the function  $t \mapsto e^{t\delta}(A)$  is analytic.

*Hint*: Argue that  $\delta^n(A) \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{loc}}$  and control  $||\delta^n(A)|| \leq c(n, ||\Phi||)||A||$ by estimating properly all commutators; you may use the inequality  $a^n \leq n! b^{-n} e^{ab}$  for a, b > 0 and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

21. Consider now the quasilocal UHF algebra  $(\mathcal{A}, (\mathcal{A}_{\Lambda})_{\Lambda \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{Z}^{\nu})})$  for  $\nu = 1$ and associated with an infinite quantum spin system on  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Again  $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{Z})$ stands for the collection of finite subsets of  $\mathbb{Z}$  and the single-site Hilbert space is given by  $\mathcal{H}_x = \mathbb{C}^n$  with  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . The interaction  $\Phi : \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{Z}) \to \mathcal{A}$  is assumed to be hermitian,  $\Phi(X) = \Phi(X)^*$  with  $\Phi(X) \in \mathcal{A}_X$  for all  $X \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{Z})$ . Also,  $\Phi$  is assumed to be bounded and decaying at infinity,

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{X \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{Z}^{\nu}), X \cap \{x\} \neq \emptyset} \operatorname{diam}(X) ||\Phi(X)|| < \infty.$$
(52)

In addition,  $\Phi$  is invariant under the automorphism  $\alpha$ , viz.,  $\alpha(\Phi(X)) = \Phi(X)$ for all  $X \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{Z})$ , where the automorphism  $\alpha$  is defined locally by

$$\alpha(A) = \left( \otimes_{x \in \Lambda} U_x^{\star} \right) A \left( \otimes_{x \in \Lambda} U_x \right) \tag{53}$$

and extended to  $\mathcal{A}$  by density. Here, each  $U_x$  is a unitary operator on  $\mathcal{H}_x$ . As in Problem 20, a strongly continuous one-parameter group  $\tau_t$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  of  $\star$  automorphisms of  $\mathcal{A}$  is constructed as the limit of the local dynamics generated by the local Hamiltonians  $H_{\Lambda} = \sum_{X \subset \Lambda} \Phi(X)$ . This  $\tau_t$  satisfies  $\tau_t \circ \alpha = \alpha \circ \tau_t$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Demonstrate that if  $\omega$  is a  $(\tau_t, \beta)$  KMS state for some  $\beta \in (0, \infty)$ , then  $\omega \circ \alpha = \omega$  on  $\mathcal{A}$ .

*Hint*: Examine whether a certain theorem discussed in class can be applied.

22 Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a finite dimensional Hilbert space,  $H = H^* \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  be the Hamiltonian generating the dynamics and  $\rho_\beta = \operatorname{Tr}(\exp(-\beta H))^{-1}\exp(-\beta H)$ be the density matrix of the Gibbs state. For any  $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ , let  $\gamma_t : \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  be defined for  $t \geq 0$  by

$$\gamma_t(x) = \exp(tL_A)(x), \qquad L_A(x) = A^*xA - \frac{1}{2}(A^*Ax + xA^*A).$$
 (54)

Note that  $L_A(1) = 0$  and that  $\operatorname{Tr}(L_A(x)y) = \operatorname{Tr}(xL_{A^*}(y))$ .

- (i) Check that  $\gamma_t(1) = 1$  and that  $\gamma_t(x) > 0$  if x > 0
- (ii) Prove that for any density matrix  $\rho$ , there is a unique density matrix  $\rho_t$  (namely positive and normalised) such that

$$Tr(\rho_t x) = Tr(\rho\gamma_t(x)) \tag{55}$$

(iii) Recall that the free energy functional if given by

$$F_{\beta}(\rho) = \operatorname{Tr}(\rho H) - \beta^{-1} S(\rho), \qquad S(\rho) = -\operatorname{Tr}(\rho \log \rho).$$
(56)

Use the variational principle applied to the family  $\rho_{\beta,t}$ , namely  $F_{\beta}(\rho_{\beta,t}) \geq F_{\beta}(\rho_{\beta})$  for all  $t \in [0, 1)$  to derive the energy-entropy balance inequality *Hint:* It suffices to consider  $\lim_{t\to 0^+} t^{-1}[F_{\beta}(\rho_{\beta,t}) - F_{\beta}(\rho_{\beta})]$ . In order to bound the entropy term, you can use the joint convexity of  $(u, v) \mapsto s(u || v) := u \ln(u/v)$ :

$$s\left(\sum_{i}\lambda_{i}u_{i}\right\|\sum_{i}\lambda_{i}v_{i}\right)\leq\sum_{i}\lambda_{i}s(u_{i}\|v_{i})$$
(57)

(iv) Reciprocally, prove that the unique  $\rho > 0$  satisfying the energy-entropy balance inequality is the Gibbs state. *Hint:* First, observe that if  $\rho$  satisfies the EEB inequality then  $[\rho, H] = 0$ . Secondly, use the observables  $P_{ij} = |\psi_i\rangle\langle\psi_j|$ , where  $\{\psi_i\}_{i=1}^{\dim \mathcal{H}}$  is an eigenbasis of H.