

## Problem Sheet 6

Ex. 3 By duality and interpolation (see remark at the end) it suffices to prove

$$T := \langle x \rangle^{-2N} p(x|D) \langle x \rangle^{2N} : L^2 \rightarrow L^2 \text{ bdd.}$$

for  $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . We have  $\langle x \rangle^{2N} f = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\langle D_\xi \rangle^{2N} \hat{f})$

$$\Rightarrow T f(x) = \int e^{ix \cdot \xi} \frac{p(x|\xi)}{\langle x \rangle^{2N}} \langle D_\xi \rangle^{2N} \hat{f}(\xi) d\xi$$

$$\stackrel{\text{IBP}}{=} \int \left[ \langle D_\xi \rangle^{2N} \left( e^{ix \cdot \xi} \frac{p(x|\xi)}{\langle x \rangle^{2N}} \right) \right] \hat{f}(\xi) d\xi$$

By Leibniz' rule,

$$\langle D_\xi \rangle^{2N} \left( e^{ix \cdot \xi} \frac{p(x|\xi)}{\langle x \rangle^{2N}} \right) \in S_{1,1,0}^0$$

$$\Rightarrow \|T f\|_{L^2} \leq C \|f\|_{L^2}.$$

Remark: • By duality,  $T$  is  $L^2$ -bdd. for  $N \in \mathbb{Z}$   
 since for  $N \in \mathbb{Z}_- \Rightarrow T^* = \langle x \rangle^{2N} p^*(x|D) \langle x \rangle^{-2N}$   
 is of the same form as in the proof above.

• By interpolation, we get that  $\langle x \rangle^{-\sigma} p(x|D) \langle x \rangle^{\sigma}$   
 is  $L^2$ -bdd. for all  $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$ . The interpolation argu-  
 ment is non-trivial (Stein's complex interpolation  
 theorem).

Ex. 5 ? The claim is generally not true !

We have to assume  $m \leq 1$  (or else assume that  $\varphi$  is elliptic).

Proof for  $m \leq 1$ : We want to prove that

$$\text{Dom}(\bar{P}) = \{u \in L^2 : P(x, D)u \in L^2\}$$

" $\subseteq$ ": By def. of the closure, for  $u \in \text{Dom}(\bar{P})$  there ex.  $(u_n)_n \subset \mathcal{S}$  s.t.  $u_n \xrightarrow{L^2} u$  and  $(Pu_n)$  converges in  $L^2$ . Then it also converges in  $\mathcal{S}'$ . Hence  $Pu_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{S}'} P(x, D)u$  (by continuity of  $P(x, D): \mathcal{S}' \rightarrow \mathcal{S}'$ ). This means that  $P(x, D)u \in L^2$ .

" $\supseteq$ ": We have to show:  $\forall u \in L^2$  s.t.  $P(x, D)u \in L^2$   
 $\exists (u_n)_n \subset \mathcal{S}$  s.t.  $u_n \xrightarrow{L^2} u$  and  $Pu_n \xrightarrow{L^2} P(x, D)u$ .

Let  $\chi_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{S}$  be as in Ex. 4 and set  $u_\varepsilon := \chi_\varepsilon(x, D)u$ , for a seq.  $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$  (we just write  $u_\varepsilon$ ). By Ex. 4 part 5:  $u_\varepsilon \xrightarrow{L^2} u$ . Remains to show:

$$(*) \quad P(x, D)u_\varepsilon \xrightarrow{L^2} P(x, D)u.$$

Write  $P(x, D)u_\varepsilon = \chi_\varepsilon(x, D)P(x, D)u + [P(x, D), \chi_\varepsilon(x, D)]u$

Then  $\chi_\varepsilon(x, D)P(x, D)u \xrightarrow{L^2} P(x, D)u$ . Remains to show:

$$(**) \quad [P(x, D), \chi_\varepsilon(x, D)]u \xrightarrow{L^2} 0.$$

It is suff. to prove (FA  $\perp$ ):

i)  $(**)$  holds for  $u \in \mathcal{S}$

ii)  $\|[P(x, D), \chi_\varepsilon(x, D)]u\|_{L^2} \leq C \|u\|_{L^2}$  (C-indep. of  $\varepsilon$ )

Pf of i): We have to show:  $\forall u \in \mathcal{S}$

$$[p(x, D), X_\varepsilon(x, D) - 1] u \xrightarrow{L^2} 0$$

(note: the " $-1$ " is for free, i.e. does not change the commutator). We have

$$\|X_\varepsilon^{-1}\|_k^{(0)} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} 0 \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

The claim follows by continuity of the map

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{S}^0 &\longrightarrow \mathcal{S} \\ (u, a) &\longmapsto a(x, D)u \end{aligned}$$

Pf of ii): Follows by Ex. 4 part I and the  $L^2$ -bdd'ness of PDO's of order zero ( $m \leq 1$ !).